

Exhibit F

Page 1

CAUSE NO. 2013-DCL-3511-D

SANDRA GARCIA,)	IN THE DISTRICT COURT
)	
Plaintiff,)	
vs.)	103rd JUDICIAL DISTRICT
)	
RODOLFO J. WALSS, M.D.,)	
RODOLFO J. WALSS, M.D.,)	
P.A., JOHNSON & JOHNSON,)	
INC. and ETHICON, INC.,)	
)	
Defendants.)	CAMERON COUNTY, TEXAS
)	

DEPOSITION OF

SUZANNE PARISIAN, M.D.

Phoenix, Arizona
February 12, 2015
9:04 a.m.

LEO T. MANKIEWICZ, CR, RMR, CRR
Arizona Certified Reporter
Certificate No. 50778

<p style="text-align: center;">Page 2</p> <p>1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 BE IT REMEMBERED THAT THE DEPOSITION OF 9 SUZANNE PARISIAN, M.D. 10 was taken on behalf of Defendants, at the Hilton Phoenix 11 Suites, 10 East Thomas Road, Phoenix, Arizona, 12 commencing at 9:04 a.m., on Thursday, February 12, 2015, 13 before Leo T. Mankiewicz, Arizona Certified Reporter, 14 Certificate No. 50778, pursuant to Notice of Deposition. 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 4</p> <p>1 I N D E X 2 3 PAGE 4 Proceedings 8 5 Recess - 10:55 a.m. to 11:04 a.m. 92 6 Luncheon Recess - 12:24 p.m. to 1:10 p.m. 166 7 Recess - 2:39 p.m. to 2:59 p.m. 252 8 Recess - 3:29 p.m. to 3:43 p.m. 277 9 10 INDEX OF EXAMINATION 11 Page 12 WITNESS: SUZANNE PARISIAN, M.D. 13 Witness Sworn8 14 Examination by Mr. Hutchinson281 15 Examination by Mr. Lundquist281 16 Further Examination by Mr. Hutchinson302 17 18 E X H I B I T S 19 Ex. No. Description Page 20 1 A four-page photocopy of Defendants Johnson &13 21 Johnson and Ethicon, Inc.'s Notice of 22 Intention to Take the Oral Deposition of Dr. 23 Suzanne Parisian and Subpoena Duces Tecum. 24 25 2 A collective exhibit consisting of the file16 26 of Dr. Suzanne Parisian in the present matter, 27 comprised of two three-inch binders, one 28 entitled, "TVMS Docket, TTV Secur," and the 29 other bearing a case caption and "Documents - 30 TTV Secur," containing various tabbed sections 31 of documents, as well as an approximately 32 one-inch thick stack of various documents. 33 (cont.)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 3</p> <p>1 APPEARANCES: 2 For the Plaintiff: 3 Clark, Love & Hutson, G.P. 4 440 Louisiana Street, Suite 1600 5 Houston, Texas 77002 6 BY: WILLIAM W. LUNDQUIST, ESQ. 7 Shepherd, Scott, Clawater & Houston, LLP 8 2777 Allen Parkway, 7th floor 9 Houston, Texas 77019-2133 10 BY: CYNTHIA L. FREEMAN, ESQ. 11 (by telephone conference) 12 For the Defendants: 13 Butler Snow LLP 14 Renaissance at Colony Park, Suite 1400 15 Ridgeland, Mississippi 39158-6010 16 BY: CHAD R. HUTCHINSON, ESQ. 17 Roerig, Oliveira & Fisher, LLP 18 10225 North 10th Street 19 McAllen, Texas 78504 20 BY: DAVID OLIVEIRA, ESQ. 21 22 23 24</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 5</p> <p>1 E X H I B I T S (cont.) 2 Ex. No. Description Page 3 3 A 16-page photocopy of the Curriculum Vitae17 4 of Suzanne Parisian, M.D. 5 4 A 20-page photocopy of Plaintiff's25 6 Designation of Expert Witnesses. 7 5 A 10-page photocopy of a document bearing the29 8 present case caption and the heading, "Suzanne 9 Parisian, M.D., List of Documents Provided or 10 Identified for Review in the above Referenced 11 Lawsuit," referred to as the "reliance list" 12 in this deposition. 13 6 A 24-page photocopy of an IFU, or125 14 Instructions For Use document for the Gynecare 15 TTV Secur System, bearing Bates numbers 16 ETH.MESH.02340568 through -0591. 17 7 A 16-page photocopy of a Patient Brochure for ...125 18 Gynecare TTV, bearing Bates numbers 19 ETH.MESH.08003295 through -3302. (As presented 20 at the deposition, this exhibit has blank 21 pages inserted every other page.) 22 8 An eight-page photocopy of a publication of181 23 the U.S. Food and Drug Administration 24 entitled, "General Controls for Medical 25 Devices." 26 9 A 41-page photocopy of a document issued by184 27 the Food and Drug Administration, Center for 28 Devices and Radiological Health, and Center 29 for Biologics Evaluation and Research, 30 entitled, "The 510(k) Program: Evaluating 31 Substantial Equivalence in Premarket 32 Notifications [510(k)], Guidance for Industry 33 and Food and Drug Administration Staff," 34 issued July 28, 2014. 35 36 (cont.)</p>
---	--

E X H I B I T S (cont.)			Page	Page 6	Page 8	
1	Ex. No.	Description	Page			
2	10	A seven-page photocopy of a letter on the190 letterhead of Department of Health, Education and Welfare, Public Health Service, Consumer Protection and Environmental Health Service, Food and Drug Administration, from B.H. Minchew, M.D. to Ethicon, Inc. reflecting approval of NDA 16-374 for a Prolene Polypropylene Suture material, dated April 16, 1969, bearing Bates numbers ETH.MESH.09625731 through -737.			1	Thursday, February 12, 2015
3				2	9:04 a.m.	
4				3	P R O C E E D I N G S	
5				4	---oo---	
6				5	SUZANNE PARISIAN, M.D.,	
7				6	CALLED AS A WITNESS, HAVING BEEN DULY	
8				7	SWORN, TESTIFIED AS FOLLOWS:	
9				8	---oo---	
10				9	EXAMINATION BY MR. HUTCHINSON	
11				10	BY MR. HUTCHINSON:	
12				11	Q Good morning, Dr. Parisian. How are you	
13				12	doing?	
14				13	A Fine.	
15				14	Q My name is Chad Hutchinson. I represent	
16				15	Johnson & Johnson and Ethicon in this case. I'm here to	
17				16	take your deposition, do you understand that?	
18				17	A Yes, sir.	
19				18	Q You've been deposed before, correct?	
20				19	A Yes, sir.	
21				20	Q You understand you're subject to the penalty	
22				21	of perjury?	
23				22	A Yes, sir.	
24				23	Q If you don't understand one of my questions,	
				24	will you let me know?	
E X H I B I T S (cont.)			Page 7	Page 9		
1	Ex. No.	Description	Page	1	A Yes, sir.	
2	15	A 20-page photocopy of a letter on the227 letterhead of Department of Health & Human Services, Food and Drug Administration, from Carl A. Larson, Ph.D., of the Office of Device Evaluation, Re: N16374/S34, Prolene Polypropylene Suture, expressing approval of a PMA supplement, dated October 7, 1988, with attachments, bearing Bates numbers ETH.MESH.09634299 through -4318.		2	Q Otherwise, I'm going to assume you understood	
3				3	my question. Is that fair?	
4				4	A Yes, sir.	
5				5	Q You've been offered as the plaintiff's expert	
6				6	in the Garcia case, is that right?	
7				7	A Yes, sir.	
8				8	Q In what field?	
9				9	A For FDA regulatory issues.	
10				10	Q Is that your specialty?	
11				11	A Yes, sir.	
12				12	Q Any other specialties?	
13				13	A Well, I have other specialties, but that's	
14				14	where I see my role, as an FDA regulatory expert.	
15				15	Q In this case?	
16				16	A Yes, sir.	
17				17	Q Okay, any other roles in this case?	
18				18	A No, sir.	
19				19	Q Have you ever been retained as an expert	
20				20	witness in a mesh case before this one?	
21				21	A Yes.	
22				22	Q And which one?	
23				23	A I believe I was involved with the C.R. Bard	
24				24	Marlex mesh. I was involved with other surgi- -- AMS	

<p style="text-align: center;">Page 10</p> <p>1 mesh, I've been involved with Boston Scientific's 2 transvaginal mesh. Those are the ones I recall. Oh, 3 no, Kugel, Kugel mesh.</p> <p>4 Q And you testified against the manufacturer in 5 all those cases?</p> <p>6 A Yes, sir.</p> <p>7 Q Are you still working in an expert capacity 8 for any of those manufacturers?</p> <p>9 A You mean for those cases? Yes, sir.</p> <p>10 Q Approximately how many cases?</p> <p>11 A Right now, this is the only mesh case I have.</p> <p>12 Q Against Ethicon?</p> <p>13 A No, against anybody. I don't have any 14 active -- I'm still involved, but I don't have any 15 active cases that I'm aware of.</p> <p>16 Q Okay. Well, in how many cases have you been 17 providing expert testimony against manufacturers of mesh 18 products?</p> <p>19 A Well, those would be the issues.</p> <p>20 Q How many cases are we talking about?</p> <p>21 A I don't know, because they're MDLs. I was the 22 expert for the AMS MDL. I don't know how many cases 23 were in that before it settled, and then it was like, 24 I think I gave a deposition for one case that wasn't in</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 12</p> <p>1 was Clinical Innovations, so I was their expert.</p> <p>2 Q And how long ago was that?</p> <p>3 A That was several years ago.</p> <p>4 Q Over 20?</p> <p>5 A No, no, no, not over 20. It was actually, 6 I think it was actually, like, six years ago. It went 7 off my five-year list, but -- and then when I first 8 started out, if you want my full -- I was also the 9 expert for pedicle screws for industry. So I've 10 testified for industry, I've testified for hospitals, 11 I've testified for industry versus industry. So not 12 every case is a case like this case.</p> <p>13 Q You've never testified for a defendant in 14 court, have you?</p> <p>15 A Yes, I have, in court, yes, sir, but I believe 16 it was a hospital, Wash -- hospital, Seattle Children's 17 Hospital. There wouldn't be any reason I wouldn't, if 18 I accepted a case.</p> <p>19 Q Have you ever turned down a pharmaceutical 20 medical device case for a plaintiff?</p> <p>21 A Oh, yes. I don't take every case given to me.</p> <p>22 Q I'm handing you what I've marked as Exhibit 1 23 to your deposition. Do you have that in front of you?</p> <p>24 A Yes, sir.</p>
<p style="text-align: center;">Page 11</p> <p>1 the MDL, or -- no, two, two. So I don't know how big 2 the MDLs are. I've just been hired for litigation.</p> <p>3 Q Okay, but you would be an expert for each and 4 every plaintiff in that -- in the MDLs, correct?</p> <p>5 A Yes, sir, if they needed me for the case, yes, 6 sir. So I don't know how big those MDLs are; unlike 7 this case, which is here, I know it's a single 8 plaintiff.</p> <p>9 Q For -- let's talk about Ethicon in particular, 10 okay?</p> <p>11 A Yes, sir.</p> <p>12 Q How many mesh cases have you been involved in 13 against Ethicon?</p> <p>14 A Only this one.</p> <p>15 Q And if my math is correct, since 1997, you've 16 testified in court 81 times for a plaintiff, is that 17 right?</p> <p>18 A Yes, sir. Those are the -- those aren't all 19 the cases I've had, but those are the ones that have 20 gone to court.</p> <p>21 Q And you've always testified for the plaintiff 22 in pharmaceutical and medical device cases?</p> <p>23 A In court, yes, but I have been an expert 24 witness for a medical device manufacturer. I think it</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 13</p> <p>1 (Whereupon, Exhibit 1 was marked for 2 identification.)</p> <p>3 BY MR. HUTCHINSON:</p> <p>4 Q And if you'll look on the last page, we had 5 asked for some documents. Do you see those?</p> <p>6 A Yes, sir.</p> <p>7 Q Did you bring those documents with you today?</p> <p>8 A I didn't bring my curriculum vitae, but 9 I thought you had received that. I've tried to bring 10 everything else that I have, and then I think I helped 11 generate a list of documents I've relied on.</p> <p>12 Q Okay, and just so the record is clear, you've 13 brought everything that you have that is responsive to 14 the document request 1 through 9, correct?</p> <p>15 A Yes, sir. I have brought what we call my 16 file.</p> <p>17 Q And you have your file in front of you, is 18 that correct?</p> <p>19 A Yes, sir.</p> <p>20 Q Why don't we do this. And just for the 21 record, your file consists of two black binders, one of 22 which is called "TVT Secur" on the front, and the other 23 one is called, "Documents-TV^T Secur," right?</p> <p>24 A Yes, sir.</p>

<p style="text-align: center;">Page 14</p> <p>1 Q And each document has a bunch of tabs, and a 2 lot of documents that you've reviewed for this case?</p> <p>3 A Yes, sir, and it's all my handwriting and my 4 tabs.</p> <p>5 Q And then we have a separate stack of loose 6 paper in front of you that you've brought responsive, 7 correct?</p> <p>8 A Yes, sir.</p> <p>9 Q And what does this stack represent?</p> <p>10 A Okay. It would be the rest of my file, and it 11 represents my designation as an expert witness, what 12 we're going to talk about today, the amended report of 13 John Miklos, a list of documents that I've relied on, 14 the deposition notice, my billing records, all the 15 records that I've generated as well as the ones getting 16 ready for the deposition today that hasn't been billed; 17 some agreement for the protective order; the Has French 18 National Authority for Health report, November 2006; 19 some other articles that I've found, we'll put it that 20 way. Those are articles I've found.</p> <p>21 So the medical literature that I have here, 22 the searches are from my -- I've obtained those, and the 23 advertising from Johnson & Johnson for the Ethisorb 24 product; my communication that came with my binder; the</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 16</p> <p>1 handwriting and highlights in them, correct?</p> <p>2 A Yes, sir.</p> <p>3 Q And all the handwriting and highlights were 4 done by you, correct?</p> <p>5 A Yes, sir.</p> <p>6 Q And nobody else.</p> <p>7 A Yes, sir.</p> <p>8 Q And why don't we go on and mark --</p> <p>9 A Except for the ones that are printed with a 10 highlighting. If they're yellow, they're mine.</p> <p>11 Q And let's go ahead and mark as Exhibit -- as a 12 collective Exhibit 2 your two black notebooks and the 13 loose paper, as Exhibit 2, okay?</p> <p>14 A Um-hum.</p> <p>15 MR. LUNDQUIST: Well, obviously, when we -- 16 I mean, we can receive copies.</p> <p>17 MR. HUTCHINSON: We'll have copies of them.</p> <p>18 MR. LUNDQUIST: Okay, all right.</p> <p>19 (Whereupon, Exhibit 2 was marked for 20 identification.)</p> <p>21 BY MR. HUTCHINSON:</p> <p>22 Q And does Exhibit 2 reflect every sheet of 23 paper that you used to formulate your opinion in this 24 case?</p>
<p style="text-align: center;">Page 15</p> <p>1 510(k) for AMS products, which was one of the -- another 2 product, as well as the 510(k) -- I'll give -- K021263, 3 which was for the SPARC Sling System; the Codman 4 Ethisorb Dura Patch 510(k), K991413; the 510(k) 5 clearance for the Gynecare TVT Secur device, and that's 6 K052401.</p> <p>7 I had done a search at one time of the suture 8 materials that are used for the mesh, the PDS absorbable 9 product, and I believe Vicryl. So I brought those 10 510(k)'s that are stapled together.</p> <p>11 And then, let's see, I brought the patents -- 12 trademark for the Monitorr, M-O-N-I-T-O-R-R device; and 13 then last night, I brought -- I went and did a really 14 quick look at the Medical Device Reports for Gynecare 15 TVT, and I've brought those documents, kind of 16 summarized together.</p> <p>17 Q Okay, and that was for Gynecare TVT and not 18 TVT Secur, right?</p> <p>19 A No, it was the -- only way to get Secur is if 20 you use TVT Gynecare and then Secur. So I did do it 21 looking at Secur, but it had to come up under TVT 22 Gynecare.</p> <p>23 Q Okay, and in this one stack of loose 24 documents, and the two black notebooks, they have some</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 17</p> <p>1 A No, no, because I mean, I've had a history of 2 mesh products before. So you couldn't bring every 3 document that -- because I've dealt with mesh and the 4 same with the other vaginal mesh products, and I mean, 5 my knowledge of these types of products go back to 6 ProtoGen, which is a long time ago. So it's not -- but 7 the ones that I have specifically used for Ethicon are 8 here.</p> <p>9 Q Okay. Well, that was my question, thank you. 10 So Exhibit 2 would represent all of the materials that 11 you relied on in this particular case to reach opinions, 12 correct?</p> <p>13 A With the caveat that there's a background that 14 I have. I can't bring that. That's been acquired over 15 years.</p> <p>16 Q I understand. All right, thank you. Let's 17 look at your CV. We'll mark it as Exhibit 3. 18 I recognize it's in here, but I've got a clean copy.</p> <p>19 A Thank you.</p> <p>20 (Whereupon, Exhibit 3 was marked for 21 identification.)</p> <p>22 BY MR. HUTCHINSON:</p> <p>23 Q This is the most current copy of your CV?</p> <p>24 A Yes. I believe -- I think so. I'm not sure.</p>

<p style="text-align: center;">Page 18</p> <p>1 There's nothing really significantly different. I just 2 might have had a different date on it, but I haven't 3 done anything different.</p> <p>4 Q All publications are included on your CV?</p> <p>5 A Yes, sir.</p> <p>6 Q You've never published anything in a 7 peer-reviewed journal, have you?</p> <p>8 A Well, no. I have in terms of when I was in 9 developmental biology.</p> <p>10 Q Have you ever published anything on a cervical 11 mesh?</p> <p>12 A No.</p> <p>13 Q Proline?</p> <p>14 A No.</p> <p>15 Q TVT Secur?</p> <p>16 A No.</p> <p>17 Q Anything on SUI?</p> <p>18 A No, sir.</p> <p>19 Q You understand SUI means stress urinary 20 incontinence?</p> <p>21 A Yes, and I began looking at SUI issues when 22 I was at the FDA.</p> <p>23 Q You've never published on dyspareunia or 24 pelvic pain?</p>	<p>1 A I've actually consulted for firms for devices 2 for stress urinary incontinence that are radiofrequency 3 devices for treating that.</p> <p>4 Q We'll get to that in a minute, but let's stay 5 on hands-on testing.</p> <p>6 A I haven't done any hands-on testing, I just 7 consulted.</p> <p>8 Q For any type of SUI device?</p> <p>9 A Yes, sir. No, I did. I did do that.</p> <p>10 Q Oh, you did do that.</p> <p>11 A Yeah, I did do consulting for an SUI device, 12 but I didn't do hands-on testing. I did regulatory 13 consulting.</p> <p>14 Q All right. Just so the record's clear, you've 15 never done any hands-on testing for any type of stress 16 urinary device, correct?</p> <p>17 A Correct.</p> <p>18 Q Let's talk about your correspondence with 19 Ms. Garcia's counsel. When were you first contacted in 20 this case?</p> <p>21 A I don't -- I think around sometime in the 22 summer, in July-ish. I was looking at buying a house, 23 and he caught me, and I was in the middle of talking to 24 realtors and stuff.</p>
<p style="text-align: center;">Page 19</p> <p>1 A No.</p> <p>2 Q Let's talk about presentations. Are all the 3 presentations that you've given included within your CV?</p> <p>4 A Yes, sir.</p> <p>5 Q Have you ever presented on surgical mesh?</p> <p>6 A No, sir.</p> <p>7 Q Proline?</p> <p>8 A No, sir.</p> <p>9 Q TVT Secur?</p> <p>10 A No, sir.</p> <p>11 Q SUI?</p> <p>12 A No, sir.</p> <p>13 Q Dyspareunia or pelvic pain?</p> <p>14 A No, sir.</p> <p>15 Q Your research experience, is all that included 16 within your CV marked as Exhibit 3?</p> <p>17 A Yes, sir. I haven't done any hands-on 18 testing. I think that's what you're asking, about mesh. 19 No.</p> <p>20 Q No hands-on testing for any type of surgical 21 mesh?</p> <p>22 A That is correct.</p> <p>23 Q And no hands-on testing for any type of 24 product to treat stress urinary incontinence?</p>	<p>1 A He called you on your cell phone?</p> <p>2 A Yeah, and I didn't buy the house, so 3 I remembered that --</p> <p>4 Q That was in the summer of 2014?</p> <p>5 A Yes, sir.</p> <p>6 Q And what were you asked to do in this case?</p> <p>7 A I was asked if I would accept looking at the 8 case. I mean, I was familiar with vaginal mesh from AMS 9 and I had had to look at issues with Ethicon before, so 10 he asked if I would look at an Ethicon issue.</p> <p>11 Q Okay, and what did you tell him you'd do?</p> <p>12 A I told him -- what did I tell him I'd do?</p> <p>13 I told him I would look at it and I'd give him opinions 14 as to whether I would want to take the case.</p> <p>15 Q Have you ever spoken -- and who was that that 16 called you, Mr. Lundquist?</p> <p>17 A Mr. Lundquist. He has my number.</p> <p>18 Q Had you ever spoken with Mr. Lundquist before 19 that first time?</p> <p>20 A Yes, I had worked with them on, I believe --</p> <p>21 AMS? Yes, AMS, for the MDL.</p> <p>22 Q Had you worked with Mr. Lundquist and his firm 23 for any other MDLs?</p> <p>24 A Paxil, I was involved with Paxil, and I'm not</p>

<p style="text-align: center;">Page 22</p> <p>1 sure who all the people are in MDLs. I know was with 2 Trasylol, I was involved.</p> <p>3 Q Trasylol?</p> <p>4 A Trasylol; and Paxil, and I'm not sure what 5 other ones I've been involved with.</p> <p>6 Q Okay.</p> <p>7 A Those are the ones I directly spoke to members 8 of his firm.</p> <p>9 Q That's at least four MDLs that you've been 10 working with Mr. Lundquist's firm on, correct?</p> <p>11 MR. LUNDQUIST: Objection, form.</p> <p>12 THE WITNESS: Well, I'm not working on an MDL, 13 I'm just working on this particular case. So that would 14 be that they were part of an MDL group, as you know, 15 which could be hundreds of attorneys, for Paxil and -- 16 so it was really -- was it four? Yeah, but this isn't 17 an MDL, as far as I know.</p> <p>18 BY MR. HUTCHINSON:</p> <p>19 Q Right. When did you first start working with 20 Mr. Lundquist's firm?</p> <p>21 A Mr. Lundquist -- the firm? The firm, the 22 first time I met -- that I remember meeting somebody 23 from that firm was for Paxil, which would have been 24 years ago.</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 24</p> <p>1 Q Okay, and did you meet with Mr. -- when did 2 you have that meeting with Mr. Lundquist to review the 3 expert disclosure?</p> <p>4 A I believe it was in August. It's on my 5 billing records. I can look at my bills.</p> <p>6 Q And is that the only time you've met with 7 Mr. Lundquist?</p> <p>8 A Yes, sir. Well, yesterday, I met with 9 Mr. Lundquist yesterday to discuss what I was going to 10 bring.</p> <p>11 Q And how long did you meet with Mr. Lundquist 12 yesterday?</p> <p>13 A We met for about four hours.</p> <p>14 Q So the meeting in August that was three and a 15 half hours, and your meeting yesterday that was four and 16 a half hours, would be the sum total of the two meetings 17 with Mr. Lundquist, correct?</p> <p>18 A Yes, sir.</p> <p>19 Q Has anybody met -- has anybody participated in 20 those meetings with you and Mr. Lundquist?</p> <p>21 A No.</p> <p>22 Q It's just been you and him on it only?</p> <p>23 A Yes, sir.</p> <p>24 Q Other than with Mr. Lundquist, have you</p>
<p style="text-align: center;">Page 23</p> <p>1 Q How many years ago?</p> <p>2 A I'm not sure when that was. I'm not sure -- 3 I met them at the Kilker trial was the first time I met 4 someone from his firm, and I don't know when that was. 5 That was the only Paxil trial in Philadelphia.</p> <p>6 Q Would that have been more than 10 years ago?</p> <p>7 A I don't think it was that long ago. It was 8 less than that. It was probably, I don't know, eight 9 years ago, four years ago?</p> <p>10 Q Have you met with Mr. Lundquist before today's 11 deposition?</p> <p>12 A Yes, sir.</p> <p>13 Q How many times have you met?</p> <p>14 A For this deposition, I met with him once. 15 I met with him for three and a half hours, and that's 16 where we put together what my opinions would be for the 17 disclosure.</p> <p>18 Q Okay.</p> <p>19 A The only error he has is that I get \$600 an 20 hour for court testimony and deposition. He has it only 21 as 400. That's an important one to me.</p> <p>22 Q So you've reviewed that expert disclosure 23 pretty thoroughly, correct?</p> <p>24 A Yes, sir.</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 25</p> <p>1 discussed this case with anybody?</p> <p>2 A No, sir, nor would I think I would be 3 permitted to do that. And by that I mean, by the 4 protective order.</p> <p>5 MR. HUTCHINSON: All right, so let's look at 6 what we'll mark as Exhibit number 4.</p> <p>7 (Whereupon, Exhibit 4 was marked for 8 identification.)</p> <p>9 BY MR. HUTCHINSON:</p> <p>10 Q So Mr. Lundquist made an error on the amount 11 per hour on Exhibit 4, and that should be \$600 per hour 12 rather than 400, basically, is what you're saying? Is 13 that correct?</p> <p>14 A For court and deposition testimony. It's \$400 15 an hour for work, that's correct, but it's for the other 16 would be what you're paying today, I guess, is \$600 an 17 hour.</p> <p>18 Q Okay, well, let me make sure I understand. 19 It's \$400 for your review of documents and records, 20 correct?</p> <p>21 A Yes, sir, when I stay in my office and just am 22 working on my case.</p> <p>23 Q And it's \$600 per hour when you're giving 24 testimony at trial or in a deposition, correct?</p>

Page 26	Page 28
<p>1 A Yes, sir.</p> <p>2 Q Do you still have a standing \$6,000 per day</p> <p>3 amount for trial?</p> <p>4 A For -- if I have to go to court, yes, sir.</p> <p>5 It's for 10 hours.</p> <p>6 Q But it's \$6,000 per day regardless of how much</p> <p>7 time you spend?</p> <p>8 A Yes, sir, if I'm in trial.</p> <p>9 Q Do you charge any time for travel?</p> <p>10 A I usually travel -- I believe my husband --</p> <p>11 I don't do the billing, but I believe we charge for the</p> <p>12 time in the airplane. If I was working on the plane,</p> <p>13 then we would charge the \$400, but if I'm just flying on</p> <p>14 the plane, I believe we charge 150 an hour.</p> <p>15 Q What is the total amount of hours that you've</p> <p>16 spent on this case?</p> <p>17 A Here, this is... (Deponent hands document to</p> <p>18 Mr. Hutchinson.)</p> <p>19 Q You've handed me two invoices, one invoice</p> <p>20 Invoice number 2612 and Invoice 2639, and then a</p> <p>21 handwritten piece of paper that's all within the</p> <p>22 documents we've previously marked as an exhibit, is that</p> <p>23 correct?</p> <p>24 A Yes, sir.</p>	<p>1 strike that. What percentage of your income has been</p> <p>2 from working as an expert witness within the last five</p> <p>3 years?</p> <p>4 A Um, about three years ago, I stopped doing</p> <p>5 that much medical device. Mainly I've been doing</p> <p>6 consulting or manufacturing consulting. So now it's</p> <p>7 completely litigation support. I'm trying to finish up</p> <p>8 the cases that I have. So it would be, a hundred</p> <p>9 percent right now is involved in litigation support.</p> <p>10 Q And you've made over a million dollars over</p> <p>11 the last five years doing litigation work, correct?</p> <p>12 A Yes, sir, and I've always been forthright with</p> <p>13 all my bills. So you have the total. I'm sure, in the</p> <p>14 records of all the depos, you just put them all</p> <p>15 together.</p> <p>16 Q Do you advertise your services anymore?</p> <p>17 A No, sir.</p> <p>18 Q You used to advertise on hugesettlements.com,</p> <p>19 didn't you?</p> <p>20 A No, I didn't. Someone else put my website on</p> <p>21 there and brought it up in a trial. I forgot which</p> <p>22 trial it was, I think a hormone replacement therapy</p> <p>23 case, they brought it up, and so then I quickly wrote to</p> <p>24 them to remove it. So no, I did not advertise on that</p>
Page 27	Page 29
<p>1 Q And as best I can tell, you've spent nine and</p> <p>2 a half hours working on this case. Does that sound</p> <p>3 about right?</p> <p>4 A Before, in getting ready for the prep, because</p> <p>5 the prep is more than that, going through all the</p> <p>6 documents.</p> <p>7 Q Well, I'm looking at the invoices.</p> <p>8 A Yeah, that's what I --</p> <p>9 Q So tell me, based on the documents that you've</p> <p>10 brought with the deposition, how many total hours you've</p> <p>11 spent in this case from the point you were called</p> <p>12 initially to before you walked into this conference</p> <p>13 room.</p> <p>14 A (Witness making handwritten calculations.)</p> <p>15 Thirty-six hours, when you take getting ready for the</p> <p>16 deposition.</p> <p>17 Q I'm sorry?</p> <p>18 A Thirty-six hours.</p> <p>19 Q Okay, and how many hours do you anticipate</p> <p>20 spending after we leave today to get ready for trial?</p> <p>21 A I don't know. I mean, I don't know if I'm</p> <p>22 going to be asked to do anything else. I haven't been</p> <p>23 asked to do anything else.</p> <p>24 Q What percentage of your income is working --</p>	<p>1 website.</p> <p>2 Q Has it been removed?</p> <p>3 A Last I've looked at it, it did, but it's</p> <p>4 really buried in there. It was, like, you had to know</p> <p>5 where to look. It's primarily an attorneys' website.</p> <p>6 It has an awful name, but it's an attorneys' website and</p> <p>7 so it was really cumbersome to find in the first place,</p> <p>8 but it came up in a trial and I quickly removed it.</p> <p>9 Q Have you ever spoken with Dr. Miklos or</p> <p>10 Dr. Trepeta?</p> <p>11 A No, sir.</p> <p>12 (Whereupon, Exhibit 5 was marked for</p> <p>13 identification.)</p> <p>14 BY MR. HUTCHINSON:</p> <p>15 Q I've handed you what was marked as</p> <p>16 Exhibit 5 -- this is off the record.</p> <p>17 (Discussion off the record.)</p> <p>18 BY MR. HUTCHINSON:</p> <p>19 Q Does this appear to be the reliance list for</p> <p>20 you for this case?</p> <p>21 A Yes, sir.</p> <p>22 Q And is this up to date?</p> <p>23 A It should be, yes, sir.</p> <p>24 Q And did you prepare this document?</p>

<p style="text-align: center;">Page 30</p> <p>1 A I helped prepare the document.</p> <p>2 Q Who prepared the document?</p> <p>3 A Mr. Lundquist's firm prepared it, but we took</p> <p>4 it from my documents and from things that I've written</p> <p>5 for other things, and then I looked at the documents and</p> <p>6 I tried to determine if it was complete. So I was</p> <p>7 assisted, but I didn't do the secretarial work.</p> <p>8 Q Is the time that you spent on this reliance</p> <p>9 list reflected in the total amount of time you spent in</p> <p>10 this case?</p> <p>11 A No, sir.</p> <p>12 Q Well, I'm sorry, we're going to need to get</p> <p>13 back, because my impression was that you spent 36 hours</p> <p>14 total working in this case.</p> <p>15 A Working on the case, yes, sir.</p> <p>16 Q Okay, but that doesn't include the time you</p> <p>17 spent on this reliance list?</p> <p>18 A I didn't spend that much time on it. If</p> <p>19 I spend less than a half an hour, I don't bill. So</p> <p>20 there are times when I could do something very quickly</p> <p>21 and I don't bill, so the time is not there; and then</p> <p>22 they would e-mail me something and I'd look at it and</p> <p>23 I'd say, no, we need to add this, or --</p> <p>24 Q Fair to say that you've spent less than -- or</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 32</p> <p>1 received from plaintiff's counsel were selected by he or</p> <p>2 his firm?</p> <p>3 A No.</p> <p>4 Q Okay. Did you make any efforts to get any</p> <p>5 other documents to rely on to support your opinions?</p> <p>6 A Well, yeah, the reliance list has a lot of</p> <p>7 documents that I've got.</p> <p>8 Q Such as...?</p> <p>9 A The ones that aren't ETH.MESH in my documents</p> <p>10 that I've obtained, primarily.</p> <p>11 Q Did you review any company documents that</p> <p>12 expressed or provided any evidence contrary to your</p> <p>13 opinions in this case?</p> <p>14 A No.</p> <p>15 Q Have you -- let's talk about your opinions for</p> <p>16 a minute.</p> <p>17 A Yes, sir.</p> <p>18 Q Have you published any of the opinions that</p> <p>19 you're offering today?</p> <p>20 A Published? Anywhere -- you mean publicly?</p> <p>21 Q Yes, ma'am.</p> <p>22 A No. No, sir.</p> <p>23 Q And I believe we already established that</p> <p>24 you've not tested -- done any testing of mesh or any</p>
<p style="text-align: center;">Page 31</p> <p>1 a half an hour or less on this reliance list?</p> <p>2 A Yes, sir. I mean, it would be a poor use of</p> <p>3 my time to be working on that list, but to assist is a</p> <p>4 good use.</p> <p>5 Q What percentage of the materials on this</p> <p>6 reliance list were supplied by plaintiffs' counsel?</p> <p>7 A Well, everything with Ethicon mesh has to come</p> <p>8 from the plaintiff's counsel. Some of these documents</p> <p>9 are available, so I would have obtained them, like the</p> <p>10 guidance documents, the FDA public notes, these are all</p> <p>11 available information from the FDA. So all the FDA</p> <p>12 documents were documents that I've -- I obtained, at</p> <p>13 various different times. So those are all publicly</p> <p>14 available. So the good majority of it came from me,</p> <p>15 basically.</p> <p>16 Q And I haven't had a chance to look at these</p> <p>17 black notebooks yet, but are all of these ETH.MESH</p> <p>18 documents in these two black notebooks?</p> <p>19 A The ones that I've relied on should be, yes,</p> <p>20 sir.</p> <p>21 Q Okay. Did you ever ask plaintiff's counsel to</p> <p>22 get you more documents?</p> <p>23 A Not for this case, no, sir.</p> <p>24 Q Do you know how the documents that you</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 33</p> <p>1 type of mesh product.</p> <p>2 A Correct, hands-on testing, that's correct.</p> <p>3 Q And how do you define hands-on testing?</p> <p>4 A Actually treating a patient or also looking at</p> <p>5 a mesh in a laboratory, looking at histology, working up</p> <p>6 mesh issues for Prolene. So I haven't done anything</p> <p>7 like that. The FDA doesn't do that, and so that's not</p> <p>8 the process I learned in the FDA.</p> <p>9 Q What about any benchtop testing? Have you</p> <p>10 ever done any benchtop testing?</p> <p>11 A Not on mesh. I've done benchtop testing, but</p> <p>12 not for mesh.</p> <p>13 Q Okay. Did you do any type of tests whatsoever</p> <p>14 in this case that would be reproducible?</p> <p>15 A I didn't do any testing.</p> <p>16 Q Have you ever spoken with any scientist,</p> <p>17 engineer or medical doctor about your opinions?</p> <p>18 A No, sir.</p> <p>19 Q Have you ever spoken to anyone from the FDA</p> <p>20 about your opinions?</p> <p>21 A No, sir.</p> <p>22 Q Have you ever called or written or contacted</p> <p>23 FDA about any of your opinions?</p> <p>24 A No, sir.</p>

<p style="text-align: right;">Page 34</p> <p>1 Q Is it fair to say that all the opinions you 2 have in this case are for litigation and not for any 3 research or study?</p> <p>4 MR. LUNDQUIST: Objection, form.</p> <p>5 THE WITNESS: At this point in time, that's 6 correct.</p> <p>7 BY MR. HUTCHINSON:</p> <p>8 Q Okay. Are you intending to offer any 9 criticisms of FDA as part of your opinions at trial?</p> <p>10 A No, sir.</p> <p>11 Q Why not?</p> <p>12 A They're working within the framework in which 13 they can work. So no, the issue isn't the FDA. The 14 FDA -- from what I understand, the issue is Ethicon.</p> <p>15 MR. HUTCHINSON: Move to strike as 16 nonresponsive.</p> <p>17 Q Do you have any opinions -- scratch that.</p> <p>18 Are you offering any opinions to a reasonable 19 degree of medical certainty about Ms. Garcia's medical 20 condition?</p> <p>21 A No, that would be cause- -- that's what 22 I would see as causation. Obviously, I think that this 23 device plays into her, but in terms of her physical -- 24 I haven't looked at her records to come up with a</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 36</p> <p>1 THE WITNESS: Well, I wouldn't say that. 2 I would say that I'm not a causation person that would 3 be a physician talking about her specific case. 4 Obviously, if I'm sitting here discussing Ethicon and 5 TTV Secur, then from a health risk assessment, I believe 6 it's associated with her complications and her outcome. 7 So I don't know what you would call that in 8 terms of legal term, but it's not primary causation, but 9 is association. It's related in some way to her medical 10 history in terms of her timing.</p> <p>11 BY MR. HUTCHINSON:</p> <p>12 Q No primary causation opinions about 13 Ms. Garcia, correct?</p> <p>14 A I believe that's what we're talking about. 15 That would be for the physicians like Dr. Miklos and the 16 treating physicians to talk about, but obviously, 17 I think there's an association with her symptoms and the 18 types of symptoms that are seen for these devices. 19 Q Understand. Let me ask you again, so we can 20 have a clear record: You have no primary causation 21 opinions about Ms. Garcia, correct?</p> <p>22 MR. LUNDQUIST: Object to form.</p> <p>23 THE WITNESS: Am I correct that primary 24 causation would be the medical physician treating the</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Page 35</p> <p>1 causation opinion. I believe that would be to a 2 treating physician.</p> <p>3 Q It would be outside of your area of expertise?</p> <p>4 A Well, no, it wouldn't be outside my area of 5 expertise, but it's not what I see as my role. I'm 6 trying to focus it as an FDA regulatory medical officer.</p> <p>7 Q Why do you think that would be within your 8 area of expertise, to offer a causation opinion?</p> <p>9 A Because I did it at the FDA in terms of health 10 risk assessment, in 21 C.F.R. Part 7. So I did that, 11 and you would come up with issues and look for safety 12 issues, but that's not my role in this particular case.</p> <p>13 Q Let's talk about your opinions for a minute.</p> <p>14 A And can I clarify?</p> <p>15 Q Sure.</p> <p>16 A I'm assuming you're asking about standard of 17 care and her treatment and her outcome, and those to me 18 are clinical, and that's why I will defer that to 19 someone who's actually involved with her clinical care 20 and evaluation.</p> <p>21 Q Okay, but for the record, you have no opinions 22 to a reasonable degree of medical certainty about what 23 may have caused Ms. Garcia's alleged injuries, correct?</p> <p>24 MR. LUNDQUIST: Object to form.</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 37</p> <p>1 patient and standard of care? That's not my area of 2 expertise in this particular litigation.</p> <p>3 BY MR. LUNDQUIST:</p> <p>4 Q And you have no opinions -- primary causation 5 opinions about what may have caused Ms. Garcia's alleged 6 injuries, correct?</p> <p>7 MR. LUNDQUIST: Object to form.</p> <p>8 THE WITNESS: Well, that's -- in terms of a 9 health risk assessment, I believe that the vaginal mesh 10 is associated, and I don't know what you would call that 11 in terms of legal -- I believe it's associated.</p> <p>12 BY MR. LUNDQUIST:</p> <p>13 Q Let's talk about the opinions you intend to 14 offer at trial, okay?</p> <p>15 A Okay.</p> <p>16 Q Tell me, in general, what opinions that you 17 plan on offering at trial.</p> <p>18 A Well, shall we go to my disclosure?</p> <p>19 Q You know, that might be a good idea. Let me 20 get it. You're looking at Exhibit 4, correct?</p> <p>21 A Yes, sir, and it would be specifically 22 page 10.</p> <p>23 Q Okay, I'm sorry, I'm on page 9.</p> <p>24 A Well, 9 is my history. You want to go to 9?</p>

<p style="text-align: center;">Page 38</p> <p>1 We can go to 9.</p> <p>2 Q Well, that's where it starts, isn't it?</p> <p>3 A Yes, sir, but it's really basically background</p> <p>4 there.</p> <p>5 Q Okay, but everything about Parisian on pages 9</p> <p>6 through the top of page 14, correct?</p> <p>7 A Yes, sir.</p> <p>8 Q And does this expert disclosure list all of</p> <p>9 the opinions that you plan on offering at trial?</p> <p>10 MR. LUNDQUIST: Form.</p> <p>11 THE WITNESS: The disclosure plus the</p> <p>12 discussion today in the deposition, because the</p> <p>13 disclosure's limited as to what it can say, and so my</p> <p>14 purpose, I believe, for the deposition is that you get</p> <p>15 to explore what those opinions are that are being listed</p> <p>16 here in the -- whatever this is called -- in the</p> <p>17 disclosure. Am I correct?</p> <p>18 BY MR. HUTCHINSON:</p> <p>19 Q Let's look at -- tell me the best way to boil</p> <p>20 down those four pages of opinions. So how would you, as</p> <p>21 a purported expert in this case, boil down your opinions</p> <p>22 from pages 9 to 13?</p> <p>23 MR. LUNDQUIST: Form.</p> <p>24 THE WITNESS: Well, the opinions begin where</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 40</p> <p>1 A Yes, sir.</p> <p>2 Q All right. Let's look at page 9. It states</p> <p>3 in the middle, "Dr. Parisian presided over 162 health</p> <p>4 risk assessments."</p> <p>5 A Actually, it was more than that. It was when</p> <p>6 I was in the Office of Health Affairs, and then I did a</p> <p>7 hundred more when I was in ODE, so it's 262.</p> <p>8 Q For what purpose was that?</p> <p>9 A Pardon.?</p> <p>10 Q For what purpose was that?</p> <p>11 A It was to look at health risk assessments,</p> <p>12 like I was describing before, about what was the cause;</p> <p>13 to make recommendations to the FDA in terms of actions</p> <p>14 to take to protect the public. Many of them were</p> <p>15 voluntarily recalls, some of them are mandatory recalls.</p> <p>16 So it would be the processes described in 21 C.F.R.</p> <p>17 Part 7, health hazard, health risk assessments.</p> <p>18 Q And did you do any health risk assessments for</p> <p>19 mesh?</p> <p>20 A You know, I don't know. I don't recall.</p> <p>21 Q Do you recall doing any health risk</p> <p>22 assessments for any SUI product?</p> <p>23 A I don't recall -- these are post-market</p> <p>24 issues, these are products that are already being</p>
<p style="text-align: center;">Page 39</p> <p>1 it says, on page 10, after "Since leaving the FDA....,"</p> <p>2 the next paragraph, "Dr. Parisian will testify that her</p> <p>3 opinions....," and so we can begin there, because the</p> <p>4 beginning is my history. You can ask me questions about</p> <p>5 my history. That's what before that paragraph.</p> <p>6 Do you have questions before that, or...?</p> <p>7 BY MR. HUTCHINSON:</p> <p>8 Q Let's look at -- let's look at page 9.</p> <p>9 A Okay.</p> <p>10 Q Well, before we do that --</p> <p>11 A Because this is your chance to explore my</p> <p>12 history.</p> <p>13 Q Thank you, and before we do that, if -- would</p> <p>14 it be fair that if I ask you questions about pages 9</p> <p>15 through 14 of this expert designation, and when we get</p> <p>16 to the end of page 14, will we have covered all of your</p> <p>17 opinions in this case?</p> <p>18 A I believe those would be the opinions that I'm</p> <p>19 planning to give in terms of the court. That's why</p> <p>20 I worked with Mr. Lundquist to try and make sure you had</p> <p>21 those opinions, but there's going to be more information</p> <p>22 and support provided in today's discussion.</p> <p>23 Q I understand, but this document would have all</p> <p>24 the -- your general opinions, correct?</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 41</p> <p>1 marketed, and I don't recall specifically, but it was a</p> <p>2 while ago. I mean, the last time I was there it was in</p> <p>3 '95, so out of 262, I don't remember.</p> <p>4 Q It states, on the bottom of page 9, you were</p> <p>5 the FDA's liaison with the National Institutes of</p> <p>6 Health, involving what?</p> <p>7 A For those issues, ENT, ears, nose and throat</p> <p>8 surgery, kidney, pulmonary, women's health and</p> <p>9 alternative medicine. So I was -- had to be the person</p> <p>10 that would go to meetings with the NIH to discuss those</p> <p>11 issues.</p> <p>12 Q The NIH is a very respectable organization,</p> <p>13 correct?</p> <p>14 A Yeah, National Institutes of Health, yes, sir.</p> <p>15 Q It's one of the leading authorities in the</p> <p>16 field?</p> <p>17 A It is -- it is, in terms of this country, yes,</p> <p>18 sir. And in what field? I mean, it is an outstanding</p> <p>19 medical institution, that's all. I mean, it's... I'm</p> <p>20 not going to say anything bad about the NIH.</p> <p>21 Q When you were the liaison with the NIH, did</p> <p>22 you work with them on anything about mesh?</p> <p>23 A Not specifically about mesh.</p> <p>24 Q Anything about SUI?</p>

<p style="text-align: center;">Page 42</p> <p>1 A I don't recall that I did.</p> <p>2 Q Anything about the pelvic floor?</p> <p>3 A I don't recall that I did, but I don't recall</p> <p>4 that I didn't, because about that time there was a</p> <p>5 interest by the NIH on pelvic floor and there was a</p> <p>6 document that was put out, and I don't recall, because</p> <p>7 the issue was that I was handling devices for women in</p> <p>8 terms of medical devices, and so I know that pelvic mesh</p> <p>9 and pelvic surgery was an issue, and I don't recall what</p> <p>10 happened with the NIH.</p> <p>11 Q Let's look on page 10.</p> <p>12 A Yes, sir.</p> <p>13 Q It states, in the middle,</p> <p>14 "During her tenure at FDA, Dr. Parisian</p> <p>15 reviewed hundreds of marketing applications</p> <p>16 for safety and efficacy."</p> <p>17 Correct?</p> <p>18 A Yes, sir.</p> <p>19 Q Those are the 510(k)'s that you reviewed?</p> <p>20 A It would be 510(k)'s and PMAs, and then also</p> <p>21 the investigational device associated clinical trials.</p> <p>22 Q Did any of the 510(k)'s that you reviewed have</p> <p>23 long-term clinical data?</p> <p>24 A Yes. The company would commit to follow up,</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 44</p> <p>1 reviewed recommend -- strike that.</p> <p>2 Did any of the 510(k) applications that you</p> <p>3 reviewed have any labeling that says there was no</p> <p>4 long-term clinical data?</p> <p>5 A Well, there would be nothing that would</p> <p>6 prevent any 510(k) manufacturer from putting that on.</p> <p>7 If a device was particularly dangerous -- and I'm trying</p> <p>8 to think. We had devices that were 510(k)'s because</p> <p>9 510(k)'s would cover implanted devices. We would have</p> <p>10 some kind of statements like that, if there was no</p> <p>11 long-term data known, because it usually would be a risk</p> <p>12 versus benefit, that that would be a device, like I can</p> <p>13 think of devices for, like, handicapped children or</p> <p>14 something that would be the unknown, that they would</p> <p>15 have something like that. So there's nothing that</p> <p>16 prevents that statement, but it's usually a riskier</p> <p>17 device.</p> <p>18 Q All right. Let's go back to my question,</p> <p>19 okay?</p> <p>20 A Okay.</p> <p>21 Q Did you review any 510(k)'s that had any</p> <p>22 labeling, proposed labeling, that says there was no</p> <p>23 long-term clinical data?</p> <p>24 MR. LUNDQUIST: Form.</p>
<p style="text-align: center;">Page 43</p> <p>1 because they would make claim on the long-term follow-up</p> <p>2 data. So for industry to make comparative claims, they</p> <p>3 would come in and say, well, we've done this study, so</p> <p>4 yes.</p> <p>5 Q You've never reviewed a 510(k) for any type of</p> <p>6 mesh product, have you?</p> <p>7 A I don't know, because it's used throughout</p> <p>8 surgical stuff. So I don't -- I don't remember because</p> <p>9 I did surgical devices, so I don't -- I did do mesh.</p> <p>10 I was involved with abdominal adhesions devices that was</p> <p>11 Proceed, that was Ethicon's product. So I was involved</p> <p>12 with mesh issues.</p> <p>13 Q Okay. Let's talk about mesh for the pelvic</p> <p>14 floor. You've never reviewed a 510(k) for mesh for</p> <p>15 pelvic floor, correct?</p> <p>16 A Not that I'm aware of.</p> <p>17 Q Or SUI.</p> <p>18 A Yes, that's correct, because a lot of times,</p> <p>19 they were using -- at the period of time I was there,</p> <p>20 they were doing fascia. So I was aware of urological</p> <p>21 issues, but with primarily with fascia, and they were</p> <p>22 also doing the sacrocolpopexy sling and bone anchor, so</p> <p>23 I don't believe mesh was being used.</p> <p>24 Q Did any of the 510(k) applications that you</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 45</p> <p>1 THE WITNESS: Well, for 510(k), sure, because</p> <p>2 you don't even have to be cleared -- you don't even have</p> <p>3 to be making a device to get a 510(k) cleared.</p> <p>4 So if it was a risky device, that information</p> <p>5 would be included in terms of the risk versus benefit</p> <p>6 for physicians. I don't remember a specific device, but</p> <p>7 would that be unusual? No, I don't think it would be</p> <p>8 unusual, particularly if there was no history for the</p> <p>9 device. But again, it would typically be a risky</p> <p>10 device.</p> <p>11 BY MR. HUTCHINSON:</p> <p>12 Q Down there on the bottom, it said, on page 10,</p> <p>13 it says,</p> <p>14 "Dr. Parisian will provide her opinions</p> <p>15 on the FDA's clearance of TVT-S on</p> <p>16 November 28, 2005, including concerns with</p> <p>17 Ethicon's design validation process."</p> <p>18 Do you see that?</p> <p>19 A Yes, sir.</p> <p>20 Q What concerns do you have about the design</p> <p>21 validation process?</p> <p>22 A It's internal concerns by Ethicon. There's --</p> <p>23 and I have marked some tabs where they discuss it. If</p> <p>24 you look at this book, the first book, which we'll call</p>

<p style="text-align: center;">Page 46</p> <p>1 this one Volume 1, this one that says "TVT Secur," there 2 is a series of documents in here talking about the 3 concerns with quality assurance and the validation 4 process, design protocols. And so there's internal 5 e-mails.</p> <p>6 It would begin with tab 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 7 14, 15, 16, 17, 18. So the tabs that I -- in this 8 Volume 1 book describe internally that the company was 9 concerned, particularly their quality assurance people, 10 with the adequacy of the design validation protocol for 11 the TVT Secur device, and that it wouldn't live up to 12 the scrutiny of the FDA if they came in and inspected 13 because of the way it had been done. There were several 14 series of amendments that were made to the design 15 validation. So I'd direct you to those documents, in 16 terms of TVT Secur.</p> <p>17 I think what's even more important in that 18 opinion, there, is that I see that, as an FDA regulatory 19 person, my role is to explain the history of how the 20 510(k) -- to go step back, to explain to a jury the 21 510(k) process and what it requires, what a 22 manufacturer's required.</p> <p>23 So I believe that's usually what -- so you 24 skipped over that part, but I think that's what I would</p>	<p>1 design -- before a device is released, and so those 2 would be things that, in terms of good manufacturing, 3 are of concern.</p> <p>4 Like, they actually did one design validation 5 where they gave the questionnaires of studies to 6 doctors -- and that would be in tab 11, it would be 7 dated January 26 -- January 3rd, 2006 -- and the 8 doctors' response, when they saw the original study 9 questionnaire, was that they wanted them to revise the 10 IFU.</p> <p>11 So even before they had released the product, 12 of the physicians who were looking at this, the product 13 and the performance of the product as part of Ethicon's 14 design validation, were raising questions about the 15 adequacy of the Instructions For Use for doctors.</p> <p>16 Q Dr. Parisian, do you know what the design 17 requirements are?</p> <p>18 A Sure.</p> <p>19 Q The requirements for design validation?</p> <p>20 A Sure. In terms of the FDA? In terms of 21 21 C.F.R. 820? Sure, you have to ensure that your company 22 is making a product that will fulfill user needs, and 23 you have to address any potential risks in terms of the 24 performance of that product, because it's a prohibited</p>
<p style="text-align: center;">Page 47</p> <p>1 be giving to a jury is explaining the FDA process and 2 then putting the TVT Secur into that context, of 3 framework.</p> <p>4 Q The concerns -- but the concerns that are 5 referenced in this disclosure are concerns that you said 6 you read from company documents, correct?</p> <p>7 A Um, the internal concern has to come from the 8 company documents, because --</p> <p>9 Q Well --</p> <p>10 A -- because this would be privileged 11 information. It wouldn't be out in the real world.</p> <p>12 Q Well, I want to know what -- I want to know 13 your opinions about Ethicon's design validation process.</p> <p>14 A Well, one that the quality assurance personnel 15 were concerned about, that the company was trying to 16 rush this product to market and they hadn't done 17 adequate testing. They hadn't done design validation, 18 which is a key to a successful device, is that the 19 company, under 21 C.F.R. 820, must do adequate design 20 validation to make sure that the device performs as 21 needed for the patient and the physician.</p> <p>22 And so it's a red flag, in a way, when the 23 quality assurance people are concerned about the quality 24 of the design validation that was done before a</p>	<p>1 act for you, Ethicon, to market a device that's not safe 2 and effective.</p> <p>3 Q On the bottom of page 10 it states, 4 "Ethicon relied upon two of their own 5 devices, the TVT and TVT-O, as substantial 6 equivalent predicate devices, despite obvious 7 differences between them."</p> <p>8 Do you see that?</p> <p>9 A Yes, sir.</p> <p>10 Q What are the obvious differences between them?</p> <p>11 A Um, the obvious differences in them -- well, 12 the length of the mesh, the insertion --</p> <p>13 Q The length of mesh between TVT and TVT-O?</p> <p>14 A Yes, sir. Okay, because -- and also, well, 15 TVT is a retro-pubic insertion, makes a U-shaped sling, 16 whereas TVT-O is an H-shaped sling, and so both of them 17 had a process where you would have two points of 18 fixation in terms of putting in your sling, yes.</p> <p>19 Q What other differences between --</p> <p>20 A Well, and so now the company was introducing 21 what they called a single-incision sling, SIS, that 22 had -- was much shorter. It was only 8 centimeters 23 long. So you changed the lengths of the sling.</p> <p>24 You had a new insertion device, in terms of,</p>

<p style="text-align: center;">Page 50</p> <p>1 that had never been used for the TTV or the TTV-O. 2 You had a new insertion row, in terms of also 3 having to tension this device, because neither TTV or 4 TTV-O required tensioning. So that was a new issue. 5 So you had a new inserter, you had new 6 tensioning, you had a new release mechanism, you had -- 7 the Ethisorb's fixation was different than had been done 8 with either one of those devices. 9 So the only thing that's similar is that there 10 was Prolene, but even the Prolene was different, in that 11 the Prolene for TTV and TTV-O was a mechanical-cut 12 Prolene, as opposed to the introduction of a laser-cut 13 Prolene for TTV-S, which changes the stretchability of 14 the Prolene. So there were a lot of differences. 15 Q Do you know how the stretchability of 16 mechanically-cut versus laser-cut mesh has changed the 17 physiological range? 18 A The physiological range -- 19 Q The -- 20 A No, no, no -- 21 Q I'm asking -- 22 A Yes, I do know the answer to that -- 23 Q Okay, can you explain that, please? 24 A -- but I want to explain, the word</p>	<p>1 be the acceptable stretch, to include the TTV Secur. 2 So it was a term introduced by the company to 3 just cover that we had totally changed the 4 stretchability, we had made it a much stiffer mesh, but 5 that term allowed the company internally, in their 6 documents, to then say, well, now we can recite -- we 7 can use the years of the TTV, but the mesh was totally 8 changed, because you had -- laser-cut edging changes the 9 edge, it melts it, so that you have a much rougher edge 10 than you did for TTV and.... So yes, I do know the 11 difference. 12 Q Dr. Parisian, are all of the documents that 13 you relied on in support of any opinion about 14 mechanically-cut versus laser-cut within the documents 15 we've already marked as Exhibit 3, or -- 2, rather? 16 A The one specific for what I'm talking about 17 the physiological range in Ethicon, yes, that's in 18 there -- 19 Q But -- 20 A -- in terms of -- but the issue is, I've dealt 21 with Prolene mesh before, and I know what a laser-cut 22 does versus mechanical-cut, but the documents that would 23 be specifically supporting my opinions about laser-cut 24 are in here.</p>
<p style="text-align: center;">Page 51</p> <p>1 "physiological range" came from the company, and it was 2 introduced by the company -- 3 Q Dr. Parisian -- 4 A No, no, no, let me explain. 5 Q I'll move to strike as nonresponsive. 6 A No, it was -- yes, I do know the difference. 7 Q All right, I'm not sure -- okay, then 8 explain -- 9 MR. LUNDQUIST: Let her finish her answer. 10 BY MR. HUTCHINSON: 11 Q I'm not asking where the name "physiological 12 range" came from. 13 A No, it's -- 14 Q What I'm asking is, do you know -- 15 A Yes, I do know the difference. 16 Q Okay, what's the difference? 17 A The difference is, the physiological range was 18 to cover the range of the change in stretchability. The 19 mechanical-cut was -- had more stretch, was more elastic 20 than the laser-cut mesh, and so in order to be able to 21 reference the seven years of history with the TTV and 22 the TTV-O, the company created the term "physiological 23 range," even though they knew that the Prolene stretch 24 had changed. They expanded the standard for what would</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 53</p> <p>1 Q Did you ever review any clinical expert report 2 regarding mechanically-cut and laser-cut mesh and the 3 responses to that? 4 A No. 5 Q Okay. Let's look at the top of page 11. 6 MR. HUTCHINSON: Off the record for a second. 7 (Discussion off the record.) 8 BY MR. HUTCHINSON: 9 Q It states at the top of page 11 on Exhibit 4, 10 "Dr. Parisian will opine on potential flaws of the 11 design of the TTV-S." Do you see that? 12 A Yes, sir. 13 Q What is -- what are the potential flaws of 14 design of the TTV Secur? 15 A Okay. The potential flaws would be the use of 16 the Ethisorb Dura Patch in terms of the attachment end, 17 because that's not -- that's -- and we can talk about 18 why I think that's -- but just to go through my list. 19 The other one would be the change in the mesh, 20 the stretchability, in terms of decreasing it. 21 Q You're talking about laser-cut versus 22 mechanical-cut? 23 A Yeah, that would be one aspect of it. So we 24 have fixation, poor fixation with the Ethisorb. We have</p>

<p style="text-align: center;">Page 54</p> <p>1 the change in the mesh in terms of the laser cut. We 2 have the tensioning, that the tensioning mechanism is -- 3 has been a major issue in terms of the safety of this 4 device. So there weren't development of instructions on 5 appropriate tensioning.</p> <p>6 Let's see, what else? The -- in terms of, the 7 complaints that were being received originally were the 8 inserter was sharp and could cut things, you know, cut 9 organs and cause damage, and then the release mechanism 10 was problematic in terms of the physician knowing 11 exactly where they were releasing. Not only is 12 tensioning hard, but releasing. The physicians and 13 their key opinion leaders said they have a difficult 14 time knowing where they're putting the mesh.</p> <p>15 Q Anything else?</p> <p>16 A Let's see. 8 centimeters. I think the 17 8 centimeters, when they go into the design of the next 18 generation mesh, I believe they're doing that around 19 2008, they're talking about going with a longer mesh 20 because they kind of arbitrarily chose the 8 centimeters 21 based on that it may be acceptable for most bodies, and 22 so --</p> <p>23 Q Anything else?</p> <p>24 A I'm thinking. Those are the designs. I think</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 56</p> <p>1 safety and effectiveness that are not addressed, and 2 they got clearance, but once the product gets cleared, 3 they are not addressing safety issues in terms of doing 4 a CAPA and creating preventive types of issues, 5 corrective and preventive issues.</p> <p>6 Let's see, what else? And that's not 7 discussing the IFU, because internally there was a 8 discussion that they needed to create a better 9 instructions for the physicians in terms of a cookbook 10 as opposed to the IFU. The IFU doesn't have the same 11 issues that were known in terms of the CER that was 12 written by a Dr. Owens, in terms of a potential risk. 13 None of that information was being provided to the 14 physicians. But that's post-market, so we'll leave 15 that. But the CER was actually pre-market. So they 16 knew those risks and they did not plan to provide those 17 to the physician.</p> <p>18 Q Any other flaws of the design of the TVT-S?</p> <p>19 A Let me look at tab 8. (Witness reviewing 20 documents.) I talked about the Ethisorb, which is your 21 fleece, and we talked about the stretch in terms of the 22 mesh, we talked about that.</p> <p>23 They also changed -- the pull-out force was 24 changed to 164 grams, which was the physiological limit.</p>
<p style="text-align: center;">Page 55</p> <p>1 that the reproducibility is an issue with the design, 2 poor design, in that even the key opinion leaders are 3 saying that they were having a hard time predicting what 4 the outcome would be.</p> <p>5 So that's an issue with the design, that you 6 want to make sure that it can be used consistently by 7 the physicians if they do it right, and by your 8 instructions, that they will have a good outcome, and so 9 that's a flaw.</p> <p>10 Let's see, what else was there? The sheep 11 study. I think the sheep study was a problem. When you 12 go through the sheep study, you realize that they don't 13 do a sheep study simulating use of the product in even 14 the sheep. They do flat pieces of mesh in terms of 15 adhesion, in terms of ability to attach the mesh to the 16 wall with the Ethisorb. They don't simulate a real use 17 situation and the forces of the pelvis.</p> <p>18 Let's see, what else? So animal testing would 19 be an issue.</p> <p>20 Another issue is that they didn't do a 21 comparison of testing of the TVT and the TVT-O to the 22 TVT-S, and so they're saying that they're substantial 23 equivalent, they get cleared to make a product that's a 24 substantial equivalent, and yet there are issues of</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 57</p> <p>1 They made that change for the TVT-S. Originally, the 2 pull-out force had been around 500 grams. So this is 3 coming from my tab 18, and it's going through the design 4 history of the TVT Secur.</p> <p>5 Another issue is that they marketed both the 6 retropubic U and the obturator H as being able to be 7 done with the same device, and that -- that is like, 8 really? You really need to test that out, because 9 you're talking about physicians' ability to plant two 10 different devices, that you supposedly can do it with 11 this device, and I think that -- and that's how they 12 marketed it, and I think even in retrospect, they saw 13 that that was a bad thing to do.</p> <p>14 And they say that the placement of this thing 15 is even more -- in this presentation, is even more 16 critical than when you place the TVT, because if you 17 don't plant -- if you don't place it next to the pelvic 18 bone, the pubic bone, you're going to hit the bowel. So 19 you have an issue of potential bowel perforation. You 20 also have an issue with bladder perforation. There was 21 more bladder perforation, so that also is a poor design.</p> <p>22 And also, the other products had all been sold 23 as tension-free. So this was now adding tension to the 24 whole mix. I think I talked about tensioning, didn't I?</p>

Page 58	Page 60
<p>1 Q Have we discussed all the potential flaws that 2 you believe were in the design of the TTVT-S?</p> <p>3 A That's what I'm trying to do. I'm trying to 4 make sure. So we knew -- I had mentioned the 5 8 centimeters, I mentioned the fixation, I mentioned the 6 correct -- that you need to have the correct placement, 7 and yet, in -- okay, so that's not design. What else?</p> <p>8 The versatility; we talked about the sheep 9 study. Okay, those would be things that, before you put 10 a product on the market, should have been addressed.</p> <p>11 Q Dr. Parisian, have we talked about all your 12 opinions about the potential flaws of the design of the 13 TTVT-S?</p> <p>14 A Well, the other big flaw is they didn't do a 15 clinical trial. The pre-market release that they did 16 with Dr. Artibani and Dr. Nilsson came up with safety 17 issues, and the company was going to proceed ahead with 18 the clinical trials, and I think Dr. Weisberg, in his 19 CER, said that they were not going to do a clinical 20 trial based on it being like the TTVT and the TTVT-O, 21 where internally they're talking about it being 22 different.</p> <p>23 Q We'll add clinical trials to the list. 24 Anything else?</p>	<p>1 potential problem that you have to put into your design 2 documents and your design validation, and that really 3 wasn't adequately addressed, and when it was given to 4 physicians, they said change the instructions, and they 5 didn't. They kept the same instructions.</p> <p>6 So I think, that it was going to be very 7 difficult to put this product in was known before they 8 even launched it in the United States.</p> <p>9 Q Let's look at the -- all right. Anything 10 else, Dr. Parisian?</p> <p>11 A Um --</p> <p>12 Q This is my one and only time to get all your 13 opinions, and I'm entitled to them, so --</p> <p>14 A I know, I'm trying --</p> <p>15 Q -- I need to ask you. Anything else?</p> <p>16 A I'm trying to answer. Well, they worked with 17 Prolene for years, so they should have known about the 18 potential for mesh degradation, that over time you could 19 have mesh degradation. So that was something to also 20 address, because this whole thing is based on the -- and 21 I'm not the pathologist discussing this, but I'm the FDA 22 person -- that the integration of the -- the implant, to 23 hold it in place, is important.</p> <p>24 Q Anything else, Dr. Parisian?</p>
<p style="text-align: center;">Page 59</p> <p>1 A What I'm doing in my answer is I'm generating 2 as pre-market. These are pre-market flaws that you 3 should have been able to see in terms of your risk 4 assessment and your 21 C.F.R. 820, your design flaws. 5 We're not talking about post-market. These are things 6 that should have been known to an expert in this product 7 before they put it on the market.</p> <p>8 Q Have we talked about all potential design 9 flaws in the TTVT-S, in your opinion, pre-market?</p> <p>10 A Well, the other was they relied on engineer 11 Dan Smith, instead of the relying on their own internal 12 physicians, as potential risk.</p> <p>13 Q Okay, anything else?</p> <p>14 A As for pre-market, yes.</p> <p>15 Q Okay, thank you, and these opinions that you 16 formed about the potential design flaws of the TTVT-S, 17 those are opinions are based on your review of Ethicon's 18 documents, correct?</p> <p>19 A Yes, sir.</p> <p>20 Q Anything else?</p> <p>21 A Well, I think the other thing that I didn't 22 mention was the learning curve, that it actually -- the 23 company was aware it was difficult for surgeons to put 24 these in to begin with, and that's a user error</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 61</p> <p>1 A Okay, let me look, let me look. Well, 2 I think --</p> <p>3 Q And let me ask you this. What are you 4 reviewing now?</p> <p>5 A Here, you can see. (Witness indicating.)</p> <p>6 Q You're reviewing your expert designation, 7 correct?</p> <p>8 A Yes, sir.</p> <p>9 Q Okay.</p> <p>10 A And I'm trying to kind of shorten it, so --</p> <p>11 Q Sure, if you can shorten it so we can move on, 12 I'd appreciate it. Anything else?</p> <p>13 A The other issue I think that the company 14 needed to take into consideration was that they were 15 already marketing the TTVT and the TTVT-O, and so those 16 devices actually had a potential risk for erosion; so 17 the complications, dyspareunia, vaginal scarring. So 18 there was a known risk associated with these types of 19 device. They didn't just begin one day and make the TTVT 20 Secur. So those elements that were already known, if 21 you're going to make a less-invasive, safer device, 22 should have been addressed in their early testing and 23 design, and they didn't.</p> <p>24 Q Anything else?</p>

<p style="text-align: center;">Page 62</p> <p>1 A Yeah. Before they even marketed this device, 2 they did have the pre-market information from the 3 physicians who had done it, and there was no plans to 4 have a certification training to make sure that the 5 doctors were aware of how to use this product.</p> <p>6 Q And that's a potential design flaw with the 7 design, correct?</p> <p>8 A From the very beginning, that there was 9 nothing put out that they were going to address it. As, 10 like I said, they already had the history of the TVT, 11 the TVT-O, so --</p> <p>12 Q And any --</p> <p>13 A -- insertions is important.</p> <p>14 Q Move to strike as nonresponsive. Anything 15 else, Dr. Parisian?</p> <p>16 A I think that will do it.</p> <p>17 Q Okay. Look at page -- at the top of page 11.</p> <p>18 A Yes, sir.</p> <p>19 Q It says, 20 "Dr. Parisian will also discuss the 21 adequate and ethical disclosures required when 22 ghostwriting articles."</p> <p>23 Do you see that?</p> <p>24 A Yes, sir.</p>	<p>1 as a "thank you for helping."</p> <p>2 Q Okay, anything -- would you give me another 3 example?</p> <p>4 A Well, and also, those would be under the 5 regulations, in terms of writing adequate instructions 6 and adequate warning, adequate information about the 7 product. Where is this information coming from?</p> <p>8 And so that's required, in terms of 9 ghostwriting, that a company disclose that to a 10 physician, and particularly if they're going to using it 11 as their marketing information that they're going to 12 have their sales reps give out, and so that would be 13 under your 21 U.S.C. 352 and adequate instructions, 14 adequate warnings.</p> <p>15 It would also feed into --</p> <p>16 Q Dr. Parisian, let's stick with answering the 17 question.</p> <p>18 MR. LUNDQUIST: She's answered your question 19 about the ghostwriting.</p> <p>20 MR. HUTCHINSON: I know. I'm asking, for 21 example, she gave me the Artibani sheep study.</p> <p>22 Q What other examples?</p> <p>23 A All right, well, there's the performance 24 evaluations of a new TVT-like mesh system for the</p>
<p style="text-align: center;">Page 63</p> <p>1 Q What do you mean by that?</p> <p>2 A Well, that in terms of, you have to -- well, 3 I would specifically refer you to my book, in terms of 4 tab 6 and tab 7. Do you want me to pull those out, in 5 terms of ghostwriting?</p> <p>6 Ghostwriting refers to -- let me get that, 6 7 and 7.</p> <p>8 Q I'm not asking about what ghostwriting refers 9 to. What I'm asking is: What are your opinions about 10 adequate and ethical disclosures required when 11 ghostwriting? So if you can stick to that question, I'd 12 appreciate it.</p> <p>13 A All right, but for your benefit, I'll 14 reference to you tab 6 and 7, when you have my book.</p> <p>15 Ghostwriting ethical is disclosure. The 16 company needs to inform the physician, or the patient, 17 the source of the information that they're -- that 18 they're providing.</p> <p>19 They have to also disclose their involvement 20 in writing a report. For example, the sheep study was 21 put out, published, and Dr. Artibani says they hadn't 22 been involved in it. He was basically put on the 23 article as a name, and Dan Smith, who had actually done 24 the sheep study involved at this time, was only put on</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 65</p> <p>1 treatment of stress urinary incontinence. It's in my 2 tab 7, and it basically says, we need to get two 3 surgeons as lead authors, otherwise there's a little 4 credibility leak for customers.</p> <p>5 So Ethicon is writing an article to be 6 published about the use of the TVT mesh system for SUI, 7 and they're including the TVT Secur -- they're saying 8 how -- this is -- this example is for TVT, and they're 9 proposing --</p> <p>10 Q Okay. It's not for TVT Secur, correct?</p> <p>11 A Well, that's correct, but the page says, 12 "would suggest something like performance evaluation of 13 this for TVT Secur."</p> <p>14 So this is an example of the company writing 15 an article, they say they're going to find doctors' 16 names to put on the article, and they're talking about 17 that they would like a similar type of article for TVT 18 Secur.</p> <p>19 So this is an example of ghostwriting, and 20 this is an example where there's not a disclaimer that 21 the company has written the article, and that the -- 22 they're just going to find doctors to put the names on.</p> <p>23 Q Can you give me --</p> <p>24 A That's ghostwriting.</p>

<p style="text-align: center;">Page 66</p> <p>1 Q Excuse me. Can you give me any other 2 examples?</p> <p>3 A Well, I gave you those two examples, talking 4 internally.</p> <p>5 Q Well, can you give me any other examples?</p> <p>6 A Well, those are the two I have.</p> <p>7 Q Other than those two.</p> <p>8 A There is, I believe... (Witness reviewing 9 documents.)</p> <p>10 Q And Dr. Parisian, just for the record, when 11 I'm asking for examples, you're looking under tab 6 12 and 7, correct?</p> <p>13 A I was. I'm looking right now at tab 4, 14 because of the -- the plan for how they're going to 15 release the product.</p> <p>16 Q Can you give me any other examples, other than 17 the two that we've already discussed?</p> <p>18 A That's why I'm looking at this one document, 19 to see if they talk about those -- getting publications 20 out, but I don't -- I don't see that they do. So those 21 were the two that I'll stick with.</p> <p>22 Q If you look on -- further on page 11 --</p> <p>23 A Um-hum.</p> <p>24 Q -- kind of in the middle, it states,</p>	<p>1 trials that they -- there wasn't a plan to do clinical 2 trials before they launched, or to do a human trial, or 3 even to do a sheep study that simulated the use.</p> <p>4 So that would be testing, as opposed to the 5 flaws. The design flaws were the obvious issues that 6 they needed to -- but even their testing was inadequate. 7 So that's little bit more.</p> <p>8 Q Let talk about post-market. What do you 9 believe -- what are your opinions as to what Ethicon 10 failed to do on post-market of the TVT Secur?</p> <p>11 A Well, in terms of post-market, they weren't 12 actually -- they didn't get any clinical data on the 13 post-market failure. Most of these devices, when they 14 failed, they were failing in 12 weeks.</p> <p>15 I went and did an MDR search, and that's not 16 in here, but it was part of this type of an opinion, and 17 Medical Device Reports are described under 21 C.F.R. 18 803, and they're mandatory for Ethicon when they receive 19 information about a failure of their device, return of 20 SUI, erosion. Those would be failures.</p> <p>21 If you look at the MDRs, the Medical Device 22 Reports, and you look at the reports that are being 23 discussed internally about the failures, they're not 24 consistent.</p>
<p style="text-align: center;">Page 67</p> <p>1 "Dr. Parisian will also testify that 2 Ethicon failed to adequately study, design and 3 test the TVT-S prior to allowing the device to 4 be sold." 5 Do you see that?</p> <p>6 A Yes, sir.</p> <p>7 Q Have we already discussed all of your opinions 8 relating to the adequacy of studying, designing and 9 testing the TVT Secur? I think so, but I just want to 10 make sure.</p> <p>11 A That's the pre-market, but then it goes on to 12 talk about the post-market.</p> <p>13 Q I understand, pre-market. Have we already 14 discussed all of your opinions?</p> <p>15 A About the potential flaws of the design.</p> <p>16 Q Of the study, design and testing of the TVT 17 Secur, in pre-market.</p> <p>18 MR. LUNDQUIST: Objection.</p> <p>19 THE WITNESS: If I divide it into pre-market, 20 and then the next part of that paragraph --</p> <p>21 BY MR. HUTCHINSON:</p> <p>22 Q I'm sorry, is that a yes?</p> <p>23 A Let me think about it. Yes, I think that 24 would be the -- well, the tests would be the clinical</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 69</p> <p>1 I went and just, last night, quickly just put 2 in "TVT Secur" -- if I can find that document --</p> <p>3 Q Well, why don't you stick with me. On 4 post-marketing opinions --</p> <p>5 A Well --</p> <p>6 Q -- the first one is no clinical data, correct?</p> <p>7 A Well, yeah, this is essential to post-market, 8 because this is --</p> <p>9 Q Okay.</p> <p>10 A -- mandatory.</p> <p>11 Q Are we on the second opinion?</p> <p>12 MR. LUNDQUIST: She's talking about her -- 13 she's still articulating her point on post-market 14 issues, I think.</p> <p>15 THE WITNESS: Yes.</p> <p>16 BY MR. HUTCHINSON:</p> <p>17 Q All right, we're back.</p> <p>18 A Post-market requires -- when I say 19 post-market, that would include complaint file handling, 20 and this would be all described under 21 C.F.R. 820. It 21 would be complaint file handling as well as medical 22 device reporting.</p> <p>23 So internally, the documents, I see 24 discussions of failures in Germany and Australia, and</p>

<p style="text-align: center;">Page 70</p> <p>1 that information is not being filed with the FDA, and it 2 should be, because that information is not -- unless the 3 company is feeling that they don't need to file because 4 it's already in their labeling, okay, in their IFU.</p> <p>5 And so if you look at -- which it's not -- if 6 you look at the trend -- okay, I did a really, really 7 fast look at the Medical Device Reports last night, 8 because this is a discussion about post-market, and 9 I felt that I needed to look at the MDRs to see if the 10 company was filing MDRs, because they're required to 11 when they find out that the product failed.</p> <p>12 And when I looked at the years 2006 through 13 2007, which is a year block, for Gynecare TVT, there 14 were no reports in the FDA's database of anything, okay? 15 So -- and that's all the TVT products. There's nothing.</p> <p>16 And then when I did a look at year -- on the 17 back of this page, you may want to have -- be aware 18 there's information on the back.</p> <p>19 Q This is handwritten notes by you, correct?</p> <p>20 A Yes, sir.</p> <p>21 Q And this is in what we've previously marked as 22 Exhibit 2, correct?</p> <p>23 A Right, but it's on the back, so somebody may 24 miss it.</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 72</p> <p>1 from a user facility, not from the company. 2 The year 2010 through 2011, there were nine 3 reports, and I believe there was one report for Secur, 4 where there was an erosion, and the company said it was 5 because the doctor implanted it too tight for the Secur, 6 and that the woman had had severe pain, on antibiotics 7 for two years, numbness both legs, incontinence, and so 8 that was reported to the company supposedly in 2010 for 9 an implant of 2008.</p> <p>10 When you look at 2011 to 2012, there's 18 11 reports, and the Secur report is actually voluntary, 12 it's by somebody else, for an event from 6/1/2007, where 13 a woman was complaining in one week post her surgery she 14 was incontinent.</p> <p>15 Okay, and then you look at 2012 to 2013, and 16 there are 22 records, of which five of them are Secur. 17 So FDA is not getting a lot of MDR reports here from the 18 company up through 2013.</p> <p>19 Then 2013 to 2014, after Ms. Garcia's 20 procedure, there is over 500 records. I didn't look at 21 all of them because it was late, but there were over 500 22 records.</p> <p>23 So the FDA is not getting reports for anything 24 for TVT really until the year 2013, and there were 61 of</p>
<p style="text-align: center;">Page 71</p> <p>1 Q We'll make sure we have it, and what is this?</p> <p>2 A This is -- so my computer wasn't working very 3 well last night, so that's why I didn't print it all 4 out, but I went and I looked at the years 2007 to 2008, 5 for all Gynecare TVT, all products, and there were three 6 MDRs filed with the FDA. Only one of them was for 7 Secur, and it was filed not by the company but by a user 8 facility, and I printed it out, and it was for a bent 9 device. It wasn't for a patient injury, it was a bent 10 device that the doctor had, and they had to send it 11 back. So there were three, a total of three MDRs.</p> <p>12 Then I looked at the year 2008 and 2009. 13 There were nine MDRs for all of TVT. Two of those were 14 for Secur. So 2008, 2009.</p> <p>15 Now, internally we're seeing discussions of 16 Germany and Australia, failures for people like Lucente, 17 and they're not being captured in the MDRs. They should 18 be, because the IFU and the labeling doesn't have the 19 severity and the frequency with which reports of 20 erosion, dyspareunia is occurring and failure. So that 21 information would be required to be reported to the FDA, 22 in terms of the regulations, 21 C.F.R. 803.</p> <p>23 Year 2009 through 2010, there's eight reports, 24 and there is one Secur report in those eight, and it was</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 73</p> <p>1 those 500 I counted were Secur, which is a small 2 percentage, really, of over 500 reports. I could go 3 month by month and find out what the total would be, but 4 I think it makes its point, that FDA was really not 5 getting Medical Device Reports from the company until 6 2013, and yet their records show that they were aware of 7 issues before that, and they're not getting a lot of 8 reports for Secur.</p> <p>9 I mean, in 2013, they have 61 reports out of 10 500. That's a small percentage that's Secur. The year 11 2014 to 2015, there's 500 records.</p> <p>12 So if you're going to say that they're 13 required to report Medical Device Reports to the FDA to 14 notify the FDA or alert the FDA of a safety signal or an 15 issue with their product, there's no signal here for 16 Secur until -- or anything with TVT until 2014, 2013.</p> <p>17 So they're not filing MDR reports as they're 18 required to do, and if a physician knew how to look up 19 MDR reports, say, any physician who decided, I'm going 20 to use this device versus another, the MDRs really are 21 fairly silent. There's not a lot of reports. So 22 I think that feeds into that opinion.</p> <p>23 Q All right. Dr. Parisian, have we discussed 24 all of your post-marketing --</p>

<p style="text-align: right;">Page 74</p> <p>1 A No, no, that's just getting started.</p> <p>2 MR. HUTCHINSON: All right. Well, I'll tell 3 you what. I'm going to -- and we'll -- I told everybody 4 that at the beginning of this deposition that I'm trying 5 to be respectful for the witness' time, but we're just 6 simply not going to finish today if we continue down 7 this path.</p> <p>8 So I'm going to ask, Dr. Parisian, if you 9 would, just in an effort for me to be respectful for 10 your time and your -- Mr. Lundquist's time, if you could 11 stick with my question, so we can kind of move on.</p> <p>12 MR. LUNDQUIST: And hold on, Doctor.</p> <p>13 I mean, with all due respect, Chad, you're 14 asking a pretty broad question, on the -- you're asking 15 her the basis for her opinion. She's given it to you.</p> <p>16 MR. HUTCHINSON: Okay.</p> <p>17 MR. LUNDQUIST: I think she's being actually 18 fairly as succinct as she can, over a six-year period, 19 with some of these MDR reports. And to be clear, 20 I mean, we will be finished today after six hours on the 21 record. If you want more time, obviously, you have to 22 take that up with the judge.</p> <p>23 MR. HUTCHINSON: Okay.</p> <p>24 MR. LUNDQUIST: But if you want to ask her</p>	<p>1 my tab 45, this is a presentation May 15th, 2007 at the 2 European Feedback Board Update, and the company's 3 talking about the failure rates in terms of the TVT 4 Secur. They knew that the TVT-O had had a failure rate 5 from early experience of 89 percent, whereas the TVT 6 Secur was 74 percent. They knew the Monarc was 7 supposedly 91 percent.</p> <p>8 They talk about the things they knew about the 9 device and the problems with it. The issue of tension 10 was discussed in that meeting. They said that there's 11 large variations of the surgical procedures. They said 12 results on incontinence are not consistent with 13 70 percent rather than 90 percent, results were 14 unpredictable. So that would be another example, with 15 the European experiences.</p> <p>16 They were saying that in 2007, that the key 17 experts and nonexperts are disappointed with the product 18 and are abandoning the procedure. They said that there 19 was no advantage over the conventional TVT and to accept 20 these failures that they're seeing. They're already 21 talking about coming up with another device, Dr. Leval's 22 mini TVT-O. So that's 2007.</p> <p>23 The Australian would be around.... So 24 internally, they were getting information that the</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Page 75</p> <p>1 more specific questions, perhaps --</p> <p>2 BY MR. HUTCHINSON:</p> <p>3 Q Doctor --</p> <p>4 MR. LUNDQUIST: You understand where I'm 5 coming from, as well.</p> <p>6 BY MR. HUTCHINSON:</p> <p>7 Q Dr. Parisian, your opinions on post-marketing 8 surveillance relates no clinical data, the filing or not 9 filing of the MDR reports. Any other opinions?</p> <p>10 A Yes. In 2007, the German complaints they 11 received, January 2007, my tab 49, they received 12 complaints from Germany of 49 reports of failures, at 13 four to 12 weeks.</p> <p>14 They also had complaints of Australia, the 15 Australian issue in 2007, that they were having problems 16 in Australia with the three key opinion leaders in 17 Australia having a 65 percent failure rate, a 30 percent 18 failure rate and a 45 percent failure rate. Those were 19 post-market, because this is the performance of the 20 device in human beings outside the United States.</p> <p>21 Q Anything else?</p> <p>22 A So, yeah, Germany, let's see... I would say 23 also the European experience that the company had in 24 2007, talking about that the -- and this would be under</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 77</p> <p>1 product was having problems.</p> <p>2 Q Anything else, on post-marketing?</p> <p>3 A Okay, let's see. Well, again, this would be a 4 document that was -- we're talking about what 5 happened -- what did they have before June 2010 on 6 Ms. Garcia's surgery.</p> <p>7 Q No, I'm asking you about any other opinions 8 about post-marketing.</p> <p>9 A No, but I tried to testify on that 10 particularly. So there is a presentation, Incontinence 11 Platform, August 19th, by Harel Gadot, which would be 12 also in Europe, and he's talking about the International 13 UGA abstract, that there was de novo urgency reported in 14 the abstracts, and 4.2 percent voiding dysfunction.</p> <p>15 So there was information from Europe 16 Dr. Lucente experienced at six weeks. He had -- he was 17 having a high failure rate, and that's Dr. Lucente, 18 who's one of the key people for this product. They know 19 that there's a huge -- that the learning curve is great. 20 So this is all post- -- this is all post-market issue.</p> <p>21 And this is where they say in the 22 presentation, "It is completely different than the TVT 23 and the TVT-O." That's significant, because the 510(k) 24 cleared it to be marketed only because it was</p>

<p style="text-align: center;">Page 78</p> <p>1 substantially equivalent. So internally, the company's 2 aware from the European data that it's not a substantial 3 equivalent. That would then make it by definition an 4 adulterated device. It wasn't the device cleared by the 5 FDA.</p> <p>6 Q Anything -- any other opinions regarding 7 post-marketing surveillance?</p> <p>8 A Okay. User error is a post-market issue, and 9 they have --</p> <p>10 Q Okay, tell me about your opinions about user 11 error, please.</p> <p>12 A Tab 41 begins with the Germany experience, 13 poor experience in tensioning, and then tab 42, 14 March 2007, there is discussion about the learning 15 curve, longer learning curve; mesh tensioning was 16 different than any of the other kits. Tab 42.</p> <p>17 Tab 43, which is a March 2007 e-mail, early 18 results of TVT Secur, they're saying that the tension 19 isn't tight enough. So tension, 30 percent recurrence, 20 that I know about, in terms of that tab, early results 21 of TVT Secur. And the salespeople are -- in Europe are 22 asking about the rumor that there's 30 percent 23 incontinence.</p> <p>24 Q And -- sorry.</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 80</p> <p>1 train people.</p> <p>2 Well, you don't do that. If there's a user 3 error or problem, you don't just allow them to continue 4 to use it to keep the product on the market.</p> <p>5 Q Anything else?</p> <p>6 A They didn't conduct a recall in the United 7 States. When they knew that the product was not 8 performing as it was cleared, and it was adulterated and 9 it wasn't safe and effective, they didn't stop sales, 10 they didn't contact physicians, like Dr. Miklos, 11 Dr. Walss, and let them know about the potential risks 12 with this product, particularly for the women who were 13 implanted already, and they continued to market a 14 product that was defective, basically, in terms of 21 15 C.F.R. 806. It should have actually been -- marketing 16 should have been stopped. There are plenty of other 17 products.</p> <p>18 Q Anything else?</p> <p>19 A That you continue to market an adulterated 20 device?</p> <p>21 Q Yes, ma'am. Anything else, any other opinions 22 related to post-marketing?</p> <p>23 A Well, in all that post-marketing, they also 24 knew the pre-marketing flaws, in terms of what I had</p>
<p style="text-align: center;">Page 79</p> <p>1 A So those are post-market.</p> <p>2 Q Any other opinions about post-market, 3 Dr. Parisian?</p> <p>4 A Well, I would definitely send you to tab 44, 5 which is a PowerPoint presentation called "TVT Secur" 6 before the Quality Board.</p> <p>7 Q Yeah, I'm not -- I'm not going to get to the 8 documents that you looked at. I just want to know what 9 your opinions were on what Ethicon did wrong in 10 post-marketing.</p> <p>11 A Okay, well, they weren't filing MDRs. They 12 weren't doing a corrective and preventive action to 13 correct the issues that are being described when they 14 know their product's not substantial equivalent. Can't 15 do that under 21 C.F.R. 820. So you can't market a 16 product that you have all these signals from post-market 17 that it's not performing like you're cleared.</p> <p>18 Q Anything else?</p> <p>19 A Well, in terms of post-marketing, in terms of 20 Australia, where they're having problems, the company 21 says, in this Quality Assurance -- Quality Board 22 presentation, that despite knowing that the product is 23 failing in Australia and there's an issue, that they're 24 going to keep the product in the market in order to</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 81</p> <p>1 originally said about their design, testing, sheep.</p> <p>2 So that should have all been something that 3 should have raised a red flag, because they knew the 4 background of this product, and the other products. So 5 they should have incorporated a comparison of the 6 products already being sold into their post-market.</p> <p>7 So you didn't have notification of physicians. 8 You didn't have notification of the FDA, because you 9 didn't have MDRs being filed. You didn't have FDA being 10 told the product isn't performing the way it was 11 supposed to. You had continuing marketing of a product 12 that was not -- that was not performing the way it was 13 supposed to. You didn't have updating of the IFU to 14 inform people of the risk of the frequency.</p> <p>15 Q Okay.</p> <p>16 A You didn't have updating of the IFU in terms 17 of CAPA, as to which patient should this be used for, 18 because they make a claim to the FDA that it's only 19 certain patients, and in Australia they're proposing, 20 well, maybe we'll tell the Australians it's obese women 21 or really healthy women. So they don't narrow down who 22 is this device for --</p> <p>23 Q Okay.</p> <p>24 A -- and is there any benefit of this device.</p>

<p style="text-align: center;">Page 82</p> <p>1 And so I think notification, continued marketing, no 2 reporting, failure to correct the problem --</p> <p>3 Q Anything else?</p> <p>4 A That's quite a bit. Let me think about that.</p> <p>5 Q All right. Have we discussed all of your 6 opinions as they relate to the pre-marketing and 7 post-marketing of TVT Secur?</p> <p>8 A Let me look at this a minute. Well, I think 9 my other opinion is that this product wasn't going to 10 work. I mean, they didn't convey to physicians this 11 unpredictability, and I think Dr. Miklos will actually 12 testify about that more, but it was, like, Dr. Walss and 13 all other physicians using this product were doomed 14 because, for one, they say there's a learning curve; 15 some people say there's over a hundred patients; they 16 don't have good instructions in terms of tensioning.</p> <p>17 There's no way that you could use this 18 product -- the KOL, the key guys, in 2006, were having a 19 hard time using it. So if the key users can't use it 20 reliably, then who -- what other physicians are going to 21 use this product?</p> <p>22 So it's failing of the company to tell the 23 doctors that this is a really risky product and there's 24 a high risk, and do you really want to use it? And</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 84</p> <p>1 that needs to be included too, because the patient 2 brochure was -- the one I have is generated in 2008, and 3 it says, if -- the only number in there is 97 percent. 4 So for TVT family, it says that you're going to have 5 a -- it implies a 97 percent success rate.</p> <p>6 So if a woman received such a brochure, it 7 doesn't have adequate information about risks or 8 warnings, in terms of the differences in the risk for a 9 TVT-O versus TVT, versus a TVT Secur. That information 10 is not in there. They just put them all together as a 11 TVT family, and I think that's misleading in terms of 21 12 C.F.R. 801.109, that's adequate prescription labeling, 13 and FDA uses that also to apply to a direct-to-consumer 14 information.</p> <p>15 BY MR. HUTCHINSON:</p> <p>16 Q And that's --</p> <p>17 A So you have to be truthful and accurate there.</p> <p>18 Q I'm sorry. Are you finished?</p> <p>19 A I think so.</p> <p>20 Q Okay. Have we now discussed all of your 21 opinions as they relate to the pre-marketing and 22 post-marketing of TVT Secur and the bases for those 23 opinions?</p> <p>24 A Well, we haven't talked about bases. You</p>
<p style="text-align: center;">Page 83</p> <p>1 I think that my tabs for that would be tab 48, tab 47, 2 tab 49, tab 53, 54, and 58, and then also Dr. Miklos, 3 because he had been a preceptor, and he said how 4 difficult it is to place.</p> <p>5 So it's like -- it's like getting a product 6 with -- from Home Depot with Chinese instructions, and 7 no one's ever going to put it together, and that's 8 basically what's happening, and so it's getting blamed 9 on user error, but there's a point where it's not user 10 error, it's design error that's also contributing to the 11 user error, and so that goes back to design flaws of the 12 pre-market.</p> <p>13 Q Have we discussed now all of your 14 pre-marketing and post-marketing opinions and the bases 15 for those opinions?</p> <p>16 A Well, the other one would include that 17 post-market, they're not informing the FDA. So that's 18 actually kind of its own opinion.</p> <p>19 Q Have we now discussed all of your opinions, as 20 they relate to the TVT Secur?</p> <p>21 MR. LUNDQUIST: Post-market or pre-market?</p> <p>22 Yeah, okay.</p> <p>23 THE WITNESS: We didn't touch on the user 24 brochure. In my book, there's a patient brochure, and</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 85</p> <p>1 haven't wanted me to go through the bases, but these are 2 my opinions as to them. We've talked about what my 3 opinions are, and then I've been trying to lead you to 4 the bases, but we haven't discussed those.</p> <p>5 Q Okay, well, I need to find out all the bases 6 for your opinions, too.</p> <p>7 A I know. I've been trying to provide it to 8 you.</p> <p>9 Q Well, if you could -- if you could do that for 10 me, I'd appreciate it.</p> <p>11 A Well, that's why I'm trying to give you the 12 bases in terms of the tabs --</p> <p>13 Q Okay.</p> <p>14 A -- so you know which documents I'm looking at, 15 and we can go through those documents specifically, but 16 I was trying to shorten it, to give you the opinions.</p> <p>17 Q Okay.</p> <p>18 A That's what you asked. That's what 19 I understood you to ask.</p> <p>20 Q Okay. Have we now discussed all of your 21 opinions as they relate to the pre-marketing and 22 post-marketing of TVT Secur?</p> <p>23 A Well, I also read Dr. Walss' testimony and 24 I think my opinions are relevant in terms of his use of</p>

<p style="text-align: center;">Page 86</p> <p>1 the choice of this product to implant in Ms. Garcia, to 2 bring this back to Ms. Garcia; and also Dr. Miklos. 3 I think they're consistent with what Dr. Miklos says in 4 terms of his understanding.</p> <p>5 So the post-market are further supported in 6 Ms. Garcia's case by both of those physicians, in terms 7 of their experience with these products from a clinical 8 standpoint.</p> <p>9 Q Okay. Have we now discussed all of your 10 opinions as they relate to the pre-marketing and 11 post-marketing of TVT Secur?</p> <p>12 A As topics. We can go through specific 13 documents and I can show you the basis for each one of 14 those opinions.</p> <p>15 Q But as topics, we've covered them all, 16 correct?</p> <p>17 A I've been trying to summarize it all into -- 18 let's see. In terms of the post-market, I think the 19 Australian is important, and it's another opinion, in 20 that the FDA wasn't told about the potential risks for 21 Australia. The company never conducted a recall in 22 Australia. They had a Dear Doctor letter, so that they 23 were going to update training. Physicians didn't want 24 retraining, and the company was thinking about</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 88</p> <p>1 Q Have we now discussed all of your opinions -- 2 MR. LUNDQUIST: Form.</p> <p>3 BY MR. HUTCHINSON:</p> <p>4 Q -- as to the post-marketing and pre-marketing 5 of TVT Secur?</p> <p>6 A There's a lot of opinions there.</p> <p>7 Q I'm not asking you how many, I'm just asking 8 if we've discussed them all.</p> <p>9 A Let's see. I'm trying to... Well, in 10 post-market, I think there's also, I believe, in tab 44, 11 when they're talking about the challenges, lessons 12 learned, the company as part of their post-market --</p> <p>13 Q I want to talk about your opinions, not what 14 the document says.</p> <p>15 A No, the opinions --</p> <p>16 Q Tell me what your opinion is.</p> <p>17 A The opinion is that the company identified 18 that the rush to market without clinical data was a 19 significant factor in terms of the failure of this 20 product in terms of, to succeed. So that would mean 21 that the company identified that as a post-market issue, 22 that they weren't going to do that in the future is what 23 they said, and that would come out of tab 44, and they 24 said that in 2007, years before Ms. Garcia was</p>
<p style="text-align: center;">Page 87</p> <p>1 introducing this product to block off the Miniarc.</p> <p>2 And that information, FDA's required to have 3 that information, too, in terms of post-market safety 4 information about a product that's being marketed. That 5 would be significant to FDA, that the Austr- -- human 6 data, no matter where the source, is significant to the 7 FDA in terms of safety, patient safety, because when 8 products are cleared, there's little human data.</p> <p>9 And so the Germany experience and the 10 Australian experience should have been told to the FDA 11 in some fashion.</p> <p>12 Q Okay.</p> <p>13 A And it wasn't, and so that also would be 14 misbranding of your device in terms of 21 U.S.C. 352(t), 15 small T, that you have to provide reports to the FDA, 16 and that would be information that needs to be safety 17 information, even for a 510(k), that needed to be 18 communicated to the FDA, whether it was MDRs or it was 19 just direct notification of the FDA.</p> <p>20 Q Have we now discussed all of your opinions?</p> <p>21 A And also, more importantly, as Dr. Walss said, 22 that would have been important to him to have known 23 about, and also Dr. Miklos; so not only to communicate 24 it to the FDA, but to communicate it to the physicians.</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 89</p> <p>1 implanted.</p> <p>2 In tab 50, the company was aware that it was 3 suboptimal performance.</p> <p>4 Q Do you have any other -- I'm sorry.</p> <p>5 A Yeah, and then the marketing, we haven't 6 talked about the marketing, because marketing actually 7 would fit under post-market issues too, in terms of the 8 information that the physicians were being told about 9 the success and performance of this product, that was 10 not acceptable too. The claims that were being made for 11 this product as being less invasive, less risky, it's 12 implying it's a safer device and it's not, in terms 13 TVT-O and TVT. So marketing is another issue.</p> <p>14 So we have physician notification, FDA 15 notification, we have a learning curve, training, 16 tensioning, we have marketing claims, we have failure to 17 communicate risks even to patients, doctors, and failure 18 to file MDRs, failure to make effective CAPAs, in terms 19 of quality assurance, continuing to market an 20 adulterated device, prohibited act, 21 U.S.C. 331(a) and 21 (b).</p> <p>22 Also, and we haven't talked specifically about 23 the IFUs. We'll talk about that.</p> <p>24 Q We'll get to the IFUs in a minute, but is that</p>

<p style="text-align: center;">Page 90</p> <p>1 a fair summary, what you just gave, of all your opinions 2 as related to the pre-marketing and post-marketing of 3 the TVT Secur?</p> <p>4 A Yeah, and then what they had learned --</p> <p>5 Q And I'm sorry, is that a yes?</p> <p>6 A I think so, and what they had learned in terms 7 of the next generation, which was, by 2008, they're 8 already talking about what they were going to do with 9 the next device.</p> <p>10 So there is this post-market where they're 11 moving on to another device already before Ms. Garcia is 12 implanted, and then -- let's see.</p> <p>13 Also the communication with the FDA in terms 14 of the 522, not doing the 522. The communication is not 15 that this is -- we're taking this off the market because 16 it's a dangerous device. The company told the FDA, 17 supposedly, based on the company's documents, that it 18 was a safe device and we were just making a marketing 19 decision, and it's not accurate. That would fit into 20 the FDA reporting.</p> <p>21 Q Okay.</p> <p>22 A But they didn't get a 522, and then they 23 closed the registry, in terms of post-market 24 information. They had opened a registry, they knew they</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 92</p> <p>1 THE WITNESS: That would be fine. I'd like 2 that.</p> <p>3 (Deposition in recess from 10:55 a.m. to 11:04 a.m.)</p> <p>4 BY MR. HUTCHINSON:</p> <p>5 Q Dr. Parisian, we're back on the record. You 6 realize that?</p> <p>7 A Yes.</p> <p>8 Q Are you ready to go?</p> <p>9 A Yes, sir.</p> <p>10 Q Okay. Now, you're a pathologist, is that 11 correct?</p> <p>12 A Yes, sir.</p> <p>13 Q Last time you treated a living patient was 14 when?</p> <p>15 A In the 1980s. I was a company doc.</p> <p>16 Q You're not a member of the American Medical 17 Association?</p> <p>18 A No, no, I am.</p> <p>19 Q You are?</p> <p>20 A Yes.</p> <p>21 Q How long have you been a member of the 22 American Medical Association?</p> <p>23 A For years.</p> <p>24 Q More than 10 years?</p>
<p style="text-align: center;">Page 91</p> <p>1 needed clinical data, and they closed it prematurely, 2 without the data, and we don't have the follow-up to 3 what happened to that registry data. So that's another 4 post-market issue.</p> <p>5 Q So have we now discussed all your opinions as 6 it relates to the pre-marketing and post-marketing of 7 the TVT Secur?</p> <p>8 A I think also, I would reference Dr. Miklos' 9 report --</p> <p>10 Q Well, instead of referencing reports, I want 11 to talk about your opinions.</p> <p>12 A Yeah.</p> <p>13 Q Okay.</p> <p>14 A But --</p> <p>15 Q So why don't you tell me: Have we discussed 16 all of your opinions as they relate to the pre-marketing 17 and post-marketing of TVT Secur?</p> <p>18 A We have discussed my opinions other than the 19 IFU. I said we haven't specifically discussed the IFU.</p> <p>20 Q All right, thanks.</p> <p>21 Your lawyer -- I mean, I'm sorry --</p> <p>22 Ms. Garcia's lawyer has asked to take a quick bathroom 23 break. So why don't we go off the record for just a 24 second.</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 93</p> <p>1 A More than 10 years, yeah.</p> <p>2 Q Okay. Have you ever been board-certified in 3 internal medicine?</p> <p>4 A No, sir, just pathology, anatomic and 5 clinical.</p> <p>6 Q Do you have any staff privileges at any 7 hospital?</p> <p>8 A No, sir.</p> <p>9 Q Are you credentialed at any hospital?</p> <p>10 A No, not right now. I'm licensed, but not 11 credentialed.</p> <p>12 Q You're not a biostatistician?</p> <p>13 A That's not my training. I've used biostats 14 for different issues, but I'm not -- that would not be 15 what I would put myself out as.</p> <p>16 Q Or an epidemiologist?</p> <p>17 A Only in that I've done epidemiology for the 18 FDA. I have hands-on epidemiology, but I don't have a 19 degree in epidemiology.</p> <p>20 Q But you're not holding yourself out as an 21 expert in epidemiology, correct?</p> <p>22 A Not for this litigation.</p> <p>23 Q Or a toxicologist?</p> <p>24 A I've been trained -- I can run a toxicology</p>

<p style="text-align: right;">Page 94</p> <p>1 lab as a pathologist, but I'm not discussing toxicology 2 for this litigation. I've had no toxicology in terms of 3 FDA.</p> <p>4 Q You're not -- I'm sorry.</p> <p>5 A Well, because I had to look at animal data. 6 They didn't -- as a pathologist, they used me also to do 7 a lot of toxicology work for devices.</p> <p>8 Q You're not a polymer scientist, biomaterial 9 engineer or behavioral scientist, correct?</p> <p>10 A Those aren't my specialties. I've been 11 involved in all those issues.</p> <p>12 Q You're not a surgeon?</p> <p>13 A That is correct.</p> <p>14 Q Not a gynecologist?</p> <p>15 A Well, I did a lot of gynecology in terms of 16 general practice. So I've done it as a family 17 practice-type situation, but I'm not a gynecologist.</p> <p>18 Q What about a urologist?</p> <p>19 A No, I'm not a board-certified urologist. I've 20 done some urology. I mean, I'm assuming you're talking 21 about someone that's your specialty every day. Yes, 22 I am not a practicing urologist.</p> <p>23 Q And you're not a urogynecologist, either, are 24 you?</p>	<p>1 case. Medical specialties, not FDA regulatory, medical 2 specialties.</p> <p>3 MR. LUNDQUIST: Form.</p> <p>4 THE WITNESS: The medical specialties would be 5 anatomic clinical pathology, but I'm not -- that is not 6 my sole role here.</p> <p>7 BY MR. HUTCHINSON:</p> <p>8 Q And you're not an expert in determining 9 corporate motive or intent, are you?</p> <p>10 A I wouldn't even do that. The only thing 11 I would use is documents that state what the intent or 12 motive is, not a subjective intent or motive, that's 13 correct, but what the documents in evidence support, or 14 what their testimony is. That would be them saying what 15 their intent was.</p> <p>16 Q Do you know what the current gold standard for 17 the treatment of SUI is?</p> <p>18 A No, not -- I don't know right now what today 19 it is, because there's a lot of options, and also the 20 SUI can have -- the degree with which the woman has SUI 21 would determine the options, whether she goes through in 22 surgery or whether she goes to minimally invasive or 23 whether she doesn't get anything now and uses Kugel 24 exercises, just those with pads. So I don't know what</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Page 95</p> <p>1 A I'm not a practicing urogynecologist, but I'm 2 a pathologist. So in terms of the anatomy, I'm aware of 3 the anatomy and the issues about it.</p> <p>4 Q You're not an expert in SUI?</p> <p>5 A Only in the regulatory issues for SUI. I'm 6 not a person who would treat patients for SUI.</p> <p>7 Q Not in the medical sense, correct?</p> <p>8 A Yes, correct.</p> <p>9 Q And in fact, you're not an expert in any of 10 the medical specialties that may be at issue in this 11 case, correct?</p> <p>12 MR. LUNDQUIST: Object, form.</p> <p>13 THE WITNESS: I'm an FDA regulatory expert. A 14 pathologist does have some role. I'm not your 15 pathologist, there's a pathologist, but I have that 16 clinical training and background as an FDA medical 17 person.</p> <p>18 So I don't know what you're -- I mean, I'm 19 not -- I'm an FDA medical officer. So I'm talking about 20 regulatory issues for a medical device in a particular 21 case, so --</p> <p>22 BY MR. HUTCHINSON:</p> <p>23 Q Well, I'm asking you if you're an expert in 24 any of the medical specialties that are at issue in this</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 97</p> <p>1 the gold standard is.</p> <p>2 Q Are you familiar with the American 3 Urogynecological Society or the American Urology 4 Associates or Association, or the International 5 Urogynecological Association?</p> <p>6 A I'm familiar with the words and what they've 7 done and their involvement in some of the SUI issues.</p> <p>8 Q Are they well respected societies within the 9 field?</p> <p>10 A They are the societies for the field. Well 11 respected by who? I mean, there are people join them. 12 Some of those fields actually had begun some of the 13 concerns about SUI and mesh products.</p> <p>14 Q Would you consider them leaders in the field, 15 these societies?</p> <p>16 A They are societies for those fields, so 17 there's nobody -- so they would be the leaders for those 18 fields, because they're professional organizations.</p> <p>19 Q Would you consider them well respected?</p> <p>20 A Yeah, doc --</p> <p>21 Q Within the medical community?</p> <p>22 A Yeah, I have no reason to -- I mean, it's like 23 the AMA. Is the AMA well respected in the medical 24 community? It's a professional organization. People</p>

<p style="text-align: center;">Page 98</p> <p>1 join it.</p> <p>2 Q And you relied, in reaching some of your 3 opinions, in part, on some of the literature from these 4 societies, correct?</p> <p>5 A Yeah, and some of their meetings and some of 6 their concerns, when they were concerned, I think around 7 at 2006, about the safety of surgical mesh. They 8 actually were bringing it to the forefront. FDA 9 actually was responding to the specialists who were 10 concerned about SUI and pelvic floor replacement, POP 11 procedures.</p> <p>12 Q Have you ever diagnosed, treated or managed 13 SUI?</p> <p>14 A Yeah, sure. I mean, I've had women with SUI, 15 and you tell them what it is, and you talk to them about 16 what the options would be, and just -- that was back in 17 the 80's.</p> <p>18 Q And you did that when you were a pathologist?</p> <p>19 A No, when I was treating real people, living 20 patients, back in the 80's. I was the company doctor at 21 Avtex, and I was the first -- you would have patients 22 come in and they would explain what their problems were, 23 and then I would have to send them to somebody else, 24 refer them. So yeah, I would have to talk to them about</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 100</p> <p>1 in any person's body, correct?</p> <p>2 A Um, I don't know if I have. I mean, I put 3 sutures in people. I mean, I've done lacerations. 4 I don't know if I've never -- I've assisted when they've 5 implanted devices. I've not been the primary implanting 6 of, like, a hip implant or something like that.</p> <p>7 Q And do you have any expertise in implanting 8 medical devices in any way?</p> <p>9 A I don't know, because you're talking about a 10 history going back to the 70's, and so I don't know.</p> <p>11 Q Okay.</p> <p>12 A Um, medical devices -- I mean, I wouldn't say 13 I'm a cardiologist, I didn't do pacemakers, so I didn't 14 do any specialized devices, but to say I've never 15 implanted a medical device, I don't know.</p> <p>16 Q Do you -- well, that's not my question. My 17 question was, do you have any expertise in implanting 18 medical devices?</p> <p>19 A From the regulatory point of view --</p> <p>20 Q I'm not asking for the regulatory, if 21 you don't mind, I'm asking for a medical standpoint. Do 22 you hold yourself out as having expertise in implanting 23 medical devices?</p> <p>24 A I've been around where medical devices -- I've</p>
<p style="text-align: center;">Page 99</p> <p>1 SUI.</p> <p>2 Q Right, but you never managed a patient with 3 SUI or treated a patient with SUI, did you?</p> <p>4 A I would have referred them to somebody or told 5 them what their options were. Oftentimes they chose not 6 to do anything.</p> <p>7 Q Have you ever participated in a cadaver study 8 or an animal study about mesh?</p> <p>9 A Not about mesh. I've participated in a lot of 10 cadavers, but not about mesh.</p> <p>11 Q Anything about any foreign SUI product?</p> <p>12 A Pardon?</p> <p>13 Q Have you ever participated in a cadaver or 14 animal manual study for any type of product?</p> <p>15 A I didn't participate. I've consulted for 16 manufacturers who was working with the product they 17 wanted to get cleared.</p> <p>18 Q Have you ever seen how a TVT Secur product is 19 implanted in the body?</p> <p>20 A Physically seen it, no.</p> <p>21 Q I take it you've ever implanted a TVT Secur 22 device in somebody's body.</p> <p>23 A You are right, I never have.</p> <p>24 Q And nor have you implanted any medical device</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 101</p> <p>1 been in the OR when medical devices are implanted and 2 I've also removed medical devices after they've been 3 implanted. So I do have some hands-on expertise about 4 the biocompatibility and the effect in the body of 5 medical devices. I don't have a specialty that had a 6 specific device that they're implanting for a procedure, 7 but the working parts of the body for implantation, 8 yeah, I'm familiar with that.</p> <p>9 Q Have you ever designed any clinical trials 10 regarding mesh?</p> <p>11 A Not for mesh.</p> <p>12 Q Any type of SUI product?</p> <p>13 A I did not design it. I reviewed it. So that 14 was the radiofrequency trials.</p> <p>15 Q You've never been involved in any clinical 16 research regarding mesh, have you?</p> <p>17 A No.</p> <p>18 Q Or SUI?</p> <p>19 A No.</p> <p>20 Q You've never designed pelvic mesh?</p> <p>21 A No.</p> <p>22 Q Or any type of SUI product?</p> <p>23 A Only consulted on someone who was working with 24 one.</p>

<p style="text-align: right;">Page 102</p> <p>1 Q And I think we talked about this earlier, but 2 you've never done any type of biomechanical testing for 3 pelvic mesh or any SUI product, correct?</p> <p>4 A Correct. FDA wouldn't do that.</p> <p>5 Q In fact, you've never inspected a mesh 6 explant, have you?</p> <p>7 A Yeah, I've done that. I mean, I've seen 8 explanted mesh.</p> <p>9 Q Have you ever inspected -- I'm not asking if 10 you've seen one, I'm asking if you've inspected one.</p> <p>11 A Well, I've -- surgical path, you remove 12 explanted mesh. I don't know what you -- I meant looked 13 at it and examined measured it and the qualities of it.</p> <p>14 I don't know what you mean by "inspect."</p> <p>15 Q Did you ever inspect -- well, did you ever 16 inspect a piece of mesh for any types of degradation? 17 Have you ever done that before?</p> <p>18 A Not in the laboratory setting specifically. 19 I would be looking at it grossly.</p> <p>20 Q You've never performed a DDSA, have you?</p> <p>21 A No.</p> <p>22 Q You've never performed an FMEA, have you?</p> <p>23 A Wait a second, wait. Go back. The DD -- what 24 did you say?</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 104</p> <p>1 Q Have you ever done an FMEA for any type of SUI 2 product?</p> <p>3 A I've only reviewed them, because they're the 4 company documents that I learned to review at the FDA.</p> <p>5 Q You're not an expert on how mesh performs in 6 the body, are you?</p> <p>7 A Yes, I am, in terms of what -- in terms it 8 being a FDA reviewer, medical officer, you have to look 9 at the effects of mesh, and one of the things would be 10 shrinkage, contraction, infection, the porosity in terms 11 of the migration of certain cells through the pores. So 12 yes, I've looked at issues for that for mesh for the 13 FDA --</p> <p>14 Q You're not --</p> <p>15 A -- and degradation, and then your double-sided 16 meshes which would have a side that -- yes, so I've had 17 to do that for FDA.</p> <p>18 Q You're not an expert in the design process for 19 pelvic mesh, are you?</p> <p>20 A Not in the design process. I had to review, 21 but not design.</p> <p>22 Q Or any type of product -- SUI product.</p> <p>23 A The design process -- I mean, I've had to look 24 at all the -- I had to look at clinical studies for the</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Page 103</p> <p>1 Q DDSA.</p> <p>2 A Yeah, what are you saying is a --</p> <p>3 Q Do you know what DDSA stands for?</p> <p>4 A I don't know what it --</p> <p>5 Q What about a Design Device Safety Analysis, 6 have you ever --</p> <p>7 A Oh, that, yes --</p> <p>8 Q -- performed a Design --</p> <p>9 A -- but I haven't used that term for it.</p> <p>10 THE REPORTER: Wait, one --</p> <p>11 MR. HUTCHINSON: Wait a minute, one person at 12 a time.</p> <p>13 THE REPORTER: Yeah, one person at a time.</p> <p>14 BY MR. HUTCHINSON:</p> <p>15 Q Have you ever performed a design -- I'm sorry, 16 scratch that. Have you ever performed a Device Design 17 Safety Analysis for a mesh product?</p> <p>18 A Not for a mesh product.</p> <p>19 Q Or any type of SUI product?</p> <p>20 A I've reviewed the ones that the companies 21 have.</p> <p>22 Q Have you ever performed a Failure Mode 23 Evaluation Analysis for any type of mesh product?</p> <p>24 A No, reviewed them.</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 105</p> <p>1 manufacturer. I don't know about -- I mean, I've looked 2 at clinical studies for radiofrequency trying to show 3 them to be safe and effective, get clearance from the 4 FDA. So I don't know what --</p> <p>5 Q But you're not an expert in the design process 6 of any SUI product.</p> <p>7 A The original design, that's correct.</p> <p>8 Q Okay. You've never invented a medical 9 product, have you?</p> <p>10 A Well, I helped develop a medical product. 11 I don't have a patent, in terms of a product that was to 12 be implanted.</p> <p>13 Q Do you have any expertise in designing or 14 developing a medical product?</p> <p>15 A Sure.</p> <p>16 Q Other than from a regulatory standpoint?</p> <p>17 A Well, no, no, as a consultant and working with 18 a manufacturer to bring a new product to the market, 19 I have to -- you participate with people who were 20 creating the device, the how do you select materials, 21 what standards do you use, what kind of information 22 would you tell the FDA. So yeah, I've done that.</p> <p>23 Q Well, let's talk about when you were at the 24 FDA. You were there from '91 to '95, is that right?</p>

<p style="text-align: center;">Page 106</p> <p>1 A Yes, sir.</p> <p>2 Q What, 20 years ago?</p> <p>3 A Yes, sir.</p> <p>4 Q Did you have a computer when you worked with</p> <p>5 FDA?</p> <p>6 A Yes, sir, we did. I learned how to use</p> <p>7 WordPerfect; the FDA taught me how. It was a really</p> <p>8 crappy computer.</p> <p>9 Q What kind of computer did you have?</p> <p>10 A It was -- I don't remember what kind it was,</p> <p>11 but it was -- it was not good. But yeah, they sent me</p> <p>12 to computer classes to use it on, but you didn't have</p> <p>13 access to the internet. At that point in time, FDA</p> <p>14 reviewers weren't allowed to use the internet.</p> <p>15 Q Did you or anyone that you worked with at the</p> <p>16 FDA have a laptop?</p> <p>17 A Sure. There were laptops, but you had to buy</p> <p>18 your own laptop.</p> <p>19 Q Did you or anyone you worked with at the FDA</p> <p>20 have a cell phone?</p> <p>21 A No, there weren't cell phones back then.</p> <p>22 I don't think there were cell phones. No, I don't</p> <p>23 remember cell phones.</p> <p>24 Q You're not here as a representative of FDA,</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 108</p> <p>1 FDA, as today?</p> <p>2 A No, it was disbanded by Dr. Kessler and</p> <p>3 Dr. Burlington in 1993, and the few medical officers</p> <p>4 that were in it were supposed to go to pre-market</p> <p>5 issues, ODE. So I got transferred from that to ODE,</p> <p>6 Office of Device Evaluation. So I know it doesn't</p> <p>7 exist.</p> <p>8 Q When you were working with FDA, you never had</p> <p>9 final authority to approve a device, did you?</p> <p>10 A I think you mean final sign-off in terms of</p> <p>11 the letter? That's correct.</p> <p>12 Q Correct.</p> <p>13 A That is a chain of command that -- that is</p> <p>14 correct. I didn't sign -- did I have the authority to</p> <p>15 approve and deny? Yes, but not the final signoff.</p> <p>16 Q And you never had responsibility for approving</p> <p>17 a drug label, did you?</p> <p>18 A A drug label?</p> <p>19 Q Um-hum, or a medical device label, final</p> <p>20 authority.</p> <p>21 A I did as a clinician. If I didn't approve it,</p> <p>22 it wasn't going to get approved, but I didn't sign the</p> <p>23 letter. I agree, I did not sign the final letter.</p> <p>24 That's an administrative point of view.</p>
<p style="text-align: center;">Page 107</p> <p>1 are you?</p> <p>2 A That's correct. The cell phones -- actually,</p> <p>3 there were cell phones at that time. You weren't given</p> <p>4 a cell phone by the FDA. Is that what you're talking</p> <p>5 about?</p> <p>6 Q No, I'm just asking -- I'm asking if you or</p> <p>7 anyone of your colleagues at FDA carried around a cell;</p> <p>8 phone.</p> <p>9 A Yeah, in those days cell phones existed,</p> <p>10 because we had safety issues and health risk assessments</p> <p>11 that I sat in, because that --</p> <p>12 Q I'm not asking if they existed. Move to</p> <p>13 strike as nonresponsive.</p> <p>14 I'm asking if you or any of your colleagues at</p> <p>15 FDA carried around a cell phone, yes or no.</p> <p>16 A I don't recall.</p> <p>17 Q Okay.</p> <p>18 A I don't recall. But I knew that they existed.</p> <p>19 Q You worked in the Office of Health Affairs?</p> <p>20 A Originally, the first two years with the</p> <p>21 Office of Health Affairs, yes, at CDRH.</p> <p>22 Q Do you know, would that be the OHA?</p> <p>23 A Yes, sir.</p> <p>24 Q Do you know if it's still an office within the</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 109</p> <p>1 Q Has FDA ever asked your opinion about labeling</p> <p>2 since you left in 1995?</p> <p>3 A Yes, they have. They had me go as an expert</p> <p>4 on their panel about device labeling.</p> <p>5 Q And when was that?</p> <p>6 A 1997. They were trying to have an advisory</p> <p>7 panel to discuss ways of improving medical device</p> <p>8 labeling to make it more consistent, like drug labeling.</p> <p>9 Q And is 1997 the last time?</p> <p>10 A Yes, sir.</p> <p>11 Q When you worked with the FDA, did you work</p> <p>12 with any type of mesh products?</p> <p>13 A I don't recall, because mesh products -- I had</p> <p>14 a lot of surgical products I don't recall. I mean --</p> <p>15 Q You don't recall being involved with any type</p> <p>16 of SUI products at FDA?</p> <p>17 A I don't recall because I was also the medical</p> <p>18 officer for the urological and I also supported the</p> <p>19 OB-GYN, so I'm not sure, and occasionally I would</p> <p>20 consult for plastic surgery. So I don't know if that</p> <p>21 would cover all the places where they would come.</p> <p>22 Q At FDA, you were never involved with any type</p> <p>23 of pelvic floor repair products, were you?</p> <p>24 A I don't believe I was.</p>

<p style="text-align: center;">Page 110</p> <p>1 Q At FDA, you were never involved with Prolene. 2 A That's not true. 3 Q Okay. 4 A I actually had to go look at the NDA for 5 Prolene for suture issues, because it was a transitional 6 device, so I have looked at the NDA through the 7 510(k)'s. So I was involved -- 8 Q For what reason? 9 A Oh, wait, I was involved with mesh being used 10 for vascular grafts. So I did have to get involved with 11 mesh, because -- it was mesh and other things. 12 Q But I'm talking about any type of mesh for SUI 13 products. You've not been involved at FDA with any type 14 of mesh used for SUI products, is that correct? 15 A That's correct, because they actually came on 16 the market after I left, pretty much. 17 Q Why did you look at the NDA for Prolene? 18 A There were issues on suture, and so you had to 19 go back to the NDA. I don't recall why, but I remember 20 having to look at the Prolene NDA, which was over in 21 CDER and going through it, and since I've been involved 22 in litigation stuff, too, I've looked at suture and 23 Prolene, so.... But it began at the FDA. 24 Q Okay. At FDA, did you ever work with J&J or</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 112</p> <p>1 Q When? 2 A That would have been -- it's on my CV. That 3 would have been -- I don't remember the years. It was 4 early after I left FDA, Johnson & Johnson came and found 5 me, because I had been involved in an issue, a device, 6 kind of a device/drug issue, and so they asked me to 7 write a letter to the internal -- to an internal 8 medicine journal about my involvement as an FDA medical 9 officer looking at their product, and they also had me 10 write an article on the workup of preservatives in terms 11 of drugs and devices, and then I taught at their 12 national sales meeting one year. I have a clock from 13 Johnson & Johnson saying thank you for my help. So yes, 14 I did work for Johnson & Johnson. 15 Q You didn't consult with Johnson & Johnson 16 about any mesh product, did you? 17 A Not for surgical mesh product, that's correct. 18 Q At FDA, you were never responsible for 19 post-marketing surveillance of a medical device? 20 A Well, no, I was involved with post-market. 21 That was with the OHA. 22 Q With a medical device. 23 A All medical devices, in terms of looking at 24 the accuracy of warnings, instructions for use. I was</p>
<p style="text-align: center;">Page 111</p> <p>1 Ethicon? 2 A Yes. 3 Q Okay, on what? 4 A They were -- they have a lot of medical 5 devices, and I also was involved with them after I left 6 FDA, but in terms of, one of the issues was I think a 7 product called Interceed that I was called in to as a 8 chief medical officer to sit in a meeting that the 9 company was selling a product with claims that weren't 10 accepted to the FDA. So I had to work, trying to bring 11 Interceed under -- in compliance with the requirements 12 of the Act. 13 Q Would -- could -- but when you were working at 14 FDA, you never worked with J&J or Ethicon regarding any 15 mesh product, correct? 16 A Well, that's an abdominal adhesion product 17 that's similar, but it's not -- 18 Q I'm talking about a mesh product for SUI. 19 A Well, that's different. No, I wasn't involved 20 in -- Johnson & Johnson, and then I was involved with 21 Johnson & Johnson when I left FDA, I worked with them as 22 a consultant. 23 Q When, with Johnson & Johnson? 24 A Yes, sir.</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 113</p> <p>1 actually on a post-market surveillance, or -- the head 2 of post-market surveillance for anesthesia products. 3 So yeah, that was what I did in OHA was 4 post-market and all, and I would report to the Office of 5 Compliance. I think that's where Tim Hulatowski was, in 6 Office of Compliance. I was their medical support, 7 because at that time they didn't have MDs. 8 Q When was the first time you'd ever heard of 9 TVT Secur? 10 A TVT Secur, I really hadn't heard about TVT 11 Secur until I was asked to be involved with TVT Secur. 12 I had been involved with TVT and TVT-O in terms of all 13 the other products, and I was aware of the clearance of 14 it, I believe, in the '95 period, TVT, and so -- 15 Q You were contacted -- strike that. 16 A In August, I believe. 17 Q In August of 2014. 18 A Correct, about TVT Secur. I may have focused 19 on the others. 20 Q Have you ever seen a TVT Secur device? 21 A Now I have, in terms of the pictures. 22 I haven't physically held one. 23 Q Do you understand the procedure for implanting 24 a TVT Secur device?</p>

<p style="text-align: center;">Page 114</p> <p>1 A I don't think anybody does, but --</p> <p>2 Q Let me ask you this: Do you agree that you do</p> <p>3 not have the requisite education, training and</p> <p>4 experience to implant a TTV device, a TTV Secur device?</p> <p>5 A I would agree with that. I would agree with</p> <p>6 that for the entire -- I don't have -- to physically</p> <p>7 implant it in a patient, I would not even attempt it.</p> <p>8 And so I agree that I would not even attempt it, and</p> <p>9 that's not my role, and that -- FDA people don't have</p> <p>10 that training, either.</p> <p>11 Q Do you know the difference between</p> <p>12 polypropylene and Prolene?</p> <p>13 A Well, polypropylene is the material that</p> <p>14 Prolene mesh is made out of. It's a polypropylene mesh</p> <p>15 that can vary in terms of -- well, polypropylene, yeah,</p> <p>16 that's the answer.</p> <p>17 Prolene can be a suture, and resin -- it's a</p> <p>18 resin. Polypropylene is a resin that's used to make</p> <p>19 whatever they need in terms of suture or mesh or what's</p> <p>20 ever made up of; it's called Prolene, and the trade name</p> <p>21 is Prolene, at Ethicon.</p> <p>22 Q My question to you, though, is: Do you know</p> <p>23 the difference between polypropylene and Prolene?</p> <p>24 A Yes, Prolene is --</p>	<p>1 design defect, but do you have any manufacturing defect</p> <p>2 opinions?</p> <p>3 A You know, manufacturing -- let me clarify what</p> <p>4 I think you mean. Manufacturing would, like a lot, did</p> <p>5 I look at Ms. Garcia's lot and look and see if there was</p> <p>6 an particular issue with her lot in terms of the quality</p> <p>7 assurance? No, I did not look at that.</p> <p>8 So I don't have any specific manufacturing</p> <p>9 defect issues about the line, but I've not been asked to</p> <p>10 look at all the good manufacturing practices about</p> <p>11 specific lots.</p> <p>12 Q Has any of your work at FDA or since then</p> <p>13 involved polypropylene or Prolene, with the exception of</p> <p>14 the hernia, the stuff that we talked about earlier?</p> <p>15 A Yeah, I mean, AMS uses polypropylene in terms</p> <p>16 of their Sparc mesh. So I've looked at the Sparc mesh</p> <p>17 and the evolution of how that mesh comes out. So yeah,</p> <p>18 I've done that, and Kugel, like we said, and then I've</p> <p>19 looked at Bard's. I once did a case with C.R. Bard and</p> <p>20 Marlex mesh. So I've looked at polypropylene mesh.</p> <p>21 Q Have you ever performed any type of study on</p> <p>22 the biocompatibility of polypropylene or Prolene?</p> <p>23 A I haven't done any studies. I've looked at</p> <p>24 toxicology data in terms of the inflammatory response</p>
<p style="text-align: center;">Page 115</p> <p>1 Q What is the difference?</p> <p>2 A Prolene is the trade name. Polypropylene is</p> <p>3 the type of resin that's used to make whatever product</p> <p>4 is going to be named Prolene.</p> <p>5 Q Do you know -- what do you know about the</p> <p>6 manufacturing process Ethicon uses to make Prolene?</p> <p>7 A Well, it's usually a mesh extrusion,</p> <p>8 polypropylene resin extrusion process, which you have of</p> <p>9 the extruder make fibers, and then you use the fiber to</p> <p>10 weave what you need to do in terms of your mesh.</p> <p>11 So that's it basically, and then once you've</p> <p>12 made your fibers to your diameter and you've woven it</p> <p>13 the way it's supposed to, then you have to clean it, you</p> <p>14 have to sterilize it, and you put it in your kit.</p> <p>15 Q And you know that mesh is made of knitted</p> <p>16 filaments of Prolene sutures, don't you?</p> <p>17 A Yes.</p> <p>18 Q We can agree to that.</p> <p>19 A Yeah. It's thread, you can think of it as</p> <p>20 thread, and every mesh has its own design in terms of</p> <p>21 the way it's woven, in terms of the pores and -- yes,</p> <p>22 and it's multi-filament, I believe, in terms of the</p> <p>23 mesh.</p> <p>24 Q I know we've talked about your opinions about</p>	<p>1 that you get from different types of mesh, looking at --</p> <p>2 and I've looked at more than just Prolene. I've looked</p> <p>3 at the soft meshes, light meshes, heavy meshes,</p> <p>4 ultra-light, all those different variations in terms of</p> <p>5 your weight and the type of biocompatibility responses</p> <p>6 you get for, like, hernia. I didn't do the hernia</p> <p>7 issues, but the hernia meshes. So I've looked at that,</p> <p>8 in terms of animal toxicology.</p> <p>9 Q You've never participated in any clinical</p> <p>10 trials regarding polypropylene, have you?</p> <p>11 A No.</p> <p>12 Q Or done any clinical research on</p> <p>13 polypropylene?</p> <p>14 A No.</p> <p>15 Q Or you've never seen any degraded</p> <p>16 polypropylene?</p> <p>17 A No, I've seen that in my world of going</p> <p>18 through meshes, I've seen degraded, but I've not -- I've</p> <p>19 not been -- made a study of degraded mesh.</p> <p>20 Q Right, but have you ever seen degraded</p> <p>21 polypropylene under a microscope?</p> <p>22 A I've seen -- I've seen slides of it, I mean,</p> <p>23 pictures. I haven't put one under the -- I have four</p> <p>24 microscopes, but I haven't put that under my microscope.</p>

<p style="text-align: center;">Page 118</p> <p>1 Q Have you ever done any type of independent 2 testing or analysis or any root cause analysis to 3 determine if Prolene is defective?</p> <p>4 A No.</p> <p>5 Q Let's talk about Ms. Garcia for a minute. You 6 didn't review her medical records.</p> <p>7 MR. LUNDQUIST: Object to form.</p> <p>8 THE WITNESS: I did review Dr. Walss' 9 testimony, and I do have --</p> <p>10 MR. HUTCHINSON: Move to strike as 11 nonresponsive.</p> <p>12 Q I'm talking about medical records.</p> <p>13 A Right, and I have her progress reports and 14 medical records in one of my folders here, so that --</p> <p>15 Q Oh, I'm sorry.</p> <p>16 A So you would have that.</p> <p>17 Q Have you spoken to any of her doctors?</p> <p>18 A No.</p> <p>19 Q Do you have any idea what information 20 Dr. Walss relied on when he was consulting with 21 Ms. Garcia?</p> <p>22 A Based his testimony, yes.</p> <p>23 Q Outside of what's in his testimony?</p> <p>24 A No.</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 120</p> <p>1 for erosion and that it would be transitory. He 2 testified to -- a lot about that, and -- but he did not 3 know that it was more than rare, and so -- we know that 4 that's, in terms of his informed consent, he didn't use 5 that in the informed consent because he did not think 6 that it was something that was actually going to occur, 7 and that it was transitory, it wasn't permanent. And he 8 wasn't aware of having to remove it -- the frequency for 9 failure and the removal --</p> <p>10 Q Do you know -- I'm sorry.</p> <p>11 A -- and the risk for dyspareunia. He wasn't 12 aware of that, too. I'm trying to remember what else he 13 said he wasn't aware of.</p> <p>14 Q Do you know whether Ms. Garcia saw the patient 15 brochure?</p> <p>16 A She did not. I don't believe she was 17 specifically asked at her deposition, but she did not 18 reference having seen one.</p> <p>19 Q Have you ever spoken with any doctor who has 20 implanted a TVT Secur device?</p> <p>21 A No, sir.</p> <p>22 Q Have you ever talked with any doctor about the 23 IFU for a TVT Secur device?</p> <p>24 A I haven't specifically spoken to him. I have</p>
<p style="text-align: center;">Page 119</p> <p>1 Q Do you know whether Dr. Garcia (sic) relied on 2 the IFU?</p> <p>3 A He read the IFU, so -- I have the IFU -- so 4 yes, he did read it.</p> <p>5 Q Do you have any idea of what training from 6 Ethicon Dr. Walss may have received about the TVT Secur?</p> <p>7 A All he says is that he went to a course. We 8 don't know who presented it. He said there were other 9 people, other devices being presented, and sales reps 10 were there. We don't have a specific course. He said 11 that he did have the sales rep come and watch him do 12 several cases and say that he had -- he was doing it the 13 way he was supposed to do it.</p> <p>14 So that's in terms of his training. Then he's 15 used the TVT and the TVT-O. That's all I can see from 16 his depo in terms of the Secur.</p> <p>17 Q And I'm sorry, I think you said Dr. Walss was 18 using it the way he was supposed to?</p> <p>19 A That's what he was told by the representative 20 from Ethicon who watched him do a couple cases.</p> <p>21 Q Do you have any idea of what potential risks 22 of TVT Secur that Ms. Garcia's doctor was aware of?</p> <p>23 A He was aware of the surgical risks of the 24 procedure. He was aware that there could be a rare risk</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 121</p> <p>1 Dr. Miklos' report and I have Walss --</p> <p>2 Q Well, what about any mesh product?</p> <p>3 A Have I spoken to doctors who implanted mesh?</p> <p>4 Q Yes, about the IFUs for any mesh product.</p> <p>5 A No. I've seen a lot of IFUs for mesh 6 products. I've not spoken to doctors specifically about 7 them.</p> <p>8 Q Did you do any type of study or survey of 9 doctors to determine what risks associated with SUI 10 surgery they're aware of?</p> <p>11 A No.</p> <p>12 Q Do you have any study or survey of doctors to 13 determine what risks from the TVT Secur product that 14 they appreciate from the IFU?</p> <p>15 A We have Dr. Miklos, and Doctor --</p> <p>16 Q Other than the plaintiff's expert.</p> <p>17 A -- we have Dr. Walss, and he's relevant to 18 Ms. Garcia, and so he wasn't -- he goes on about the 19 things that he wasn't aware of, in terms of the IFU.</p> <p>20 Q But no study or survey of doctors, correct, 21 that you've done?</p> <p>22 A That I've done, no, I haven't done a study.</p> <p>23 Q You've never drafted a label or IFU for a mesh 24 device, have you?</p>

<p style="text-align: center;">Page 122</p> <p>1 MR. LUNDQUIST: Form.</p> <p>2 THE WITNESS: I don't recall, because the FDA</p> <p>3 doesn't draft the labels, they look at the labels, but</p> <p>4 I don't recall if any of the PMAs actually had a --</p> <p>5 BY MR. HUTCHINSON:</p> <p>6 Q Or any types of SUI device.</p> <p>7 A For an SUI device, I did work with a</p> <p>8 manufacturer that I did help draft the labels as a</p> <p>9 consultant for that, and I evaluated their data.</p> <p>10 Q And what manufacturer was that?</p> <p>11 A I think it's INSURx, I-N-S-U-R-X, and it was</p> <p>12 that they had gotten rejected by the FDA on their</p> <p>13 clinical data and I was brought in to go over the</p> <p>14 clinical data to kind of reorganize it, reevaluate and</p> <p>15 then it got cleared.</p> <p>16 Q And that was with -- I'm sorry, INSURx?</p> <p>17 A I believe that's what the name was,</p> <p>18 I-N-S-U-R-X. I was brought in by another consulting</p> <p>19 firm, Weisbrod, I think -- not Weisbrod, Weisberg. It</p> <p>20 was a consulting firm in Washington, D.C. I don't</p> <p>21 remember their name. Anyway, they needed someone who</p> <p>22 could go through the clinical data.</p> <p>23 Q You've never drafted patient brochure for a</p> <p>24 mesh device, have you?</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 124</p> <p>1 labels for the FDA? It's not a committee. Because,</p> <p>2 see, drug does have that, the CDRH doesn't have that.</p> <p>3 Yes, I've sat on safety issues in the sessions about</p> <p>4 labels and warnings.</p> <p>5 Q Have you ever designed any label readability</p> <p>6 studies?</p> <p>7 Label readability studies, for the court</p> <p>8 reporter.</p> <p>9 A Readability. I actually consulted for the</p> <p>10 division in CDRH that was doing that when they were</p> <p>11 trying to develop home health products, and so I was the</p> <p>12 medical input as to their readability. I didn't design</p> <p>13 the study.</p> <p>14 Q You've never talked with FDA about the</p> <p>15 regulatory history about the TVT Secur, have you?</p> <p>16 A No.</p> <p>17 Q Do you intend to offer what the -- the actual</p> <p>18 verbiage, do you intend to offer opinions about what the</p> <p>19 actual verbiage of the IFU should say?</p> <p>20 A Yeah, I could do that. And which IFU? They</p> <p>21 only that had one IFU for the Secur, so yeah, I can talk</p> <p>22 about the verbiage, what's missing.</p> <p>23 Q That's what I want to ask you about. I'm</p> <p>24 going to hand up what I'll mark....</p>
<p style="text-align: center;">Page 123</p> <p>1 A For a mesh device, no, that is correct.</p> <p>2 Q Yes, ma'am, or for an SUI device?</p> <p>3 A Well, I worked on their device, but --</p> <p>4 Q I'm talking about a patient brochure.</p> <p>5 A Yeah, they had a patient brochure.</p> <p>6 Q Have you ever consulted with the FDA about an</p> <p>7 SUI mesh device?</p> <p>8 A Consulted for -- specifically, no. Well,</p> <p>9 I did consult for this company that went into the FDA</p> <p>10 and got cleared. I didn't go to the meetings with the</p> <p>11 FDA, that I recall.</p> <p>12 Q And what product was this INSURx trying to</p> <p>13 bring to market?</p> <p>14 A It was a radiofrequency device that's used for</p> <p>15 treating the woman's vagina, urethra, so that you would</p> <p>16 decrease SUI. It was just an alternative treatment,</p> <p>17 sort of like they use Botox when you're thickening the</p> <p>18 tissue.</p> <p>19 Q But it didn't have any mesh in it.</p> <p>20 A No mesh, no mesh.</p> <p>21 Q You've never sat on a FDA warnings or label</p> <p>22 committee, have you?</p> <p>23 A I don't know what that would be. I mean, have</p> <p>24 I sat on committees where you talk about warnings or</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 125</p> <p>1 What's the next exhibit?</p> <p>2 (Whereupon, Exhibits 6 and 7 were marked</p> <p>3 for identification.)</p> <p>4 BY MR. HUTCHINSON:</p> <p>5 Q Dr. Parisian, I'm handing you what I'm marking</p> <p>6 as Exhibit 6 and Exhibit 7 to your deposition.</p> <p>7 A Okay.</p> <p>8 Q And Exhibit 6 is the IFU and Exhibit 7 is the</p> <p>9 patient brochure. Does that look right?</p> <p>10 A No, this is the later one. This is 2011. Her</p> <p>11 surgery was 2012. I looked at 2008. Yes, I think it</p> <p>12 is, okay.</p> <p>13 Q Let's look at Exhibit 6 now.</p> <p>14 A I'm also pulling up Dr. Miklos, because he</p> <p>15 also talked about what he thought --</p> <p>16 Q Well, I don't want to talk about Dr. Miklos.</p> <p>17 I want to talk about your opinion. So let's look at</p> <p>18 Exhibit 6.</p> <p>19 A Okay, but I can pull it up.</p> <p>20 Q Did you review Exhibit 6 in forming your</p> <p>21 opinions?</p> <p>22 A Yes, sir.</p> <p>23 Q What criticisms do you have about the IFU that</p> <p>24 was marked as Exhibit 6?</p>

<p style="text-align: center;">Page 126</p> <p>1 A Okay. Is this the order that it really is in?</p> <p>2 Yeah. One of the criticisms is that the information</p> <p>3 about risk and benefits and all should be up front.</p> <p>4 They're showing the procedure, so like, if you're a</p> <p>5 physician, you're going to be -- more likely it's going</p> <p>6 to be the procedure, to look at the procedure, not look</p> <p>7 at the IFU.</p> <p>8 Q Right.</p> <p>9 A So right away, that downplays the IFU</p> <p>10 information, which --</p> <p>11 Q Okay, what else?</p> <p>12 A -- which increases the need for the company to</p> <p>13 communicate through its sales reps or through Dear</p> <p>14 Doctor letters, is typically the design of that, and</p> <p>15 what you --</p> <p>16 Q So I'll tell you what, Dr. Parisian. Help me</p> <p>17 make a list, please. I want to know what all criticisms</p> <p>18 you have of the IFU marked as Exhibit 6.</p> <p>19 Number one, I have information regarding risk</p> <p>20 and benefits should be up front, correct?</p> <p>21 A Right, it's on page 10.</p> <p>22 Q And what's your second criticism?</p> <p>23 A Okay, let me tell you. Again, the</p> <p>24 positioning. They have the description --</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 128</p> <p>1 asking about what your opinion is, about what the --</p> <p>2 what your criticisms are about the IFU.</p> <p>3 A And I'm telling you.</p> <p>4 Q Okay.</p> <p>5 A The placement of it, the --</p> <p>6 Q The placement of the description --</p> <p>7 A No, no.</p> <p>8 Q -- on page 10?</p> <p>9 A No, no, no. The placement of the important</p> <p>10 information for the IFU is actually -- and we're up to</p> <p>11 page 10, and you've got this long description that no</p> <p>12 surgeon is going to sit there and want to read --</p> <p>13 Q Okay, so --</p> <p>14 A -- about polypropylene mesh and all this</p> <p>15 stuff, and --</p> <p>16 Q So you believe that the information on page 10</p> <p>17 should be moved to the beginning of the IFU; is that</p> <p>18 what your testimony is?</p> <p>19 A No.</p> <p>20 MR. LUNDQUIST: Form.</p> <p>21 BY MR. HUTCHINSON:</p> <p>22 Q Then where should it be moved?</p> <p>23 A That's what -- I'm looking at the label and</p> <p>24 I'm going to tell you what's going to be moved. In</p>
<p style="text-align: center;">Page 127</p> <p>1 Q I'm sorry?</p> <p>2 A The positioning of it.</p> <p>3 Q The positioning of what?</p> <p>4 A Of the label. They have it --</p> <p>5 Q Of what on the label?</p> <p>6 A I'm going to tell you.</p> <p>7 Q Okay.</p> <p>8 A They have, under Description, they have this</p> <p>9 long description of the polypropylene mesh, its</p> <p>10 filaments. Doctors aren't going to read that. It's got</p> <p>11 to be up front.</p> <p>12 Q What page are you on?</p> <p>13 A I'm on page 10. You're putting stuff that a</p> <p>14 physician who's implanting this is not going to care</p> <p>15 about.</p> <p>16 Q All right. So let me ask you this, and make</p> <p>17 sure we're clear: That what you suggest is that the</p> <p>18 wording on page 10 under Description should be up front,</p> <p>19 correct?</p> <p>20 A No.</p> <p>21 Q All right, help me out.</p> <p>22 A I'm saying that the way this is written about</p> <p>23 the -- in terms of physicians --</p> <p>24 Q No, I'm not asking about physicians, I'm</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 129</p> <p>1 terms of -- you've already lost the physician. He's</p> <p>2 not going to read any of this stuff.</p> <p>3 Q Move to strike as nonresponsive. I'm asking</p> <p>4 you, where should --</p> <p>5 A I'm going to tell you what needs to be moved,</p> <p>6 okay?</p> <p>7 Q -- it be moved?</p> <p>8 A Hold on. All this is surgical stuff. When</p> <p>9 you see the contraindications, warnings and precautions,</p> <p>10 you should have that up front. It's now on page 20, so</p> <p>11 we're 10 pages back from where anything begins --</p> <p>12 Q Move strike as nonresponsive. I'm asking you,</p> <p>13 where --</p> <p>14 A I'm telling you.</p> <p>15 Q -- the information on page 10 should be moved</p> <p>16 in the IFU?</p> <p>17 A It should be moved to after -- it should be</p> <p>18 shortened, for one thing. The description should be</p> <p>19 just that little part up there, the first part, and then</p> <p>20 you should have --</p> <p>21 Q I'm not asking how it should be shortened or</p> <p>22 how it should be lengthened. I'm asking where it should</p> <p>23 be moved.</p> <p>24 A It should be front, the first page.</p>

<p style="text-align: center;">Page 130</p> <p>1 Q Okay, so you're telling me that the 2 information on page 10 should be moved to page 1, 3 correct?</p> <p>4 A Yes.</p> <p>5 Q Okay.</p> <p>6 A And it should be -- because the device -- it's 7 describing what the device is, and then the next thing 8 that should come is the indication for use.</p> <p>9 Q All right, so the criticisms that I have is, 10 number one, the information regarding risk and benefits 11 should be up front instead of page 10; the second 12 criticism I have is the placement of important 13 information on page 10 should be moved to page 1.</p> <p>14 Q What other criticisms, if any, do you have 15 about the IFU?</p> <p>16 A Well, the device description is so long, it's 17 not telling the doctor what he needs to know. He needs 18 to know what's up in that first description. He doesn't 19 need to know all this stuff about the sandwich-bonded 20 thermal -- doctors don't care about that.</p> <p>21 Q All right. So, Doctor --</p> <p>22 A Okay, then you have the --</p> <p>23 Q -- Dr. Parisian, stay with me. So you're 24 testifying that the information on page 10 under</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 132</p> <p>1 description of what the device should have in it. Then 2 you have the indications for use, which is the important 3 thing, what is it intended for. Then you go into the 4 instructions for use --</p> <p>5 Q All right, well, Dr. Parisian, stick with me. 6 So we're talking about your criticisms, and I have three 7 so far. I'm sorry, I have four.</p> <p>8 I have information regarding risk and benefits 9 should be up front instead of page 10. The second one 10 is, important information on page 10 should be moved to 11 page 1. Third is, too long device description on 12 page 10; and the fourth criticism I have is that we 13 shouldn't discuss the sandwich -- the section of the end 14 of the mesh, on page 10.</p> <p>15 Anything else?</p> <p>16 A Yeah. All those --</p> <p>17 Q What else?</p> <p>18 A All those pictures, 1 through 10, should be 19 under the Instructions For Use.</p> <p>20 Q Okay, I'm sorry, you're saying the pictures on 21 pages, actually, 2 --</p> <p>22 A Right.</p> <p>23 Q -- through 8 should be somewhere else, is 24 that correct?</p>
<p style="text-align: center;">Page 131</p> <p>1 Description is too long, correct?</p> <p>2 A Yes.</p> <p>3 Q And Dr. Parisian --</p> <p>4 MR. LUNDQUIST: Sorry, she was about to write 5 on the exhibit. I was just making sure.</p> <p>6 BY MR. HUTCHINSON:</p> <p>7 Q And you're testifying also that the 8 information about the sandwich sections -- I'm sorry -- 9 the information about the sandwich that ends the section 10 of the mesh should not be included in that, correct?</p> <p>11 A Not there, the way --</p> <p>12 Q I'm sorry, is that correct?</p> <p>13 A Not -- yes.</p> <p>14 Q All right.</p> <p>15 A Because when you design a label, you want to 16 have the information up front, so that the most 17 important information is available to a physician. 18 Chances are that your marketing and your sales rep is 19 going to have to convey this information, but if you're 20 going to make an effective IFU, you put the most 21 important information up front. I don't think there's a 22 physician around who would care about how this is 23 knitted and -- that doesn't make any sense to them. 24 They think it's a cleared device. They have here the</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 133</p> <p>1 A Yeah, they have no business being up front. 2 They should be under Instructions For Use, because 3 they're relevant to the different positions, the 4 U-position and the H-position. Without a picture, it's 5 really bad instructions.</p> <p>6 Q You do understand that I've handed you what 7 was marked as Exhibit 6 to be the Instructions For Use 8 of TVT Secur.</p> <p>9 A I know, but they're not designed correctly. 10 Now I see why people talk about cookbooks.</p> <p>11 Q So the pictures are not designed right?</p> <p>12 A No, the pictures are in the wrong place.</p> <p>13 Q Pictures wrong place, okay.</p> <p>14 A They should be under the Instructions For Use, 15 where you're talking about the specific procedure, the 16 U-procedure, the hammock procedure. You have to have 17 pictures in the right section.</p> <p>18 Q Do you have any other criticisms --</p> <p>19 A Yeah, yeah.</p> <p>20 Q -- of the IFU?</p> <p>21 A Okay, so we have -- we started out with the 22 Description and the Indication For Use. Then we would 23 have, after that we would have, before you started into 24 the Instructions For Use, you would start with the</p>

<p style="text-align: center;">Page 134</p> <p>1 contraindications, because a physician needs to know up 2 front what's the contraindications. That should be up 3 in the first --</p> <p>4 Q I'm sorry, contraindications?</p> <p>5 A Yeah.</p> <p>6 Q Should be where?</p> <p>7 A Right up after the Indications For Use.</p> <p>8 Q Contraindications should be where, after...?</p> <p>9 A Indications For Use.</p> <p>10 Q On what page?</p> <p>11 A They should be probably be out on page 1 or 2.</p> <p>12 Q Contraindications should be on page 1, is that 13 correct?</p> <p>14 A Well, it should follow the Indications For 15 Use.</p> <p>16 Q I'm asking you, where should contraindications 17 be located?</p> <p>18 A Well, you can't know what page, because we've 19 moved the Description, we've shortened it. So we've 20 taken --</p> <p>21 Q Contraindications should be up front?</p> <p>22 A Yeah --</p> <p>23 Q Okay.</p> <p>24 A -- after the Indications For Use, you should</p>	<p>1 warnings and precautions, but who this product shouldn't 2 be used for, anyone with an allergy to polypropylene, 3 there are patients with that, they should not also be in 4 here.</p> <p>5 Q So your opinion is that the contraindications 6 are poorly worded, correct?</p> <p>7 A Well, no, they're not complete.</p> <p>8 Q Not complete.</p> <p>9 A If the company knows of people that should not 10 be implanted, that would be where you would put this 11 information. And they're implying to the FDA, and to -- 12 in the discussion of Australia, that they know that 13 there are patients that this product is good for and 14 not.</p> <p>15 Q What should the contraindications have 16 included that they don't include already?</p> <p>17 A Well, the 8 centimeters mesh was chosen 18 because it would fit most patients. So if it doesn't 19 fit most patients -- this isn't a two-size device, it's 20 a one-single-size device -- that would be in the 21 contraindications.</p> <p>22 Q Okay.</p> <p>23 A If a woman that's too obese, or -- what woman 24 is contraindicated, what --</p>
<p style="text-align: center;">Page 135</p> <p>1 have the contraindications.</p> <p>2 Q And where is contraindications now?</p> <p>3 A It's on page 20.</p> <p>4 Q Okay. So it should be up front rather than on 5 page 20, correct?</p> <p>6 A Yeah, in the contraindications.</p> <p>7 Q Do you have any other criticism?</p> <p>8 A Well, yeah, I do. Just let me look at the 9 contraindications... (Deponent reading document.)</p> <p>10 That contraindication comes from surgical mesh 11 Prolene.</p> <p>12 Q I'm not asking where that contraindication 13 came from. I'm asking you about your criticisms.</p> <p>14 A Okay, I'll tell you what my 15 contraindications --</p> <p>16 Q I'm not talking about your contraindications. 17 I'm talking about your criticisms --</p> <p>18 A I'm going to tell you.</p> <p>19 Q -- of the IFU, in Exhibit 6.</p> <p>20 A Okay, the company says to the FDA that you can 21 only use this product in certain selected patients. So 22 if there's a patient that should not be appropriate for 23 this product, say, weight, if the woman is too obese, it 24 could be in the contraindications, it could be in the</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 137</p> <p>1 Q What other criticisms do you have about the 2 IFU?</p> <p>3 A Well, we're still -- so the contraindication 4 if a person has an allergy to polypropylene, there are 5 people that do, then that would be contraindicated in 6 that patient --</p> <p>7 Q Okay.</p> <p>8 A -- and --</p> <p>9 Q So my question to you is, what other 10 criticisms of the IFU do you have?</p> <p>11 MR. LUNDQUIST: She hasn't finished answering 12 your question, I don't think, on contraindications.</p> <p>13 Have you?</p> <p>14 THE WITNESS: Right. So if the 15 contraindications --</p> <p>16 MR. HUTCHINSON: No, she testified that the 17 contraindications are incomplete.</p> <p>18 THE WITNESS: All right, and that they should 19 be addressed.</p> <p>20 Okay, the Warnings and Precautions is now what 21 we're going through. At this time --</p> <p>22 BY MR. HUTCHINSON:</p> <p>23 Q My question is: What are your criticisms 24 about the Warnings and Precautions? Is it the placement</p>

Page 138	Page 140
<p>1 or is it the verbiage, or language?</p> <p>2 A Well, all -- we're talking about, okay, the</p> <p>3 placement. This should be up front, on page 1 or 2, not</p> <p>4 page 20.</p> <p>5 Q Okay.</p> <p>6 A Okay, let me look at the warnings. Okay, they</p> <p>7 have a statement under Warnings and Precautions,</p> <p>8 "Users should be familiar with surgical</p> <p>9 technique for urethral suspension and should</p> <p>10 be adequately trained in the Gynecare TVT</p> <p>11 Secur system before using."</p> <p>12 I've criticized that they don't have a</p> <p>13 certification, so how would a physician out there</p> <p>14 adequately train to use it? All they're saying is that</p> <p>15 you have to know how to use -- do urethral surgery.</p> <p>16 Dr. Walss did a lot of urethral surgery.</p> <p>17 Q Move to strike as nonresponsive.</p> <p>18 A Well --</p> <p>19 Q We're not talking about Dr. Walss.</p> <p>20 A No --</p> <p>21 MR. LUNDQUIST: She's answering your question.</p> <p>22 BY MR. HUTCHINSON:</p> <p>23 Q So my question is: What other criticisms do</p> <p>24 you have about the IFU?</p>	<p>1 Q Okay, so is that --</p> <p>2 A That's a --</p> <p>3 Q Is that a criticism you have about the</p> <p>4 language under the warnings?</p> <p>5 A Yeah.</p> <p>6 Q Okay.</p> <p>7 A That's a real stupid statement.</p> <p>8 Q Anything else?</p> <p>9 A I mean, to a physician, that means nothing,</p> <p>10 I mean. And also, you don't say that the device, unlike</p> <p>11 the other devices, is sharp. So it does have a tendency</p> <p>12 to cut.</p> <p>13 Q So other than a criticism of language in the</p> <p>14 warnings, do you have any other criticisms of the IFU?</p> <p>15 A No, I'm still going, looking at -- we're</p> <p>16 looking at Warnings and Precautions.</p> <p>17 Q Well, you've already criticized the language,</p> <p>18 I get that, but other than the criticisms of the</p> <p>19 language and the warnings, do you have any other</p> <p>20 criticism of the IFU for TVT Secur?</p> <p>21 A I think they tell them, for the hammock</p> <p>22 position, that bladder injury is unlikely, but --</p> <p>23 Q Where are you?</p> <p>24 A I'm moving down the warnings, under the</p>
<p style="text-align: center;">Page 139</p> <p>1 A It defines -- it should define what would be</p> <p>2 someone who's adequately trained. Would it be someone</p> <p>3 who would go to a course? Would it be -- you know, and</p> <p>4 so their marketing should be consistent with that, that</p> <p>5 those people who were adequately trained, by definition</p> <p>6 here, then should use this product. That would be a</p> <p>7 warning.</p> <p>8 Q What else? What other criticisms do you have?</p> <p>9 A The second, that it should be used with care</p> <p>10 to minimize the chance of damage to large vessels,</p> <p>11 nerves, bladder and bowels --</p> <p>12 Q I'm sorry, is this the language?</p> <p>13 A No, no, this is -- I'm just showing you where</p> <p>14 I am.</p> <p>15 Q I know, but you're reading a document.</p> <p>16 A Right.</p> <p>17 Q My question is: What criticism do you have</p> <p>18 about the IFU?</p> <p>19 A Well --</p> <p>20 Q And I'm making a list. So what is the next</p> <p>21 one on our list?</p> <p>22 A We're moving to the next one, where they're</p> <p>23 saying that it is important to pay attention to the</p> <p>24 specific patient's anatomy while inserting the device.</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 141</p> <p>1 "hammock" position.</p> <p>2 Q Okay, and that goes to the language, correct?</p> <p>3 A Well, no, no. It's -- this is a warning,</p> <p>4 okay? And so for the hammock position, the hammock</p> <p>5 position is the most commonly used position and also the</p> <p>6 one that's getting reported with bladder perforation.</p> <p>7 Q What's your criticism about that, the</p> <p>8 language?</p> <p>9 A Well, no, it doesn't give the post-market</p> <p>10 information about the bladder perforation rate.</p> <p>11 Q Okay.</p> <p>12 A Because it's one thing to say that it's</p> <p>13 unlikely to occur with this technique, but bladder</p> <p>14 perforation is actually being reported, I think, in some</p> <p>15 documents, at 19 percent. So that information</p> <p>16 underplays the potential risk to a physician on the</p> <p>17 hammock position.</p> <p>18 Q All right.</p> <p>19 A And then the hammock position, as we saw also,</p> <p>20 if the device isn't put near the pubic bone, you may</p> <p>21 actually hit blood vessels, which was another thing that</p> <p>22 was there. So if you're going to talk about the risk of</p> <p>23 the hammock position, you need to say those.</p> <p>24 Q Do you have any other criticisms --</p>

<p style="text-align: center;">Page 142</p> <p>1 A Yes.</p> <p>2 Q -- about the IFU?</p> <p>3 A Now, they're saying, "Ensure that the tape is placed with -- "</p> <p>5 Q I'm asking you, do you have any criticisms about the IFU?</p> <p>7 A Yes.</p> <p>8 Q Okay.</p> <p>9 A I'm telling you what.</p> <p>10 Q What's the next criticism?</p> <p>11 A Based on the information they have, this is not a good IFU. They also --</p> <p>13 Q What's your criticism?</p> <p>14 A I'm going tell you, "Ensure the tape is placed with -- "</p> <p>16 Q You're just reading from a document.</p> <p>17 A No, I'm --</p> <p>18 MR. LUNDQUIST: She's giving the basis for her --</p> <p>20 THE WITNESS: I'm trying to tell you where I am, why it's wrong.</p> <p>22 BY MR. HUTCHINSON:</p> <p>23 Q I meant, what's your criticism?</p> <p>24 A You're telling a physician, under Warnings and</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 144</p> <p>1 Q And -- hold on just a minute, stick with me. What other opinions do you have, or criticisms, rather, about the IFU?</p> <p>4 A Okay, I'm talking -- these are warnings, and so the learning curve should actually go up where they're talking about, the physician should be aware of the surgical technique and adequate training. Okay, then, you would put the learning curve in there. These are warnings. Warnings are supposed to be, before you use this, Doctor, you need to know this.</p> <p>11 Q Stick with me. So what other criticisms do you have?</p> <p>13 A The next statement, "Acceptable surgical practice should be followed," that's not a warning. Doctors know that.</p> <p>16 Q And so that shouldn't be in there, correct?</p> <p>17 A "...should be followed -- "</p> <p>18 Q Is that correct?</p> <p>19 A Let me look at it. As well -- I mean, yeah, that's not a warning, because doctors would think of that just in terms of surgical stuff. What should be there is the potential for these things to be contaminated and difficult to remove. That's a better warning. Here, this is just telling a doctor he needs</p>
<p style="text-align: center;">Page 143</p> <p>1 Precautions, that there should be no tension placed under the mid-urethra.</p> <p>3 Q And you believe that's wrong.</p> <p>4 A I believe that's wrong and inaccurate, in terms of their internal documents, when they say tensioning is very important in terms of this device, and so this is not an adequate warning.</p> <p>8 I mean, these are warnings. Warnings are like, red light, yellow light, important information, and this is not -- this is not telling them that tensioning is very important to the success of this procedure; and you see that through all their documents, and that information's not there, and --</p> <p>14 Q What other criticisms do you have, Dr. Parisian, of the IFU?</p> <p>16 A The other is that there is nothing here about the learning curve. All the documents internally are discussing the long learning curve --</p> <p>19 Q And so let me ask you this: Is your opinion that there should be something in the IFU that says there's a long learning curve?</p> <p>22 A Yeah.</p> <p>23 Q Okay.</p> <p>24 A Because they're talking --</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 145</p> <p>1 to know how to do surgery technique. That's not a warning.</p> <p>3 Q Which bullet point are you?</p> <p>4 A I'm on the first one on page 21.</p> <p>5 Q Okay. All right, the acceptable surgical practice. All right, any other criticisms?</p> <p>7 A Well, the next one, do not perform this if you think the surgical site may be infected. You're talking about the urethra and the woman's vagina, which is contaminated --</p> <p>11 Q So you don't like the bullet point -- you don't like the language in bullet number 2 on page 21, correct?</p> <p>14 A Correct.</p> <p>15 Q All right, any other criticisms?</p> <p>16 A Okay, so they do mention removal --</p> <p>17 Q Doctor --</p> <p>18 A No, I'm continuing on that --</p> <p>19 Q Do you have any other criticisms of the IFU?</p> <p>20 A Yes, yes.</p> <p>21 Q Okay. What are they?</p> <p>22 A Okay, where they're talking about the surgical site, they're saying that it must only be with the understanding that subsequent infection may require its</p>

<p style="text-align: center;">Page 146</p> <p>1 removal. Actually, the tape has been removed for many 2 reasons. So this would be where you would highlight the 3 risk of infection, the potential removal, a need for 4 additional surgical procedures, and it could be 5 difficult to remove and you actually may never be able 6 to treat the infection.</p> <p>7 So you have, the risk of infection could be a 8 permanent issue, you may not be able to remove the 9 surgical mesh, and it may be something that could cause 10 problems forever. So that doesn't convey that.</p> <p>11 Q All right. Do you have any other criticisms? 12 I'm making a list about what -- hold it just a minute, 13 Dr. Parisian. Listen to my question. I'm making a list 14 and I'm up to 15 now criticisms that you have for the 15 IFU. Does that sound about right so far?</p> <p>16 A Yeah, I'm going through the IFU with you --</p> <p>17 Q Okay.</p> <p>18 A -- we're all the way through, you --</p> <p>19 Q I know, but does that sound right so far? You 20 have at least 15 criticisms of the IFU so far?</p> <p>21 A Yeah.</p> <p>22 Q Okay.</p> <p>23 A It's not -- it's got a good IFU.</p> <p>24 Q Okay, if you could continue.</p>	<p>1 pregnancy. That would -- let's see... 2 Okay, the next is just a routine warning for 3 surgical procedures.</p> <p>4 Q Is that a criticism you have?</p> <p>5 A Yeah.</p> <p>6 Q Okay, so is that 17 criticisms?</p> <p>7 A This would just be --</p> <p>8 Q Doctor -- Dr. Parisian --</p> <p>9 A I don't know if would be or not, but you would 10 use --</p> <p>11 Q Okay. Dr. Parisian --</p> <p>12 A Yes.</p> <p>13 Q Are we up to 17 criticisms you have for the 14 IFU?</p> <p>15 MR. LUNDQUIST: Object to form.</p> <p>16 THE WITNESS: I don't know. You're keeping 17 track. I wouldn't argue with you, but --</p> <p>18 BY MR. HUTCHINSON:</p> <p>19 Q Well, if I've counted to 17 criticisms you 20 have of the IFU, would you have any reason to dispute 21 that?</p> <p>22 A No, sir.</p> <p>23 Q Okay.</p> <p>24 A The other is that --</p>
<p style="text-align: center;">Page 147</p> <p>1 A All right.</p> <p>2 Q What's your next criticism of the IFU?</p> <p>3 A I don't know why they put the pregnancy there.</p> <p>4 Q Is that a criticism?</p> <p>5 A You could actually put a pregnancy as a one 6 single line. Yeah, this is --</p> <p>7 Q All right. My question is, is that a 8 criticism?</p> <p>9 A Yeah.</p> <p>10 Q Okay, so we're up to 16?</p> <p>11 A Yeah.</p> <p>12 Q Okay.</p> <p>13 A But see, in terms of warnings, you're supposed 14 to put the most important things first. It's not likely 15 that this is going to be used on a pregnant woman, 16 but --</p> <p>17 Q What other criticisms do you have about the 18 IFU?</p> <p>19 A I'll go on. There's again, pregnancy, women. 20 You could have just put pregnancy off by itself as a 21 separate population, because it's not going to be what a 22 physician who's potentially going to be doing this is 23 thinking about. You could have had a special population 24 and had pregnancy under that, considerations for</p>	<p>1 Q What's number 18?</p> <p>2 A Number 18 would be that the postoperative 3 should be under the Instructions For Use, not under the 4 Warnings and Precautions, because that would be 5 postoperative information that you would tell your 6 patient about, not to jog. So it doesn't need to be in 7 the Warning and Precautions, it needs to be under the 8 Instructions For Use and the postoperative course.</p> <p>9 Q Okay.</p> <p>10 A It's just in the wrong place.</p> <p>11 Q What's number 19?</p> <p>12 A Okay, and the same with, "Patient should be 13 instructed to contact surgeon," that should be under 14 postoperative care and instructions for use.</p> <p>15 Q All right, what's number 20? What's 16 number 20, Dr. Parisian?</p> <p>17 A I'm looking. The next talks about de novo 18 destrusor stability.</p> <p>19 Q And what's wrong with that?</p> <p>20 A There's no information as to how frequent, and 21 yet they're trending it in their own post-market 22 information. So how frequently does that occur for this 23 device, in terms of a meaningful warning for a 24 physician?</p>

<p style="text-align: center;">Page 150</p> <p>1 Q What's number 21?</p> <p>2 A Twenty-one, we'll leave that --</p> <p>3 Q What's your 21st criticism of the IFU?</p> <p>4 A Well, in terms of the IFU, in terms of</p> <p>5 Warnings and Precautions, the information that needs to</p> <p>6 be in there that's not in there, because I've gone</p> <p>7 through the information that is, should be the failure</p> <p>8 rate. What is the likelihood of the failure rate, based</p> <p>9 on tensioning, inadequate tensioning --</p> <p>10 Q Is that -- I'm sorry.</p> <p>11 A That's not in the warnings at all.</p> <p>12 Q Is that your 21st criticism?</p> <p>13 A Yes, sir.</p> <p>14 Q Okay. What would be the -- do you have any</p> <p>15 other criticisms of the IFU?</p> <p>16 A I don't think the number really applies,</p> <p>17 because we're talking about a redesign of a label that's</p> <p>18 inadequate.</p> <p>19 Q What is your next criticism of the IFU,</p> <p>20 Dr. Parisian?</p> <p>21 A Let me think about it. Let me think about it.</p> <p>22 Okay, you need to include the failure rate that</p> <p>23 they've --</p> <p>24 Q I'm sorry, the failure rate?</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 152</p> <p>1 was combined in drugs.</p> <p>2 Q Dr. Parisian, your -- what is your -- your</p> <p>3 24th criticism was to divide the label differently.</p> <p>4 What is your 25th criticism, though --</p> <p>5 MR. LUNDQUIST: Form.</p> <p>6 BY MR. HUTCHINSON:</p> <p>7 Q -- of the IFU?</p> <p>8 A Okay, but I wanted to make it clear for you,</p> <p>9 I'm talking about -- we just went through Warnings and</p> <p>10 Precautions. I'm not going to go back through the list.</p> <p>11 Q I understand that. I understand that. That's</p> <p>12 why I'm keeping the list. So --</p> <p>13 A Some are higher for warnings, they're</p> <p>14 appropriate warnings, and some are lower risks, things</p> <p>15 that are something -- a precaution, and that would be</p> <p>16 something that needs to be divided from Warnings and</p> <p>17 Precautions.</p> <p>18 Q All right. What is your 25th criticism of the</p> <p>19 IFU?</p> <p>20 A Let me go through. There's nothing about --</p> <p>21 okay, Adverse Reactions, it should be -- Adverse</p> <p>22 Reactions is what they're calling it; they want to call</p> <p>23 it that, or complication. And let's look at that.</p> <p>24 Q All right. Dr. Parisian, before you -- I'm</p>
<p style="text-align: center;">Page 151</p> <p>1 A Yes.</p> <p>2 Q Doctor, Dr. Parisian, you're talking real fast</p> <p>3 on me. Including the failure rate, would that be the</p> <p>4 22nd criticism you have of the IFU?</p> <p>5 A Yeah, there's no information in here about the</p> <p>6 high failure rate that's being seen in the post-market</p> <p>7 information.</p> <p>8 Q Do you have any other criticisms of the IFU?</p> <p>9 A Let me think. I'm thinking. Actually,</p> <p>10 I think that I would have divided this label differently</p> <p>11 in terms Warnings and Precautions, because medically --</p> <p>12 drugs had combined warnings and precautions in 2006, but</p> <p>13 not medical devices.</p> <p>14 So there should be a hierarchy of warnings</p> <p>15 versus precautions, because warnings would be your</p> <p>16 higher risk information, like, do not use an</p> <p>17 anticoagulation therapy, that first one, that's a</p> <p>18 warning, whereas a precaution -- putting them together</p> <p>19 doesn't give a doctor....</p> <p>20 So the way they've categorized -- you can make</p> <p>21 it 20 whatever-it-is -- the warnings and the precautions</p> <p>22 should be separated, because warnings are of higher risk</p> <p>23 to a physician implanting the device and it needs to be</p> <p>24 highlighted. It wasn't combined in medical devices, it</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 153</p> <p>1 still making my list. So what's your 26th criticism of</p> <p>2 the IFU?</p> <p>3 A Well, I'm looking at the Adverse Reaction</p> <p>4 section.</p> <p>5 Q Can you list the 26th criticism? Otherwise,</p> <p>6 we'll move on.</p> <p>7 A Yeah, sure I can.</p> <p>8 Q Okay.</p> <p>9 A I mean, looking at it right away, one of the</p> <p>10 issues is the use of the word "transitory" or "local</p> <p>11 irritation" -- "transitory local irritation." The</p> <p>12 words --</p> <p>13 Q And that's a criticism?</p> <p>14 A Oh, it's a big criticism.</p> <p>15 Q Okay. What's your next criticism?</p> <p>16 A Well, no, why is it my criticism?</p> <p>17 Q No, I'm sorry --</p> <p>18 A It should be --</p> <p>19 Q Dr. Parisian, listen to my question. Stick</p> <p>20 with me, okay? What's your next -- what's your 27th</p> <p>21 criticism of the IFU?</p> <p>22 A Okay, but let's go back to 26.</p> <p>23 Q No, I'm taking this deposition, Doctor.</p> <p>24 MR. LUNDQUIST: Doctor, it's all right, if he</p>

<p style="text-align: center;">Page 154</p> <p>1 doesn't --</p> <p>2 BY MR. HUTCHINSON:</p> <p>3 Q I'm going to ask you a question. What's your 4 27th criticism of the IFU?</p> <p>5 MR. LUNDQUIST: If he doesn't want the basis 6 for it, he's welcome to ask what the criticisms are.</p> <p>7 THE WITNESS: And that isn't what --</p> <p>8 MR. LUNDQUIST: That's fine.</p> <p>9 MR. HUTCHINSON: I'm totally entitled to know 10 what her criticisms are.</p> <p>11 MR. LUNDQUIST: You are.</p> <p>12 BY MR. HUTCHINSON:</p> <p>13 Q What's your 27th criticism?</p> <p>14 A Well, my 27th is that instead of the word 15 "transitory," it should be "permanent."</p> <p>16 Q Okay, what's your -- do you have any other 17 criticisms of the IFU?</p> <p>18 A And it should be -- yes.</p> <p>19 Q Okay. What's the next one?</p> <p>20 A The Adverse Reactions is totally inadequate. 21 There's nothing about need for revision surgery, and 22 there's nothing about the difficulty removing of the 23 mesh. There's nothing about the potential risk for 24 infection, chronic pain, dyspareunia, change in your</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 155</p> <p>1 A Yeah, it's -- and I can summarize. It's --</p> <p>2 MR. LUNDQUIST: You don't need to summarize, 3 that's okay. Thank you. If you've gone through them, 4 Dr. Parisian, I think --</p> <p>5 THE WITNESS: So you're going to ask me what 6 needs to be in it?</p> <p>7 BY MR. HUTCHINSON:</p> <p>8 Q No, I'm going to ask you to summarize for us 9 what your criticisms are for the IFU.</p> <p>10 A Okay. The design of the document is not 11 correct. The information for the physician that he 12 needs to know about choosing this device is not up 13 front.</p> <p>14 There's too much discussion of the device. 15 You can put that later in the labeling, to describe the 16 device.</p> <p>17 The Instructions For Use, the surgical 18 procedure needs to be later in there. They need to tie 19 the precautions that go with the Instructions For Use 20 with pictures. You need to have pictures, so that the 21 physician would know what the potential procedure is. 22 You can't have pictures not together.</p> <p>23 Adverse Events is deficient. It doesn't talk 24 about the permanent nature of the complications, in</p>
<p style="text-align: center;">Page 155</p> <p>1 vagina in terms of scarring. None of that information 2 is in here. So the Adverse Reaction is totally 3 inadequate --</p> <p>4 Q Okay.</p> <p>5 A -- in terms of the information.</p> <p>6 Q Do you have any other criticisms of the IFU?</p> <p>7 A Let me --</p> <p>8 Q And your 28th criticism was the Adverse 9 Reactions language, correct?</p> <p>10 A It's still inadequate.</p> <p>11 Q I'm sorry, is that correct?</p> <p>12 A Yes, it's totally inadequate.</p> <p>13 Q Do you have any other criticism of the IFU?</p> <p>14 MR. LUNDQUIST: Just for clarification, are 15 you talking about just the inadequacy or what she thinks 16 should be in here, or are you asking about --</p> <p>17 MR. HUTCHINSON: I'm talking about her 18 criticisms.</p> <p>19 MR. LUNDQUIST: Fair.</p> <p>20 THE WITNESS: Oh, because I'm trying to tell 21 you what should be in there.</p> <p>22 BY MR. HUTCHINSON:</p> <p>23 Q Have we discussed all of your criticisms of 24 the IFU?</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 157</p> <p>1 terms of risk versus benefit.</p> <p>2 So the sum is that it's an inadequate label in 3 terms of risk versus benefit information for a physician 4 choosing to use this product versus another product.</p> <p>5 It also doesn't talk about the post-marketing 6 history, the learning curve, and what a physician needs 7 to do to be able to use this device safely on a patient.</p> <p>8 And what kind of information oftentimes the 9 label will have information for consulting for your 10 patients, counseling your patients, there's nothing in 11 here for a physician about information you give to a 12 patient.</p> <p>13 Q What should the label say?</p> <p>14 A Okay, the label should say that -- just what 15 I said, in terms of the summary. It needs to put the 16 risk information, the warnings and precautions divided 17 separately up front, with the contraindications under 18 the device description with the intended use, the 19 indication for use.</p> <p>20 It needs to convey if there are any patients 21 that are not appropriate; what kind of training a 22 physician has. The difficulty with tensioning needs to 23 be in here, and in implanting. It also needs to, as 24 post-market data is obtained, the high failure rate at</p>

<p style="text-align: center;">Page 158</p> <p>1 12 weeks, in terms of this device: Do you want to use 2 this product versus another product?</p> <p>3 It also needs to have a list of the adverse 4 events, complications that can occur, that they can be 5 permanent, that it can be difficult to remove a mesh, 6 that infections cannot be treated, perhaps; that removal 7 of the mesh -- you cannot remove all of the mesh and you 8 may still have infection.</p> <p>9 It also doesn't discuss anything about nerve 10 injury, that people will have chronic pain. It 11 doesn't -- it implies that the issues of complications 12 are going to be temporary and transient. Those are not 13 the right words, and the company internally was talking 14 that was the wrong term to use.</p> <p>15 So, you know, it just doesn't convey the risk 16 versus benefit information in an adequate prescription 17 label for a 21 C.F.R. 801.109.</p> <p>18 Q Have we discussed all the language you believe 19 that should be in the IFU?</p> <p>20 MR. LUNDQUIST: Object to form.</p> <p>21 THE WITNESS: That's what I think.</p> <p>22 BY MR. HUTCHINSON:</p> <p>23 Q Dr. Parisian?</p> <p>24 A I think that, in terms of, I am not a</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 160</p> <p>1 talked about all the language that you believe should be 2 in the IFU?</p> <p>3 A The -- yeah, I think also it needs to have an 4 adverse reaction that failure has been found at 12 5 weeks, in terms of the medical literature --</p> <p>6 Q Okay, anything else?</p> <p>7 A -- and their own data.</p> <p>8 Q Anything else?</p> <p>9 A That their long-term performance hasn't been 10 determined by the company.</p> <p>11 Q Anything else? Dr. Parisian, anything else?</p> <p>12 A I'm thinking, I'm thinking. I think also, in 13 terms of the fixation, the fixation, there's no 14 information about the success of fixation with the 15 Ethisorb.</p> <p>16 Q I'm talking about the language.</p> <p>17 A Right, no, the right --</p> <p>18 Q So tell me, have we discussed everything?</p> <p>19 A There's this whole discussion of the freeze in 20 the design, but there's nothing about that this has 21 never been used for this indication before, in terms of 22 the Dura Patch that they're using.</p> <p>23 Q Anything else?</p> <p>24 A That information needs to be there to tell the</p>
<p style="text-align: center;">Page 159</p> <p>1 clinician, and so as an FDA reviewer looking at the 2 warnings and looking at what the medical literature has 3 covered, those are my opinions.</p> <p>4 We also have to take Dr. Miklos, because he 5 actually can talk --</p> <p>6 Q I'm not talking about Dr. Miklos.</p> <p>7 MR. LUNDQUIST: She's answering your question. 8 She's answering your question.</p> <p>9 MR. HUTCHINSON: Move to strike as 10 nonresponsive.</p> <p>11 MR. LUNDQUIST: Doctor, you can continue your 12 answer.</p> <p>13 THE WITNESS: I'm going to refer to him in 14 order to talk about the training, because he was a 15 preceptor and he would know about the adequacy for the 16 IFU training, because I haven't focused on that.</p> <p>17 BY MR. HUTCHINSON:</p> <p>18 Q Okay, and have we talked about all of your 19 opinions regarding language that should be in the IFU?</p> <p>20 A All the -- all the language? Because -- did 21 we talk about the language? I mean, we talked about it 22 in the beginning, the dyspareunia, product -- is that in 23 your...?</p> <p>24 Q I'm asking you. I'm asking you, have we</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 161</p> <p>1 physician that the fixation hasn't been determined, and 2 there's no long-term data about that. So difficulty 3 removing, training. What else? I think that's about 4 it.</p> <p>5 Q Dr. Parisian, are you aware of any IFU on the 6 market that has everything that you have suggested in 7 it, and in the order in which you've suggested it?</p> <p>8 A Actually, a lot of the information was 9 suggested by the FDA in 2008 --</p> <p>10 Q Move to strike as nonresponsive. I'm not 11 asking about the FDA.</p> <p>12 A Well, there's different components of it.</p> <p>13 Q My question -- my question to you is, are you 14 aware of any IFU on the market that has all the 15 information in it and in the order you think it should 16 be in it, yes or no?</p> <p>17 A I don't know. I mean, I don't think so, 18 because this is specifically looking at the documents 19 for TVT Secur. The documents for TVT-O and the 20 documents for TTV and other product would be different, 21 but in terms of the information, there's -- some other 22 of the labels may actually have some of that.</p> <p>23 Q Dr. Parisian, did Ethicon do anything correct 24 when they drafted this IFU for TTV Secur, anything that</p>

<p style="text-align: center;">Page 162</p> <p>1 they did well?</p> <p>2 A Let me look. In terms of this IFU, no.</p> <p>3 Q Okay, and Dr. Parisian, you'll know that the</p> <p>4 FDA did review this IFU before it cleared TTV Secur,</p> <p>5 correct?</p> <p>6 A It was included in the 510(k) package, but the</p> <p>7 FDA reviewed it for the intended use. They didn't</p> <p>8 review it, they don't approve it.</p> <p>9 Q Well, my question to you is: You do know that</p> <p>10 the FDA looked at the IFU that we've talked about before</p> <p>11 it cleared TTV Secur, correct?</p> <p>12 MR. LUNDQUIST: Objection.</p> <p>13 THE WITNESS: No, we know that it was in the</p> <p>14 FDA's submission, the 510(k). I will agree with that.</p> <p>15 BY MR. HUTCHINSON:</p> <p>16 Q Okay.</p> <p>17 A We don't really have any comments. We have</p> <p>18 Dr. Herrera saying he doesn't know how you could put</p> <p>19 this device in. So FDA didn't approve that. That's an</p> <p>20 IFU.</p> <p>21 Q I'm not talking about approving, I'm talking</p> <p>22 about clearing.</p> <p>23 A Well, you're --</p> <p>24 Q FDA -- just stick with me. FDA cleared TTV</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 164</p> <p>1 Q Did FDA -- strike that. Did Ethicon violate</p> <p>2 any federal regulations with the IFU that was marked as</p> <p>3 Exhibit 6?</p> <p>4 A Yes, 21 U.S.C. 352(a) --</p> <p>5 Q Any others?</p> <p>6 A Well, I'm explaining it. I'm answering your</p> <p>7 question.</p> <p>8 MR. LUNDQUIST: Let her finish.</p> <p>9 THE WITNESS: 21 U.S.C. 352(a)(f)(1), (f)(2),</p> <p>10 in terms of mis-branding; 21 C.F.R. 801.109, adequate</p> <p>11 prescription label; 21 C.F.R. 1.21, failure to reveal</p> <p>12 material facts. Twenty-one -- those would be the main</p> <p>13 ones in terms of the labeling, adequate instructions for</p> <p>14 use. Yes, sir.</p> <p>15 BY MR. HUTCHINSON:</p> <p>16 Q And this --</p> <p>17 A So those are violated. Those are not</p> <p>18 consistent with the information that -- and I'm not</p> <p>19 going to say violated. Those are not in compliance. If</p> <p>20 I was an FDA regulatory expert, with the requirements</p> <p>21 for an adequate label, based on information that the</p> <p>22 company has, especially in 2010, because we're looking</p> <p>23 at labels that began when it was first cleared, but once</p> <p>24 it was marketed, and by 2010, that label is not an</p>
<p style="text-align: center;">Page 163</p> <p>1 Secur, correct?</p> <p>2 A They did, but not that label.</p> <p>3 Q And FDA had this label at their office when</p> <p>4 they cleared TTV Secur, correct?</p> <p>5 A It was with the 510(k) --</p> <p>6 Q Is that correct?</p> <p>7 A Yes, it was with the 510(k) submission --</p> <p>8 Q And that clearing --</p> <p>9 A -- and Herrera's comment is that --</p> <p>10 Q And that clearing -- just a minute. By</p> <p>11 clearing the TTV Secur, FDA gave Ethicon the green light</p> <p>12 to market this, correct?</p> <p>13 A Only to market it, but not with that IFU.</p> <p>14 Q Right, and --</p> <p>15 A FDA doesn't review the IFU. That's up to</p> <p>16 Ethicon, and the only thing the FDA reviewed in the</p> <p>17 510(k) was the intended use, and the submission and the</p> <p>18 representation that this device was exactly the same as</p> <p>19 TVT and TVT-O, and some of that information is from TVT</p> <p>20 and TVT-O.</p> <p>21 And so the FDA only reviews labeling for the</p> <p>22 intended use. They didn't -- that's not cleared by the</p> <p>23 FDA or approved by the FDA, and you can say it all you</p> <p>24 want, but it's not.</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 165</p> <p>1 adequate label for Ms. Garcia.</p> <p>2 Q Let's look at Exhibit number 7. That's the</p> <p>3 patient brochure that you have in front of you, correct?</p> <p>4 MR. LUNDQUIST: You're going to launch into a</p> <p>5 whole new -- as far as the brochure, so is now a good --</p> <p>6 MR. HUTCHINSON: Patient brochure?</p> <p>7 MR. LUNDQUIST: Yeah, I figure you probably</p> <p>8 have a good deal of questions on that. Can we kind of</p> <p>9 take a --</p> <p>10 MR. HUTCHINSON: I really don't think I'm</p> <p>11 going to do --</p> <p>12 MR. LUNDQUIST: Maybe a 30-minute lunch break,</p> <p>13 unless you just want to --</p> <p>14 MR. HUTCHINSON: Why don't we -- so you want</p> <p>15 to take a break now?</p> <p>16 MR. LUNDQUIST: I mean, we've been going for</p> <p>17 about three and half hours. So just 30 minutes, back</p> <p>18 at, let's call it, back 10 to one?</p> <p>19 BY MR. HUTCHINSON:</p> <p>20 Q Well, let me ask you this: Do you have a lot</p> <p>21 of opinions about the patient brochure that we haven't</p> <p>22 already talked about?</p> <p>23 A Yeah.</p> <p>24 MR. HUTCHINSON: Let me -- all right, why</p>

<p style="text-align: center;">Page 166</p> <p>1 don't we take a -- plaintiff's counsel, you want to take 2 a --</p> <p>3 MR. LUNDQUIST: Thirty.</p> <p>4 MR. HUTCHINSON: -- 30-minute lunch, is that 5 right?</p> <p>6 MR. LUNDQUIST: Let's call it that.</p> <p>7 MR. HUTCHINSON: Okay. All right, fair 8 enough.</p> <p>9 (Luncheon recess taken from 12:24 p.m. to 1:10 p.m.)</p> <p>10 BY MR. HUTCHINSON:</p> <p>11 Q We ready?</p> <p>12 A Yes.</p> <p>13 Q Okay. We're back on the record after having 14 lunch and ready to go. Dr. Parisian --</p> <p>15 A Yes.</p> <p>16 Q -- the label in this case is the IFU, correct?</p> <p>17 A Yes, well -- the label, yes, as opposed to 18 labeling.</p> <p>19 Q Correct, but we're talking -- when we talk 20 about the label, you and I are talking about the same 21 thing in this case?</p> <p>22 A In this case, yes.</p> <p>23 Q And I think, before we took a break, you gave 24 us 28 to 30 reasons or so about why you believe the IFU</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 168</p> <p>1 correct?</p> <p>2 A It's misbranded. I mean, I've had a lot of 3 other opinions about defective design, but we're talking 4 about the IFU, and the label is misbranded, it's 5 inadequate, particularly by the time Ms. Garcia has her 6 surgery.</p> <p>7 Q Does that mean that the product was 8 defectively designed?</p> <p>9 A Just based on the labeling? In terms of the 10 training for the physician, yeah, we discussed that in 11 terms of the design in the very beginning.</p> <p>12 Q Okay. Did you determine -- did you do any 13 tests to determine if the product was defectively 14 designed?</p> <p>15 A Yeah, I think I have -- well, I haven't done 16 any physical tests.</p> <p>17 Q Okay.</p> <p>18 A I've looked at documents about it, and --</p> <p>19 Q Okay.</p> <p>20 A -- and reports.</p> <p>21 Q Are you relying on -- I know you've looked at 22 documents, but are you relying on any peer-reviewed 23 publications or scientific literature as the basis of 24 your opinion that the product is defectively designed?</p>
<p style="text-align: center;">Page 167</p> <p>1 was defectively designed, correct?</p> <p>2 MR. LUNDQUIST: Object.</p> <p>3 THE WITNESS: And then I summarized, tried to 4 say why, because it's not the points as much as the way 5 it's laid out.</p> <p>6 BY MR. HUTCHINSON:</p> <p>7 Q And the label is part of the product?</p> <p>8 A Yes.</p> <p>9 Q And you can't separate product from the label; 10 meaning the two of them go hand-to-hand, right?</p> <p>11 A Well, in what sense? In terms of a regulatory 12 sense, you can. If you're talking about a 510(k) 13 clearance, they're different. Because the only thing 14 that's really reviewed is the indications for use in 15 terms of 510(k) clearance, and then you get a nice 16 clearance letter saying it's up to you, manufacturer, to 17 ensure your labeling, which would be your IFU, is 18 adequate.</p> <p>19 Q Well, certainly Ethicon couldn't sell the TVT 20 Secur device without an IFU, could they?</p> <p>21 A No, they have to indicate what the intended 22 use is.</p> <p>23 Q So if the label is defectively designed, it's 24 your opinion that the product's defectively designed,</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 169</p> <p>1 A I would look at the Cochran report. The 2 Cochran report went and came up looking at all 3 randomized controlled studies that had been done and 4 said, very nicely, that it was not an adequate product 5 to use and that it would have been withdrawn from the 6 market.</p> <p>7 Q Can your theory the TVT Secur product is 8 defectively designed, can that theory be tested?</p> <p>9 A From a regulatory point of view? Sure. In 10 terms of the methodology that I'd use is exactly the 11 same methodology I would have used at the FDA to make 12 that same determination: Is this an adequate device? 13 Are the instructions adequate?</p> <p>14 So that that methodology can be tested, and 15 then the post-marketing reports actually substantiates 16 that it wasn't adequately designed --</p> <p>17 Q Have you done --</p> <p>18 A -- in their own internal discussions. So 19 based on the medical literature, their own company 20 reports, their own testimony, their own documents, 21 and -- it is inadequately designed. It did not meet -- 22 by "inadequately designed," I mean it's not meeting its 23 users' needs, in terms of what the design requirements 24 are, and that would be under 21 C.F.R. 820.</p>

<p style="text-align: center;">Page 170</p> <p>1 Q Has your theory been tested?</p> <p>2 A Has my theory...? You mean my process or</p> <p>3 methodology?</p> <p>4 Q No, I'm talking about your opinion that the</p> <p>5 TTV Secur device is defectively designed. Has that</p> <p>6 theory been tested, yes or no?</p> <p>7 A I don't understand the question.</p> <p>8 Q Have you done any tests to determine if the</p> <p>9 TTV Secur device is defectively designed?</p> <p>10 A No, I have not.</p> <p>11 Q Are you aware --</p> <p>12 A Can I clarify? You mean physical tests? Have</p> <p>13 I taken it into a laboratory and done physical tests?</p> <p>14 No.</p> <p>15 Q Well, have you done any types of tests to</p> <p>16 determine if the product is defectively designed?</p> <p>17 A Other than looking at the internal documents</p> <p>18 and company reports and the post-marketing, I mean, it's</p> <p>19 the company documents that have actually been what's</p> <p>20 defectively designed and as my basis for that opinion,</p> <p>21 and the medical literature.</p> <p>22 Q Is that a no?</p> <p>23 A I don't think I'm understanding your question.</p> <p>24 Q Okay. Have you done any test to determine if</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 172</p> <p>1 assessment.</p> <p>2 BY MR. HUTCHINSON:</p> <p>3 Q Has a safer design been manufactured?</p> <p>4 A Well, in terms of Ms. Garcia --</p> <p>5 MR. LUNDQUIST: Form.</p> <p>6 THE WITNESS: -- we have the TTV-O. Since Dr.</p> <p>7 Walss has been familiar with that, he said that's what</p> <p>8 he would have used if he had known about the potential</p> <p>9 risks.</p> <p>10 BY MR. HUTCHINSON:</p> <p>11 Q Well, my question to you is: Do you believe</p> <p>12 that a safer design, other than a safer design than</p> <p>13 TTV -- strike that.</p> <p>14 Do you believe that there is a safer design on</p> <p>15 the market compared to TTV Secur?</p> <p>16 MR. LUNDQUIST: Form.</p> <p>17 THE WITNESS: Yes.</p> <p>18 BY MR. HUTCHINSON:</p> <p>19 Q And what was that? What was that safer</p> <p>20 design?</p> <p>21 A Based on the company documents, the medical</p> <p>22 literature, Dr. Miklos, it would be the TTV and the</p> <p>23 TTV-O. Now, I'm not saying they're safe, but I'm saying</p> <p>24 they're safer than the TTV Secur.</p>
<p style="text-align: center;">Page 171</p> <p>1 the TTV Secur device is defectively designed?</p> <p>2 MR. LUNDQUIST: Object.</p> <p>3 THE WITNESS: Where I put it in the laboratory</p> <p>4 and tested it? I haven't done any testing like that.</p> <p>5 BY MR. HUTCHINSON:</p> <p>6 Q I'm talking about any tests.</p> <p>7 MR. LUNDQUIST: Object to form.</p> <p>8 THE WITNESS: I've done what a regulatory</p> <p>9 expert would do in terms of looking at adequacy of</p> <p>10 design and what I would have done at FDA. I used the</p> <p>11 same kind of documents.</p> <p>12 BY MR. HUTCHINSON:</p> <p>13 Q But have you done any tests other than</p> <p>14 physical tests?</p> <p>15 MR. LUNDQUIST: Object to form.</p> <p>16 THE WITNESS: I haven't done any physical</p> <p>17 tests, but I've used the documents that a regulatory</p> <p>18 expert would rely on to come up with that opinion.</p> <p>19 I'm not -- I don't -- are you trying to say,</p> <p>20 am I an engineer that would have done physical</p> <p>21 laboratory testing? No, I'm not, but the documents I've</p> <p>22 used are the same documents that I would have used at</p> <p>23 the FDA to say, is there an issue with this device;</p> <p>24 health risk assessment, in terms of a health risk</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 173</p> <p>1 Q Are you relying on any controlled study to</p> <p>2 support your opinion that the TTV Secur caused injury to</p> <p>3 Ms. Garcia?</p> <p>4 A A controlled study? Why would I rely on a</p> <p>5 controlled study? I'm relying on all the company</p> <p>6 documents and the information about the potential risks.</p> <p>7 Q And that's all?</p> <p>8 A And also we have Dr. Miklos, Dr. Walss, you</p> <p>9 know, those -- in terms of a health risk assessment, I'm</p> <p>10 relying on the totality of all those documents.</p> <p>11 Q Right, but I'm asking you about a controlled</p> <p>12 study. Are you relying any controlled study, yes or no?</p> <p>13 A Not one specific controlled study, no, sir.</p> <p>14 Q What -- and I believe it's your testimony that</p> <p>15 the TTV or TTV-O, in your opinion, would be the proposed</p> <p>16 alternative safer design, is that right?</p> <p>17 A Based on what Dr. Walss said, I would go with</p> <p>18 the TTV-O.</p> <p>19 Q Okay.</p> <p>20 A Or no treatment at all.</p> <p>21 Q And are you aware of any study comparing the</p> <p>22 current IFU that we have talked about as Exhibit 6 to</p> <p>23 the proposed IFU that you claim is safer?</p> <p>24 A The current study -- I know we have</p>

<p style="text-align: center;">Page 174</p> <p>1 Dr. Miklos, it's not -- from his clinical practice as a 2 physician and knowledgeable at the --</p> <p>3 Q I'm not asking about Dr. Miklos, I'm asking 4 about studies.</p> <p>5 A Well, there hasn't been a study of my proposed 6 label tested because it's based on documents that I've 7 reviewed. If the company wanted to do that, they could 8 do that, but I haven't seen that the company has done 9 such a study.</p> <p>10 Q The IFU that you propose has never been 11 cleared by the FDA, has it?</p> <p>12 A It wouldn't need to be. The company could 13 have done it immediately.</p> <p>14 Q Is that a no?</p> <p>15 A That's correct, and by 2010, which is the time 16 period we're talking about, the company had not updated 17 their label. So they would have the IFU that we 18 discussed, and I don't know that -- and FDA doesn't have 19 to clear a 510(k)'s label. The manufacturer can update 20 it immediately.</p> <p>21 Q In fact, the IFU that you propose has never 22 been submitted to the FDA, has it?</p> <p>23 A That's correct.</p> <p>24 Q Okay, not even by you.</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 176</p> <p>1 BY MR. HUTCHINSON: 2 Q Exactly. Am I correct? 3 A In terms of -- I -- 4 MR. LUNDQUIST: Form. 5 THE WITNESS: That's correct, I mean, because 6 nobody would have written the entire label -- I didn't 7 write a label. I told you what was deficient in your 8 label and what should be there. So there isn't a label 9 that I've even created, other than to tell you what my 10 opinions are in terms of what's missing from the label. 11 BY MR. HUTCHINSON: 12 Q Is -- so I guess it's fair to say that no one 13 in the world has ever marketed a product with an IFU -- 14 with the IFU like the one you believe is safe, correct? 15 MR. LUNDQUIST: Object to form. 16 THE WITNESS: Well, the label -- 17 BY MR. HUTCHINSON: 18 Q I'm just asking for a yes or no. Then you can 19 explain your answer. 20 MR. LUNDQUIST: Form. 21 THE WITNESS: Yes, because we're talking about 22 a TVT Secur label, not every label in every one in the 23 world, and the company could have used that label at any 24 time, and making a label that's very specific for their</p>
<p style="text-align: center;">Page 175</p> <p>1 A Why would it be, by me? That's correct. 2 Q And it's fair to say that no mesh manufacturer 3 has ever included an IFU like the one you believe is 4 safe, with their product? 5 MR. LUNDQUIST: Form. 6 THE WITNESS: I've seen various mesh 7 manufacturers' labels. They have components of the 8 information that I have, at various different times. 9 Their labels have been changing since the FDA's public 10 Health Announcement 2008. So their labels are evolving. 11 So much of that information isn't included in 12 the labels. I think there's a discussion in 2009, when 13 the company's comparing TVT's label using the word 14 "transitory" versus AMS label, where the transitory 15 doesn't occur. So there are components of those 16 statements -- 17 BY MR. HUTCHINSON: 18 Q I'm not talking about components, I'm talking 19 about an IFU exactly like the one that you had proposed 20 to be safer. 21 MR. LUNDQUIST: Object to form. 22 THE WITNESS: "Exactly" is the word, yes, 23 but -- 24 // //</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 177</p> <p>1 post-marketing information, which had never been 2 included in their initial label. They had one label 3 that came out and was never updated with any post-market 4 safety information. 5 BY MR. HUTCHINSON: 6 Q Is there a mesh manufacturer of a mini-sling 7 on the market that has an IFU that you believe is better 8 than Ethicon's? 9 A There are labels for other manufacturers that 10 have been updated over time that are better than you 11 would compare to the 2011 label -- what date was that 12 label that you showed me? Oh, that was the very first 13 label. 14 Yeah, the other manufacturers that I've seen 15 labels that are better than TVT's label, one would be 16 AMS, one would be Boston Scientific. Their labels have 17 different components, they're not perfect labels, but 18 they're more robust than the Ethicon label. 19 Q Let's talk about the IFU that you propose and 20 compare it to the current IFU for TVT Secur, okay? 21 A Well, you asked me my opinions on the -- 22 I didn't propose and draft a label. 23 Q Okay, and in fact, that's something that 24 you're not doing in this case, correct?</p>

<p style="text-align: center;">Page 178</p> <p>1 A I wasn't asked to do that. You asked me 2 specifically what are my opinions on the label, and 3 I gave you my opinions on the label. I didn't draft a 4 better label, other than to tell you how I would 5 rearrange the information. So there isn't a drafted 6 label by me other than the opinions.</p> <p>7 Q Okay, and so that's something you've not done 8 is, you've not drafted a better label than the one for 9 TVT Secur, correct?</p> <p>10 A I haven't physically drafted -- that's what 11 I wanted to be clear. I haven't physically drafted a 12 label. I've told you what's deficient in terms of 13 inadequate information. There are consultants like me 14 that could draft a label, but I haven't done that.</p> <p>15 Q Since you haven't talked with Dr. Walss, then 16 you don't know if the IFU that you proposed would have 17 made any difference in how he selected the product, do 18 you?</p> <p>19 MR. LUNDQUIST: Object to form.</p> <p>20 THE WITNESS: Based on his deposition, I do.</p> <p>21 BY MR. HUTCHINSON:</p> <p>22 Q Okay.</p> <p>23 A His deposition said it did. He repeatedly 24 said if he had known that, he wouldn't have -- what was</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 180</p> <p>1 Q My question is: Since you haven't spoken with 2 Dr. Walss, do you know if the IFU that you proposed 3 would have any made difference in the way he discussed 4 the procedure with Ms. Garcia?</p> <p>5 MR. LUNDQUIST: Object to form.</p> <p>6 THE WITNESS: I only can rely on his 7 testimony, and he said it would have.</p> <p>8 BY MR. HUTCHINSON:</p> <p>9 Q So it's your opinion that a TVT-O would be a 10 safer design?</p> <p>11 A It's relative. It's safer than a TVT Secur.</p> <p>12 Q And TVT-O is a multi-sling -- multi-incision 13 sling, correct?</p> <p>14 A Right.</p> <p>15 Q And a TVT Secur is a one-incision sling.</p> <p>16 A Single-incision, yes.</p> <p>17 Q So it's your opinion that a two-incision sling 18 is better than a one-incision sling?</p> <p>19 A No, it's not my opinion. My opinion is that 20 for a physician to know how to be able to use a device, 21 it makes it a better device. Dr. Walss is familiar with 22 a TVT-O, and he was not familiar and was not able to be 23 familiar with the tensioning issues because the company 24 didn't instruct him on it for the TVT-S. So you're</p>
<p style="text-align: center;">Page 179</p> <p>1 in the IFU, he wouldn't have used the product, he would 2 have used the TVT-O, which he knew how to use and had 3 used. So he repeatedly said that.</p> <p>4 Do you want examples or just, is that enough 5 for you?</p> <p>6 Q Since you haven't spoken with Dr. Walss, do 7 you have any idea if the IFU that you propose would have 8 made any difference in the way he performed a 9 risk/benefit analysis?</p> <p>10 A Based on his testimony, the information that 11 I was adding would have, according to him, have changed 12 his use of the product. I haven't physically spoken to 13 him, but knowing what he said was important to him in 14 terms of the information and use of the product, yes, 15 I think it would have, but that would be up to him to 16 answer that.</p> <p>17 Q And do you know if the IFU that you proposed 18 would have made any difference in the way he discussed 19 the procedure with Ms. Garcia?</p> <p>20 A The way I did propose it, yes, because I was 21 adding post-market information, which he didn't have, 22 and it could have been sent to him in a Dear Doctor 23 letter, it could have been told him by the sales rep. 24 So there's other communications besides the IFU.</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 181</p> <p>1 always better off having a doctor using a product that 2 he's aware how to use rather than one he's not.</p> <p>3 Q Dr. Parisian, you've never designed an 4 alternative design, like the one you believe is safer, 5 have you?</p> <p>6 A For what?</p> <p>7 Q For stress urinary incontinence.</p> <p>8 A An alternative design of what?</p> <p>9 Q An alternative design of the TVT Secur.</p> <p>10 A Oh, so you're saying for another device.</p> <p>11 Q Yes.</p> <p>12 A No, I've not designed a device, but Dr. Walss, 13 which is the important part, said he would have used the 14 TVT-O, which has, based on the company's documents, a 15 lower risk of recurrence of SUI and other problems. It 16 has risks, but lower risks than TVT Secur.</p> <p>17 Q I want to hand you what we'll mark as 18 Exhibit 8 to your deposition.</p> <p>19 A Sure.</p> <p>20 (Whereupon, Exhibit 8 was marked for 21 identification.)</p> <p>22 BY MR. HUTCHINSON:</p> <p>23 Q I believe you've seen this document before, 24 correct?</p>

<p style="text-align: center;">Page 182</p> <p>1 A Yes, General Controls of Medical Devices. 2 Q In fact, this is on the reliance list. 3 A Yes, sir. 4 Q And when you worked with FDA, you knew what 5 general controls for medical devices were, didn't you? 6 A Sure. 7 Q General Controls provide the FDA with means of 8 regulating devices to ensure safety and effectiveness, 9 correct? I'm in the introduction part. 10 A Where are you reading? 11 Q The introduction part. 12 A General Controls... 13 Q General Controls provide the FDA with means of 14 regulating devices to ensure safety and effectiveness? 15 A Right, Congress required that the FDA would 16 come out with General Manufacturing Practices, GMP. 17 Q And you agree with that statement I just read, 18 correct? 19 A That was the purpose of the -- 20 Q I understand. 21 A Yes. 22 Q I'm not asking about the purpose. Just stick 23 me, and we'll get through this. 24 A Yes.</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 184</p> <p>1 You agree with that, right? 2 A Yes. 3 Q And the FDA's General Controls, they address 4 safety now, right? 5 MR. LUNDQUIST: Object to form. 6 THE WITNESS: Well, the purpose is to attempt 7 to address safety. They -- the FDA doesn't specify 8 General Controls per se for each manufacturer. 9 BY MR. HUTCHINSON: 10 Q But these standards address safety, correct? 11 A Right, under good manufacturing practices. 12 Q And these controls, or standards, are 13 mandatory for Ethicon to follow, correct? 14 A Yes. 15 (Whereupon, Exhibit 9 was marked for 16 identification.) 17 BY MR. HUTCHINSON: 18 Q Okay, and if you look at what I'll hand you as 19 Exhibit 8 -- I'm sorry -- Exhibit 9 to your deposition, 20 Dr. Parisian? 21 A Um-hum, yes, sir. This the newest one. 22 Q Guidance for Industry and Food and Drug 23 Administration Staff, correct? 24 A Well, this is the newest one. There's been a</p>
<p style="text-align: center;">Page 183</p> <p>1 Q You agree with that, and the next sentence 2 says, "General Controls and the amendments apply to all 3 medical devices." You agree with that correct? 4 A Yes, sir. 5 Q And including Ethicon's? 6 A All devices, all -- 7 Q Including the TVT Secur that Ms. Garcia 8 received, correct? 9 A Yes. 10 Q Those standards apply to Ethicon and the 11 product, correct? 12 A All medical devices sold in the United States, 13 yes. 14 Q I'm sorry, is that correct? 15 A Yes. I think I have two. Did somebody else 16 need one? 17 Q If you look -- if you turn with me to 18 page 2 -- 19 A Um-hum. 20 Q -- under Key Points, it says, 21 "General Controls are the basic 22 authorities of the Medical Device Amendments 23 that provide FDA with the means of regulating 24 devices to ensure safety and effectiveness."</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 185</p> <p>1 series of them before that. This is the 2014 -- 2 Q But we'd want to look at the newest one, 3 wouldn't we? 4 MR. LUNDQUIST: Form. 5 THE WITNESS: Depends what your question is. 6 Because we're talking about back in -- I have no -- 7 I have no need to quibble about this. I'm just saying, 8 this is the newest one. 9 BY MR. HUTCHINSON: 10 Q Well, let's look at Exhibit 9. You relied on 11 this for reaching your opinions, correct? 12 A Yes, sir. 13 Q And when you worked at FDA, you relied on 14 guidance documents like this to educate you on certain 15 topics? 16 A Oh, I didn't rely on this, I already knew 17 this. I had been trained, everything in here. This is 18 basically for manufacturers to try to facilitate their 19 awareness of when they need to put in a 510(k). So 20 there's -- there was no change in the regulations. 21 Q Well, so here, if we look at this document, we 22 have FDA giving guidance to both industry and its staff 23 about the 510(k) process, right? 24 A Yes, new staff, basically, but the regulations</p>

<p style="text-align: right;">Page 186</p> <p>1 hadn't been changed.</p> <p>2 Q Do you disagree with anything the FDA was</p> <p>3 telling the medical community and its own staff in this</p> <p>4 document?</p> <p>5 A No, I'm not. I'm just saying I knew about</p> <p>6 this long before this guidance.</p> <p>7 So do you have a question?</p> <p>8 Q Let's turn to page 3.</p> <p>9 A Okay. Got it.</p> <p>10 Q It's the last sentence. It says,</p> <p>11 "Because...," the last sentence on page 3. Do you see</p> <p>12 that?</p> <p>13 A Yes, sir.</p> <p>14 Q Okay. It says,</p> <p>15 "Because devices are classified according</p> <p>16 to the level of regulatory control necessary</p> <p>17 to provide a reasonable assurance of safety</p> <p>18 and effectiveness, classification of a new</p> <p>19 device through the 510(k) process requires FDA</p> <p>20 to determine the issues of safety and</p> <p>21 effectiveness presented by the new device and</p> <p>22 the regulatory controls necessary to address</p> <p>23 those."</p> <p>24 Did I read that correctly?</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 188</p> <p>1 THE WITNESS: No, you're adding -- where do</p> <p>2 you see "reasonable"?</p> <p>3 BY MR. HUTCHINSON:</p> <p>4 Q On page 3.</p> <p>5 A Page 3, okay. Reasonably assured of safety --</p> <p>6 to provide, okay, but that's not "reasonable," because</p> <p>7 they use "reasonable" in the PMA regs, and --</p> <p>8 Q Just, if you could stay with me. If a device</p> <p>9 has been cleared in the 510(k) process, you'll agree</p> <p>10 that FDA has found there's a reasonable assurance of</p> <p>11 safety and effectiveness, correct?</p> <p>12 MR. LUNDQUIST: Object form.</p> <p>13 THE WITNESS: Yes, based on the predicate.</p> <p>14 BY MR. HUTCHINSON:</p> <p>15 Q Thank you, and the 510(k), that relates to</p> <p>16 clearance of the device, not approval.</p> <p>17 A That's right.</p> <p>18 Q And that's something that would be relevant in</p> <p>19 this case if we wanted to have a full picture of the</p> <p>20 regulatory background of TVT Secur.</p> <p>21 MR. LUNDQUIST: Object to form.</p> <p>22 THE WITNESS: Of course it's relevant.</p> <p>23 BY MR. HUTCHINSON:</p> <p>24 Q Okay. It would also explain the history, the</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Page 187</p> <p>1 A Yes, sir.</p> <p>2 Q And did FDA get it wrong here, or is it</p> <p>3 correct?</p> <p>4 A No, it's right.</p> <p>5 Q So basically you're saying, or -- basically,</p> <p>6 what you would agree with, as we kind of sum it up, is</p> <p>7 the 510(k) review provides reasonable assurance of</p> <p>8 safety and effectiveness.</p> <p>9 MR. LUNDQUIST: Object to form.</p> <p>10 THE WITNESS: No, no, the term "reasonable" is</p> <p>11 used with PMA. It determines the issues of safety and</p> <p>12 effectiveness, based on the predicate device. The</p> <p>13 predicate device, unlike a PMA, is used for clearance of</p> <p>14 the -- supportive clearance of the 510(k) for the device</p> <p>15 substantially equivalent. So yeah, that's correct.</p> <p>16 BY MR. HUTCHINSON:</p> <p>17 Q Okay.</p> <p>18 A And if you're found substantially equivalent</p> <p>19 to a predicate device, that controls.</p> <p>20 Q I know that. We'll get to that in a minute,</p> <p>21 but if the device has been 510(k) cleared, the FDA has</p> <p>22 found reasonable assurance of safety and effectiveness,</p> <p>23 fair enough?</p> <p>24 MR. LUNDQUIST: Form.</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 189</p> <p>1 regulatory history of the product, wouldn't it?</p> <p>2 A Yes. Do you want to discuss that?</p> <p>3 Q We will in a minute.</p> <p>4 A Okay. I want to understand the question,</p> <p>5 here.</p> <p>6 Q If you'll turn -- well, let's switch gears for</p> <p>7 a minute, and let's talk about -- well, while we're on</p> <p>8 here, while we're talking about FDA 510(k) clearance,</p> <p>9 the 510(k) also relates to the safety of the device,</p> <p>10 correct?</p> <p>11 MR. LUNDQUIST: Form.</p> <p>12 THE WITNESS: Based on the predicate device,</p> <p>13 but only to -- the device has not been marketed, so</p> <p>14 based on the safety of the prior device.</p> <p>15 BY MR. HUTCHINSON:</p> <p>16 Q If we have a -- strike that. Let's talk about</p> <p>17 Prolene sutures for a minute. You're familiar with</p> <p>18 Prolene suture, I believe you've already testified to,</p> <p>19 correct?</p> <p>20 A Yes, sir.</p> <p>21 Q And I'll hand you what we'll mark as</p> <p>22 Exhibit 10 to your deposition.</p> <p>23 (Discussion off the record.)</p> <p>24 // //</p>

Page 190	Page 192
1 (Whereupon, Exhibit 10 was marked for 2 identification.)	1 A Right, until it was transitioned over to CDRH. 2 Q And do you know how many supplements there 3 have been?
3 MR. HUTCHINSON: Will? Pay attention. Make 4 sure that's on the record.	4 A No. 5 Q And one --
5 THE WITNESS: All right.	6 A I don't recall how many supplements there have 7 been.
6 BY MR. HUTCHINSON:	8 Q Did you look? 9 A At one time I did.
7 Q Now, you've seen this document before, haven't 8 you?	10 Q You don't know now?
9 A Yes, sir.	11 A I don't know, sitting here today.
10 Q And you will agree that TVT Secur's mesh is 11 made of these knitted filaments that we talked earlier 12 about, of Prolene suture, right?	12 Q And every time the FDA approves a supplement, 13 that's confirmation that Prolene suture is safe and 14 effective as labeled, correct?
13 A Well, it's the same polypropylene.	15 MR. LUNDQUIST: Object to form.
14 Q It's the Prolene suture. It's knitted 15 filaments together.	16 THE WITNESS: For that specific indication. 17 They don't go back and re-look at everything. It's only 18 on a specific indication.
16 A Right.	19 BY MR. HUTCHINSON:
17 Q And if you look at this document, this is -- 18 it says NDA 16-374, is that right?	20 Q Now, let's talk about before 1976. FDA 21 regulated medical devices just like they did drugs.
19 A Yes, sir.	22 A No.
20 Q And that's the number that was assigned to the 21 NDA for Prolene sutures.	23 Q Before 1976?
22 A Yes.	24 A No. Let me explain.
23 Q And FDA approved the NDA for Prolene sutures 24 on April 16, 1969, correct?	
Page 191	Page 193
1 A Yes, sir.	1 Q Okay.
2 Q And it's been in use since.	2 A They had certain drugs -- certain devices that
3 A Yes, sir.	3 the FDA was concerned about safety issues, and so the
4 Q And these were approved by FDA as a drug.	4 FDA chose to apply the drug regulations to certain
5 A Under the drug regulations, because the 6 medical device regulations hadn't been made yet.	5 devices that would be referred to as the "transitional"
7 Q And that approval includes labeling, correct?	6 devices.
8 A Yes, that would include the labeling.	7 So they were actually required to have an NDA
9 Q Right, so the FDA concluded that the Prolene 10 sutures were safe and effective for intended use.	8 approval, and the requirements were comparable to what
11 A As a suture, yes, sir.	9 was required at that time for approval, because those
12 Q And FDA approval of NDA means there's a 13 finding by the FDA that that drug is safe and effective 14 for use in the human body, as labeled?	10 have been evolving, too, in terms -- this was pre the
15 MR. LUNDQUIST: Object to form.	11 70's, when FDA would have required clinical trials.
16 THE WITNESS: Yes, it was an NDA approved 17 product.	12 Q Right.
18 BY MR. HUTCHINSON:	13 A They didn't do that here, but --
19 Q And if somebody wanted a complete history 20 about -- a complete picture about the regulatory 21 history, this would be the starting point, won't it?	14 Q Right. Well, but one of the medical devices
22 A This is where I started, yes, sir.	15 that FDA regulated just like drugs was the Prolene
23 Q Okay, and over the years, there has been -- 24 there have been supplements to NDA 16-374, correct?	16 sutures, correct?
	17 A Yes, sir.
	18 Q And --
	19 A Just as a suture, not as a mesh.
	20 Q And if we look at -- let me make sure
	21 I understand something.
	22 A Sure.
	23 Q A pre-amendment device is one that was sold
	24 before the Medical Device Act of 1976, correct?

<p style="text-align: center;">Page 194</p> <p>1 A For a medical device, yes, sir.</p> <p>2 Q Okay.</p> <p>3 A So we call it pre-amendment, but not all</p> <p>4 pre-amendment devices were regulated as drugs. This was</p> <p>5 a transitional pre-amendment device that actually the</p> <p>6 NDA came over as a PMA approval when they got regulated,</p> <p>7 devices.</p> <p>8 Q And you'll agree that pre-amendment devices,</p> <p>9 with a few very specific exceptions, were grandfathered</p> <p>10 as safe and effective, correct?</p> <p>11 A Yes.</p> <p>12 Q Okay. In fact, that's what you wrote in your</p> <p>13 book, "FDA Inside and Out."</p> <p>14 A That's right, but that's not specifically --</p> <p>15 you need to look at the section on transitional devices,</p> <p>16 because this was already NDA-approved. So it is safe</p> <p>17 and effective as a Prolene suture. It was transitioned</p> <p>18 to having a PMA approval when it moved over to CDRH.</p> <p>19 So it's a little higher standard than what</p> <p>20 you're reading there for the pre-amendment device,</p> <p>21 because not all of them have any kind of clinical</p> <p>22 trials.</p> <p>23 Q Right, but the Prolene sutures, that was a</p> <p>24 pre-amendment device.</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 196</p> <p>1 Q After 1976 amendments, Prolene sutures became</p> <p>2 a PMA Class III device, right?</p> <p>3 A Well, they're --</p> <p>4 Q I'm sorry, is that right?</p> <p>5 A Yes, they're a PMA-approved device, so</p> <p>6 therefore, they're a Class III, but then they were</p> <p>7 reclassified into 510(k) Class II.</p> <p>8 Q And Class III devices require FDA review and</p> <p>9 approval.</p> <p>10 A Yes.</p> <p>11 Q Okay, and in fact, Prolene sutures remained a</p> <p>12 Class III device until the FDA down-classified it in</p> <p>13 1990.</p> <p>14 A Right. In fact, Ethicon wanted to keep it a</p> <p>15 Class III device. It was the rest of the industry which</p> <p>16 supported re-classification, and so FDA re-classified</p> <p>17 it.</p> <p>18 Q And what does it mean when something is</p> <p>19 down-classified?</p> <p>20 A It means that FDA -- in this particular case,</p> <p>21 they said that they reviewed the medical literature and</p> <p>22 they felt that there could be accurate, er -- adequate</p> <p>23 controls to ensure safety and efficacy with using</p> <p>24 general controls and special controls, rather than the</p>
<p style="text-align: center;">Page 195</p> <p>1 A It was a transitional device, technically, but</p> <p>2 it was pre-amendment.</p> <p>3 Q And the Prolene mesh was a pre-amendment</p> <p>4 device, correct?</p> <p>5 A The surgical mesh was a pre-amendment device,</p> <p>6 but it came if from Usher, I think, before 1976. So</p> <p>7 mesh was pre-amendment. Prolene was NDA-approved. So</p> <p>8 the material, the resin, was NDA-approved.</p> <p>9 Q Well, Prolene mesh was grandfathered in as</p> <p>10 safe and effective.</p> <p>11 A Based on that it was a surgical mesh which was</p> <p>12 pre-amendment. So the mesh -- yes, we're not -- I mean,</p> <p>13 it's a cleared device. I'm not talking....</p> <p>14 Q Right.</p> <p>15 A But technically, surgical mesh was a</p> <p>16 pre-amendment device. Prolene was an approved material</p> <p>17 as an NDA.</p> <p>18 Q And FDA has never done anything to change the</p> <p>19 fact that Prolene mesh was grandfathered in as safe and</p> <p>20 effective, have they?</p> <p>21 A No.</p> <p>22 Q Okay.</p> <p>23 A It's based on the history. I don't think FDA</p> <p>24 really could do anything.</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 197</p> <p>1 controls -- also special controls, of the -- that have</p> <p>2 to be developed in a PMA or NDA, so it could then, from</p> <p>3 then on, be cleared as a 510(k).</p> <p>4 Q All right. Let's talk about, going back to</p> <p>5 Prolene mesh, though, that's a pre-1976 device?</p> <p>6 A No, mesh, mesh in general. Surgical mesh was</p> <p>7 used in the Vietnam War and it was pre -- pre-amendment.</p> <p>8 Q I'm talking about Prolene mesh, pre-1976</p> <p>9 device, correct? We just talked about that.</p> <p>10 A Prolene is, yes, but it's based on a product,</p> <p>11 the Prolene that actually had been NDA-approved.</p> <p>12 Q And it's made of the knitted filaments, just</p> <p>13 like the Prolene suture.</p> <p>14 A Well, no, it doesn't look like suture. That's</p> <p>15 where --</p> <p>16 Q Okay, I'm not asking what it looked like. I'm</p> <p>17 asking about what it's made of.</p> <p>18 A Yes, it's made of the same resin.</p> <p>19 Q Correct, and it's made of the exact material</p> <p>20 previously approved by FDA as safe and effective,</p> <p>21 correct?</p> <p>22 A The resin is, which is used for the suture.</p> <p>23 Q And because it was a pre-1976 device, it was</p> <p>24 grandfathered in as safe and effective, as a Prolene</p>

<p style="text-align: center;">Page 198</p> <p>1 mesh.</p> <p>2 MR. LUNDQUIST: Object.</p> <p>3 THE WITNESS: All surgical meshes were. Even</p> <p>4 Marlex mesh was grandfathered in. So yeah, it was, but</p> <p>5 see, there's a difference in structure between a mesh</p> <p>6 and a suture. The material was approved --</p> <p>7 BY MR. HUTCHINSON:</p> <p>8 Q I understand.</p> <p>9 A -- and surgical mesh was what the</p> <p>10 grandfathered device --</p> <p>11 Q But stick with me. The original Prolene mesh</p> <p>12 was made of the exact material that FDA had already</p> <p>13 approved as safe and effective, correct?</p> <p>14 A For a suture, yes, sir.</p> <p>15 Q Okay, fine, and then ultimately, FDA</p> <p>16 classified surgical mesh, including Prolene mesh, as a</p> <p>17 Class II device.</p> <p>18 A Yes.</p> <p>19 Q You know that.</p> <p>20 A Yes.</p> <p>21 Q Okay, and that occurred in the 1990s, based</p> <p>22 upon your review?</p> <p>23 A It was late 1980s, early 1990s, somewhere in</p> <p>24 there.</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 200</p> <p>1 Q And in fact, you relied on it in forming your</p> <p>2 opinions.</p> <p>3 A At various times, yes, sir.</p> <p>4 Q And if we look at page 17 -- well, first of</p> <p>5 all, this is a proposed rule classifying Prolene mesh as</p> <p>6 a Class II device, correct?</p> <p>7 A Right, that's how that works. You have to</p> <p>8 come out with a proposed rule, first.</p> <p>9 Q Okay, and look with me on page 17.</p> <p>10 A Yes, sir.</p> <p>11 Q It says,</p> <p>12 "Summary for reasons for recommendation:</p> <p>13 The Panels recommend that surgical meshes be</p> <p>14 classified into class II (performance</p> <p>15 standards) because the Panels believe that the</p> <p>16 device has an established history of safe and</p> <p>17 effective use."</p> <p>18 Did I read that correctly?</p> <p>19 A Yes, sir.</p> <p>20 Q Okay. Do you agree with that?</p> <p>21 A Yes, knowing the history of what they were</p> <p>22 reviewing, because they're talking about going -- the</p> <p>23 original surgical mesh was actually for reinforcing soft</p> <p>24 tissue, bone, and it was used in trauma patients in</p>
<p style="text-align: center;">Page 199</p> <p>1 Q Okay, and FDA reached that decision after</p> <p>2 recommending, or -- after considering recommendations of</p> <p>3 three panels of experts, correct?</p> <p>4 A You mean their classification panels. Yes,</p> <p>5 their standard classification panel. The panel</p> <p>6 recommended that surgical mesh should be cleared as a</p> <p>7 Class II device, and FDA did accept that.</p> <p>8 Q And those panels held open meetings?</p> <p>9 A I believe so. They're public, the</p> <p>10 information.</p> <p>11 Q Did you go to any of those?</p> <p>12 A No. I think it predated my time at the FDA.</p> <p>13 Q I'm going to hand you what we'll mark as</p> <p>14 Exhibit 11 to your deposition. If you can put that 10</p> <p>15 back there?</p> <p>16 A Yes, sir. I got more than 10. Are you going</p> <p>17 to do the --</p> <p>18 Q Just set it aside. We'll...</p> <p>19 A Got it. Yeah, 1982.</p> <p>20 (Whereupon, Exhibit 11 was marked for</p> <p>21 identification.)</p> <p>22 BY MR. HUTCHINSON:</p> <p>23 Q So you've seen this document before, correct?</p> <p>24 A Yes, sir, talking about mesh.</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 201</p> <p>1 Vietnam, and it wasn't always permanently placed. It</p> <p>2 was transient. So yeah, I believe that there had been a</p> <p>3 history of surgical mesh use in the past.</p> <p>4 Q Well, but let's be clear. You agree with the</p> <p>5 expert panels that the FDA employed in that the device</p> <p>6 has an established history of safe and effective use.</p> <p>7 That's something you agree with, correct?</p> <p>8 A Based on the history --</p> <p>9 Q I'm sorry, is that a yes?</p> <p>10 A Yes. Knowing --</p> <p>11 Q I'm sorry, is that a yes?</p> <p>12 A Yes, knowing the documents they're reviewing,</p> <p>13 yes. It's not anything to do with surgical, for</p> <p>14 urological uses.</p> <p>15 Q And FDA then classified Prolene mesh as a</p> <p>16 Class II device in the latter part of 1998 -- I'm sorry,</p> <p>17 1988.</p> <p>18 A Correct, and then they have performance</p> <p>19 standards, which FDA never issued. So there was an</p> <p>20 expectation that FDA was going to issue performance</p> <p>21 standards for different devices, and they didn't.</p> <p>22 Q And it's been in use ever since, right?</p> <p>23 A Yes.</p> <p>24 Q Okay. So I want to hand you what we'll mark</p>

<p style="text-align: center;">Page 202</p> <p>1 as Exhibit 12 to your deposition, Dr. Parisian. 2 A Okay. Are we done with this? 3 Q Yeah, you can put it in that stack. 4 A Got it. Yes. 5 (Whereupon, Exhibit 12 was marked for 6 identification.) 7 BY MR. HUTCHINSON: 8 Q And you've seen this, or -- this is the July 9 of 1990 order down-classifying sutures, right? 10 A Yes, sir. 11 Q And this is a document that you relied on in 12 forming some of your opinions? 13 A About the history of mesh, yes, sir. 14 Q Correct. And if you look at the first 15 paragraph, it says, "FDA concludes...." are you with me? 16 A And this is in response to a petition by U.S. 17 Surgical. U.S. Surgical wanted to -- Ethicon wasn't -- 18 Q Look at the first paragraph. 19 A All right. 20 Q It says, "FDA concludes...." you see that? 21 A The first -- 22 MR. OLIVEIRA: Second sentence. 23 THE WITNESS: Second sentence. Yes, sir, 24 I see it. Um-hum, suture.</p>	<p>1 A Where are you? Based on -- what paragraph? 2 I'm on page 12. 3 Q Okay. The second from the bottom paragraph, 4 it says, "In this matter...." do you see that? 5 A Um-hum. 6 Q It says, 7 "...significant publicly available 8 information indicates that the existing 9 nonabsorbable polypropylene surgical sutures 10 are generally safe and effective." 11 Did I read that right? 12 A Yes, sir. 13 Q And do you agree with that statement? 14 A Yes, sir. 15 Q Okay, so let's just be clear that you agree, 16 as an FDA expert in this case, that the polypropylene 17 sutures were safe and effective, correct? 18 A Yes, sir. 19 Q And also, if you turn with me to page 7 of 20 this document, bottom paragraph, and the last sentence 21 there says, 22 "...and that the polymer's degradation 23 proceeds slowly and is generally not 24 considered clinically significant under most</p>
<p style="text-align: center;">Page 203</p> <p>1 BY MR. HUTCHINSON: 2 Q Actually, turn to the last page for me. 3 Robert Sheridan, he's on this letter on behalf of the 4 FDA. You see that? 5 A He signed it on behalf of Office of Device 6 Evaluation, so he -- 7 Q And you worked for FDA. 8 A Yes, sir. 9 Q Did you ever work with Robert? 10 A Yes, sir. 11 Q Did you know him? 12 A You know, I never worked with him. He was at 13 the center when I was there, and then he retired shortly 14 after I was there, so.... 15 Q Is he a competent individual? 16 A I don't know. 17 Q You don't know? 18 A Well, I know his background is totally 19 different. He's an administrative person, no clinical 20 background, but he was in management at the FDA. 21 Q If we look at page 12, ETH.MESH09634675. Do 22 you see that? 23 A Okay. Page 12? 24 Q Correct.</p>	<p>1 circumstances of use." 2 Did I read that correctly? 3 A That's what it states, yes, sir. 4 Q And you agree with that, don't you? 5 A That that's what it states? 6 Q No, you're agreeing with that statement, 7 correct? 8 A Let me look at the whole statement. 9 MR. LUNDQUIST: Object to form. 10 THE WITNESS: This was actually based on the 11 time period, in terms of, there's been knowledge about 12 suture, different things that cause sutures to oxidize 13 and degrade since this time, but this is in 1990. So it 14 reflects the information considered in 1990. 15 BY MR. HUTCHINSON: 16 Q Well, my question is: Do you agree with that 17 statement? 18 A That it reflects 1990. 19 Q Do you agree that the degradation is 20 clinically insignificant under most circumstances of 21 use? You agree with that? 22 A They're talking about suture. 23 Q Right. 24 A So in terms of --</p>

<p style="text-align: center;">Page 206</p> <p>1 Q I understand. I understand. I'm asking if 2 you agree with that.</p> <p>3 MR. LUNDQUIST: Object to form.</p> <p>4 THE WITNESS: For suture, yes.</p> <p>5 BY MR. HUTCHINSON:</p> <p>6 Q And let's be clear. The mesh is made up of 7 the exact type of material that suture is, correct?</p> <p>8 A That's not what they're talking about, though.</p> <p>9 Q I'm not --</p> <p>10 A They're talking only about suture.</p> <p>11 Q Stick with me. Stick with me.</p> <p>12 A But you can't generalize from here.</p> <p>13 Q I understand. Move to strike as 14 nonresponsive. Stick with me.</p> <p>15 You will agree that the suture is made up of 16 the exact -- I mean, the mesh is made up of the exact 17 material as what the suture is made of, correct?</p> <p>18 MR. LUNDQUIST: Form.</p> <p>19 THE WITNESS: Yes, they're both polypropylene, 20 but they're not the same. This is suture, which is a 21 different area, surface area, than mesh. And it's a 22 different indication, because people usually tend to use 23 multiple sutures in a surgical site. So one suture may 24 not be quite as important.</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 208</p> <p>1 MR. LUNDQUIST: Form.</p> <p>2 THE WITNESS: No, her mesh is not sutures.</p> <p>3 BY MR. HUTCHINSON:</p> <p>4 Q It's made of the exact same material that was 5 previously approved by FDA as safe and effective, 6 correct?</p> <p>7 MR. LUNDQUIST: Form.</p> <p>8 THE WITNESS: Polypropylene is approved and 9 cleared. I've never said anything -- as a suture --</p> <p>10 BY MR. HUTCHINSON:</p> <p>11 Q I understand.</p> <p>12 A -- but there's differences in risk between a 13 mesh and a suture, and --</p> <p>14 Q But my question -- stick with me. My question 15 is: The mesh that Ms. Garcia received is made of the 16 exact same material that FDA had already approved as 17 safe and effective, correct?</p> <p>18 MR. LUNDQUIST: Form.</p> <p>19 THE WITNESS: So? I mean, that's --</p> <p>20 BY MR. HUTCHINSON:</p> <p>21 Q I'm sorry, just a yes or no, and then go on 22 and explain your answer.</p> <p>23 MR. LUNDQUIST: Form.</p> <p>24 THE WITNESS: Yes, it's made of polypropylene,</p>
<p style="text-align: center;">Page 207</p> <p>1 BY MR. HUTCHINSON:</p> <p>2 Q Let's look at page 12, bottom paragraph, last 3 sentence. Are you with me?</p> <p>4 A Page 12, bottom paragraph. We're flipping 5 through the -- okay, bottom paragraph, all right.</p> <p>6 Q It says,</p> <p>7 "...determination of comparable safety 8 and effectiveness of future nonabsorbable 9 polypropylene surgical suture and marketed 10 sutures can be made in the context of a 11 pre-market notification under Section 12 510(k)...."</p> <p>13 Did I read that right?</p> <p>14 A Well, it's -- yes, this is classified in a 15 510(k), for suture.</p> <p>16 Q And that means that the FDA uses a 510(k) 17 paradigm to determine comparable safety and 18 effectiveness.</p> <p>19 MR. LUNDQUIST: Form.</p> <p>20 THE WITNESS: For suture, yes, sir.</p> <p>21 BY MR. HUTCHINSON:</p> <p>22 Q Okay, and it's fair to say that the mesh that 23 Ms. Garcia received was made up of small sutures that 24 FDA previously approved as safe and effective.</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 209</p> <p>1 but that's really irrelevant, because this is talking 2 about suture, and this document is basically, so FDA is 3 justifying why they're not going to come out with 4 mandatory performance standards for sutures, because 5 they were saying that they thought it was low risk, the 6 suture material. So that's what this document is 7 saying.</p> <p>8 But yes, but just because things are made of 9 the same material doesn't mean the risks are potentially 10 the same in a human being.</p> <p>11 BY MR. HUTCHINSON:</p> <p>12 Q Dr. Parisian, you're familiar with the Safe 13 Medical Device Act of 1990, correct?</p> <p>14 A Yes.</p> <p>15 Q And you'll agree that it added to the 510(k) 16 application process a new section called Summary of 17 Safety and Effectiveness?</p> <p>18 A Yes, sir.</p> <p>19 Q Okay, so the 510(k) process, at least in part, 20 deals with safety, correct?</p> <p>21 MR. LUNDQUIST: Object to form.</p> <p>22 THE WITNESS: Yes, but the safety based on the 23 predicates, not on the device itself, because it hasn't 24 been marketed.</p>

<p style="text-align: right;">Page 210</p> <p>1 BY MR. HUTCHINSON:</p> <p>2 Q Have you ever -- and by the way, let's talk</p> <p>3 about your book for a minute. You wrote a book called</p> <p>4 "FDA Inside and Out," is that correct?</p> <p>5 A Yes, sir.</p> <p>6 Q That was published about Fast Horse Press?</p> <p>7 A Yes, which is my company.</p> <p>8 Q You're the only owner of that company, aren't</p> <p>9 you?</p> <p>10 A It doesn't even exist as a company, but yes,</p> <p>11 I was the only person -- my husband, I think, took the</p> <p>12 money for the books, and so -- but it doesn't even exist</p> <p>13 anymore.</p> <p>14 Q I'm sorry, explain that to me. How can you be</p> <p>15 the owner of a company that doesn't exist?</p> <p>16 A Well, it's not -- it's a doing business --</p> <p>17 Q Just explain to me, please.</p> <p>18 A From a legal point of view, it's a doing</p> <p>19 business under the name, but it's under my company, my</p> <p>20 corporation, Medical Device Assistance, which is now</p> <p>21 MD Assist, Inc. So it's now like a facet of that group.</p> <p>22 Q Is this still the only book that Fast Horse</p> <p>23 Press has published?</p> <p>24 A Yes, and we don't even sell them anymore. So</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 212</p> <p>1 medical libraries that have it, and people have it.</p> <p>2 I don't know how many people have it. So it's in</p> <p>3 circulation. You can get it on Amazon but, you know,</p> <p>4 I don't know how many are out there.</p> <p>5 BY MR. HUTCHINSON:</p> <p>6 Q If I were to call Fast Horse Press, who would</p> <p>7 answer the telephone?</p> <p>8 A Nobody, because I believe Fast Horse Press</p> <p>9 used to be in Front Royal, Virginia, so there is no Fast</p> <p>10 Horse Press.</p> <p>11 Q Do you -- during your review of this case, did</p> <p>12 you review the 510(k) for modified Prolene mesh?</p> <p>13 A I have reviewed -- you mean in terms of the</p> <p>14 surgical mesh for modified Prolene mesh? Yes, I've</p> <p>15 reviewed certain, like, Dynamesh, yes, there's mod --</p> <p>16 yes.</p> <p>17 Q No, I'm talking about the 510(k), K962530, for</p> <p>18 modified Prolene mesh. Did you review that?</p> <p>19 A At one time I did, yes, sir.</p> <p>20 Q And that would be on your reliance list,</p> <p>21 correct?</p> <p>22 A I don't know if it would be or not, because</p> <p>23 I've reviewed it for other things that I've reviewed the</p> <p>24 Prolene mesh.</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Page 211</p> <p>1 we don't sell them. I mean...</p> <p>2 Q Who gets -- how many books did this -- did you</p> <p>3 sell of this FDA Inside and Out?</p> <p>4 A Not that many. I mean, I think we originally</p> <p>5 had -- I don't know, probably less than a thousand, but</p> <p>6 we're not selling them anymore.</p> <p>7 Q I'm sorry?</p> <p>8 A Other people sell them. We've given books to</p> <p>9 other people to let them sell. So I don't know how many</p> <p>10 have been sold.</p> <p>11 Q You don't sell this book anymore, fair enough?</p> <p>12 A I don't, that's correct.</p> <p>13 Q Okay, and why not?</p> <p>14 A Because I don't have time to fool with it.</p> <p>15 Other people have been willing to sell it. The price</p> <p>16 we've allowed them to reduce it to whatever -- it was --</p> <p>17 so I don't sell it. It's not like it was a money</p> <p>18 winner, it was never meant to.</p> <p>19 Q Does Fast Horse Press still publish this book?</p> <p>20 A No, it was a one-time publication.</p> <p>21 Q So this book is not in circulation anymore, is</p> <p>22 that correct?</p> <p>23 MR. LUNDQUIST: Form.</p> <p>24 THE WITNESS: It is in circulation. There are</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 213</p> <p>1 Q Do you know what device was used as a</p> <p>2 predicate for modified Prolene mesh?</p> <p>3 A Probably Prolene mesh.</p> <p>4 Q And FDA -- based on your review, you know that</p> <p>5 FDA cleared modified Prolene mesh on August 6, 1996,</p> <p>6 correct?</p> <p>7 A Yes, sir.</p> <p>8 Q And if somebody wanted a complete picture</p> <p>9 about the regulatory history, the 510(k) for modified</p> <p>10 Prolene mesh would be relevant.</p> <p>11 MR. LUNDQUIST: Object to form.</p> <p>12 THE WITNESS: It could be, at some point when</p> <p>13 you go -- I think even in my pile I have documents of</p> <p>14 510(k)'s that I've pulled, so I wouldn't just look at</p> <p>15 TVT. I'd look at the components.</p> <p>16 BY MR. HUTCHINSON:</p> <p>17 Q So the predicate was Prolene mesh.</p> <p>18 A Yes, sir.</p> <p>19 Q And it's that the same Prolene mesh that was</p> <p>20 grandfathered in as safe and effective.</p> <p>21 A Yes, sir.</p> <p>22 Q And FDA found that modified Prolene mesh was</p> <p>23 substantially equivalent to Prolene mesh, correct?</p> <p>24 A Based on what the company told them. The FDA</p>

<p style="text-align: center;">Page 214</p> <p>1 didn't look at it. They just go on what the document is 2 that the company sends in, and I don't believe that that 3 was cleared as a -- for SUI. It was cleared for filling 4 in spaces that need to have some kind of strengthening, 5 more surgical hernias and abdominal hernias.</p> <p>6 Q That's your testimony for modified Prolene 7 mesh, Dr. Parisian?</p> <p>8 A I don't recall, but --</p> <p>9 Q Wait a minute. I'm just asking, yes or no --</p> <p>10 A I don't know.</p> <p>11 Q -- is that your testimony for modified Prolene 12 mesh?</p> <p>13 A I don't recall that 510(k), in terms of, if it 14 was specially for SUI.</p> <p>15 Q And Dr. Parisian --</p> <p>16 A And the reason I say that is because there are 17 slings that were made for sacrocolpopexy that actually 18 had Prolene, people were using surgical mesh. So 19 I don't recall.</p> <p>20 Q And Dr. Parisian, you definitely reviewed the 21 510(k) for TVT, didn't you?</p> <p>22 A Yes, sir.</p> <p>23 Q And FDA cleared TVT on January 28, 1998?</p> <p>24 A Yes.</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 216</p> <p>1 from.</p> <p>2 Q And you've seen that letter before, haven't 3 you?</p> <p>4 A Yes.</p> <p>5 Q Relied on it in support of your opinions?</p> <p>6 A Yes, they corrected their original approval 7 for TVT. They did this FDA in 2012 with most of these 8 SUI products. They changed the product code.</p> <p>9 Q I'm sorry, they didn't correct anything; they 10 just changed the product code, correct?</p> <p>11 A Correct, yes.</p> <p>12 Q Is that correct?</p> <p>13 A Yes, that's what I was saying. They changed 14 the product code.</p> <p>15 Q And Benjamin Fisher signed this letter from 16 FDA. Did you work with Ben?</p> <p>17 A No.</p> <p>18 Q You're not critical of FDA or Ben Fisher in 19 any way, are you?</p> <p>20 A No. No, they're just putting it under a 21 different code, which is how everything is filed at the 22 FDA, in terms of product. They gave it its own code.</p> <p>23 They took it from FTL, which was surgical mesh, and now 24 put it over into a code that represents SUI products,</p>
<p style="text-align: center;">Page 215</p> <p>1 Q And TVT, it's made of the same Prolene mesh 2 that was grandfathered in as safe and effective, right?</p> <p>3 A Yes.</p> <p>4 MR. LUNDQUIST: Form.</p> <p>5 BY MR. HUTCHINSON:</p> <p>6 Q And are you critical of the FDA at all for 7 clearing TVT?</p> <p>8 A No, not based on what the process is at the 9 FDA.</p> <p>10 Q And you reviewed the updated letter from FDA 11 dated September 28, 2012, didn't you?</p> <p>12 A Which letter?</p> <p>13 Q It's a letter addressed to Mr. Greg Jones at 14 Ethicon from FDA regarding TVT.</p> <p>15 A You mean, to change the product code, or the 16 522? I don't know if I know that letter. Do I get to 17 see it?</p> <p>18 Q Sure.</p> <p>19 (Whereupon, Exhibit 13 was marked for 20 identification.)</p> <p>21 BY MR. HUTCHINSON:</p> <p>22 Q Dr. Parisian, I'll hand you what we'll mark as 23 Exhibit 13 to your deposition.</p> <p>24 A Oh, okay. Okay, yes, this is where I got it</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 217</p> <p>1 which is -- they gave it OTN, which is mesh surgical 2 synthetic urogynecological for stress urinary 3 incontinence female multi-incision.</p> <p>4 Q And you reviewed the TVT-O for this case -- 5 the 510(k) for TVT-O, didn't you?</p> <p>6 A Yes, sir.</p> <p>7 Q And FDA cleared 510(k) for TVT-O on 8 December 8, 2003.</p> <p>9 MR. LUNDQUIST: Form.</p> <p>10 BY MR. HUTCHINSON:</p> <p>11 Q Yes?</p> <p>12 A Was it 2003? You have it in front of you.</p> <p>13 Q Is that correct?</p> <p>14 A Well, they cleared it.</p> <p>15 Q Huh?</p> <p>16 A They cleared it, TVT-O.</p> <p>17 Q And TVT-O is made of the same Prolene mesh 18 that was grandfathered in as safe and effective?</p> <p>19 A Yes.</p> <p>20 Q And the FDA found that TVT-O was substantially 21 equivalent to TVT, which had already been cleared, 22 correct?</p> <p>23 A Correct, that's what -- based on the 510(k), 24 yes, sir.</p>

<p style="text-align: center;">Page 218</p> <p>1 Q So that brings us to TTV Secur. FDA cleared 2 this, TTV Secur, on November 28, 2005.</p> <p>3 A Yes, sir.</p> <p>4 MR. LUNDQUIST: Form.</p> <p>5 BY MR. HUTCHINSON:</p> <p>6 Q Predicate was TTV and TTV-O.</p> <p>7 A Yes, sir.</p> <p>8 Q Both of those had been cleared.</p> <p>9 MR. LUNDQUIST: Form.</p> <p>10 THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.</p> <p>11 BY MR. HUTCHINSON:</p> <p>12 Q And TTV Secur is made of the same mesh that 13 was grandfathered in as safe and effective?</p> <p>14 A Yes.</p> <p>15 Q And it's made of the exact same material that 16 FDA approved as safe and effective, correct?</p> <p>17 MR. LUNDQUIST: Form.</p> <p>18 THE WITNESS: With the NDA back in the 60's, 19 yes.</p> <p>20 BY MR. HUTCHINSON:</p> <p>21 Q Okay. So the product that Ms. Garcia received 22 was made of the same material FDA approved as safe and 23 effective, correct?</p> <p>24 MR. LUNDQUIST: Form.</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 220</p> <p>1 Q Dr. Parisian, I'm handing you what we'll mark 2 as Exhibit 14 to the deposition.</p> <p>3 A Yes, sir.</p> <p>4 (Whereupon, Exhibit 14 was marked for 5 identification.)</p> <p>6 BY MR. HUTCHINSON:</p> <p>7 Q This is the 510(k) for TTV Secur, correct?</p> <p>8 A Yes. Yes, sir.</p> <p>9 Q And you've definitely seen this document 10 before you walked in here today.</p> <p>11 A Yes, sir.</p> <p>12 Q Did FDA mess up by telling Ethicon they could 13 market this device?</p> <p>14 MR. LUNDQUIST: Form.</p> <p>15 THE WITNESS: Not based on the information 16 that was provided, that it was substantially equivalent 17 to TTV-O, TTV, and the third predicate they indicated 18 eventually.</p> <p>19 BY MR. HUTCHINSON:</p> <p>20 Q And do you fault FDA at all for sending the 21 letter dated November 28, 2005 to Ethicon?</p> <p>22 A No, it's a cleared device, based on -- and 23 it's -- based on what they told the FDA, the FDA cleared 24 it. They regulatory would have had to have cleared it.</p>
<p style="text-align: center;">Page 219</p> <p>1 THE WITNESS: Actually, not totally correct, 2 because one of the things is, yes, it's a polypropylene, 3 but then the laser -- the laser cut actually had changed 4 the mechanical properties of it, but it is the same --</p> <p>5 BY MR. HUTCHINSON:</p> <p>6 Q I'm talking about the same material. We're 7 talking about the same material.</p> <p>8 A It's the same material, polypropylene.</p> <p>9 Q Thank you. Well, no, it's the same material 10 Prolene, correct?</p> <p>11 A Well, that's the trade name, yes, sir.</p> <p>12 Q Okay, and you'll agree with me on that, 13 correct?</p> <p>14 A Yes, sir.</p> <p>15 Q And the FDA found that TTV Secur was the 16 substantially equivalent to TTV and TTV-O, correct?</p> <p>17 A Based on the information that the company 18 provided, yes, sir, and they cited a third predicate, 19 which was the -- was it Gyn Image Sling? -- to address 20 the FDA's question for additional information. So there 21 were three predicates for TTV Secur, not two.</p> <p>22 Q And if we look at -- do you have -- I'll hand 23 you what we'll mark as Exhibit 14.</p> <p>24 A Thank you.</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 221</p> <p>1 Q And if we look at -- are you with me on the 2 November 28, 2005 letter?</p> <p>3 A Okay, let me find that.</p> <p>4 MR. LUNDQUIST: It's the first --</p> <p>5 THE WITNESS: Yes, right there, first thing, 6 got it. All right. What do you want?</p> <p>7 BY MR. HUTCHINSON:</p> <p>8 Q First paragraph that says, 9 "You may, therefore, market the device, 10 subject to the general control provisions of 11 the Act."</p> <p>12 And those are the same general controls provisions we've 13 already talked about.</p> <p>14 A Yes, sir.</p> <p>15 Q And those are the general controls that 16 provide FDA with the means of regulating devices to 17 ensure safety and effectiveness, is that right?</p> <p>18 A Yes, to oversee, as the gatekeeper for devices 19 in the United States, yes.</p> <p>20 Q And the general controls refer to 21 adulteration?</p> <p>22 A Yes, sir.</p> <p>23 Q And misbranding?</p> <p>24 A Right. Can't misbrand, can't adulterate.</p>

<p style="text-align: center;">Page 222</p> <p>1 Q And they relate to good manufacturing 2 practices. 3 A Right, that's the manufacturer does that, yes, 4 sir. 5 Q And all of these general controls relate to 6 the safety of the device, is that right? 7 A Trying to ensure the safety for the public of 8 the devices. 9 Q Is that a yes? 10 MR. LUNDQUIST: Form. 11 THE WITNESS: No, they relate to, the product 12 can be introduced into marketing in the United States. 13 That's what this letter is for, and it goes on -- 14 BY MR. HUTCHINSON: 15 Q I'm talking about general controls. 16 A Then you go to the third paragraph, and you 17 can't say -- 18 Q I'm not there yet. Just stick with me, okay? 19 A Well, general controls -- 20 Q All of these general controls -- wait, I don't 21 want to talk over the court reporter. All these general 22 controls relate to the safety of the device, correct? 23 A No, they relate to a device that's being 24 marketed in the United States. A manufacturer has to</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 224</p> <p>1 A Yes. I don't think it is truthful and 2 accurate. 3 Q Have you contacted FDA to alert them that 4 Patricia messed up? 5 A Well, no. 6 Q If you look at page ETH.MESH.07876630 -- are 7 you with me? 8 A 6630. 9 Q Have you reviewed this document before? 10 A Yes, sir. 11 Q And did Ethicon misrepresent anything to FDA 12 here? 13 A They didn't represent the change in stretch, 14 because that's significant in terms of a surgical mesh. 15 You have to usually characterize the elasticity 16 principles and burst strength. They didn't describe 17 that here. They said it's the same material, but the 18 ends will have this Ethisorb, the Dura Patch. 19 So they didn't tell the FDA this device is 20 going to be different in terms of the stretchiness and 21 that would come from the laser cut as opposed to the 22 mechanical, and that's an important issue in terms of 23 surgical mesh. 24 Q Look with me to -- on ETH.MESH 715, if you</p>
<p style="text-align: center;">Page 223</p> <p>1 comply with all those requirements in order for ensure 2 that the manufacturer markets a safe and effective 3 product that's not prohibited by the Act. 4 Q And -- 5 A It says, "you." It says, "you." It keeps 6 saying "you" to the manufacturer, not the FDA. So these 7 are the general controls, good manufacturing, that the 8 FDA has set up that manufacturers independently have to 9 address in terms of their procedures. 10 Q And if we look at Exhibit 14 to your 11 deposition, this 510(k) for TVT Secur, this is the 12 information that Ethicon sent to FDA, is that right? 13 A Yes, sir, and then also additional 14 information. I think that's in this packet. 15 Q And this is the information that FDA 16 considered before it cleared TVT Secur, correct? 17 A Sure, yes. 18 Q Okay. If you look at -- do you remember who 19 signed the Truthful and Accuracy Statement? 20 A I think it was a Patricia -- 21 Q Hojnoski? 22 A I think it was. 23 Q Do you have any criticism of Patricia 24 Hojnoski?</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 225</p> <p>1 have that in front of you. 2 A I will in a minute. All right. Yes, okay. 3 Q And this is a Clinical Review done by 4 Dr. Hector Herrera, correct? 5 A Yes, I helped hire him. So I know him and 6 I trained him. 7 Q And he's a competent doctor, isn't he? 8 A Um, yes, he is. 9 Q If you trained him. 10 A He is a competent -- he's a urologist by 11 training, one of the few that they have at the FDA. 12 Q Do you have any criticism of Dr. Herrera? 13 A No, I have a criticism that he wasn't listened 14 to, but... 15 Q I'm sorry, he wasn't listened to? 16 A As a medical officer, he gives his opinions 17 and it's up to the rest of the division who's reviewing. 18 He's not in the same division. 19 Q Was Dr. Herrera diligent and smart? 20 A Yes, I think he's right. They needed to give 21 data. 22 Q Was he an honest doctor? 23 A Yes. 24 Q And did you work with him at all at FDA?</p>

<p style="text-align: center;">Page 226</p> <p>1 A Yes, I -- I --</p> <p>2 Q After you hired him.</p> <p>3 A Yes, but he's a part-time, he's an older</p> <p>4 urologist. He was -- he had retired from urological</p> <p>5 practice.</p> <p>6 Q And if you'd look at the next page, 716, last</p> <p>7 paragraph, it says,</p> <p>8 "With the company's response to prior</p> <p>9 deficiencies, I do not have any urological</p> <p>10 clinical issues to preclude approving the</p> <p>11 submission."</p> <p>12 Do you see that?</p> <p>13 A Um-hum.</p> <p>14 Q Did he get it wrong?</p> <p>15 A No, not based on the process, because they</p> <p>16 came up with a subsequent 510(k) that showed that they</p> <p>17 had actually already approved it, the Gyne Ideas</p> <p>18 Minitape, which makes it so the FDA can't really ask for</p> <p>19 clinical studies if they've already got a predicate.</p> <p>20 Q Are you aware of anything Ethicon hid from</p> <p>21 Dr. Herrera or misrepresented to Dr. Herrera?</p> <p>22 A Well, yeah, they misrepresented the European</p> <p>23 experience and the KOLs were not having a good time</p> <p>24 putting this in, and that the IFUs were inadequate and</p>	<p>1 that document, this is a letter dated October 7, 1988</p> <p>2 from FDA, is that right?</p> <p>3 A Right.</p> <p>4 Q And it's about the same NDA 16374 that we've</p> <p>5 been talking about?</p> <p>6 A Correct --</p> <p>7 Q The Prolene suture.</p> <p>8 A -- and it's been transitioned with the</p> <p>9 Supplement 34 -- you have so many supplements --</p> <p>10 Supplement 34, and it's now being reviewed as a PMA by</p> <p>11 CDRH.</p> <p>12 Q And the page that I referenced you to, that's</p> <p>13 the package insert that Ethicon sent FDA, correct?</p> <p>14 A For Prolene, yes, sir.</p> <p>15 Q And it says, under Actions, "The suture...."</p> <p>16 Are you with me?</p> <p>17 A Yes.</p> <p>18 Q It says,</p> <p>19 "The suture is not absorbed nor is it</p> <p>20 subject to degradation or weakening by the</p> <p>21 action of tissue enzymes."</p> <p>22 Is that correct? That's what it says?</p> <p>23 A Yes, sir, that's what it says.</p> <p>24 Q And that's the exact language in the IFU for</p>
<p style="text-align: center;">Page 227</p> <p>1 that there were safety issues.</p> <p>2 Now, this is to get cleared. This device got</p> <p>3 cleared. The issue really for Ms. Garcia is</p> <p>4 post-market.</p> <p>5 But they did not -- and they did not tell</p> <p>6 Dr. Herrera about the change in the mesh, the difficulty</p> <p>7 with using the inserter, and they are basing their</p> <p>8 argument on that they're substantially equivalent to TVT</p> <p>9 and TVT-O.</p> <p>10 And so he had to accept, based on what their</p> <p>11 representations were from the expert, the company, that</p> <p>12 they had satisfied his concerns.</p> <p>13 (Whereupon, Exhibit 15 was marked for</p> <p>14 identification.)</p> <p>15 BY MR. HUTCHINSON:</p> <p>16 Q Let's look at what I'll hand you to be marked</p> <p>17 as Exhibit 15 to your deposition, and you have reviewed</p> <p>18 this document before today, haven't you, Dr. Parisian?</p> <p>19 A Let me look at it and make sure.</p> <p>20 Q Okay. Yes?</p> <p>21 A Yes, this is for the PMA, when it was still a</p> <p>22 PMA.</p> <p>23 Q And if you'll look with me on</p> <p>24 ETH.MESH.09634315 -- and by the way, before we get to</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 229</p> <p>1 TVT Secur about degradation.</p> <p>2 A Correct, I said that it came from the suture.</p> <p>3 Q Right.</p> <p>4 A Came from Prolene suture.</p> <p>5 Q And that exact language was sent to FDA, back</p> <p>6 in 1988 and before that?</p> <p>7 A Yes, it's a PMA supplement for a suture</p> <p>8 material.</p> <p>9 Q I'm sorry, is that correct?</p> <p>10 A Yes, sir.</p> <p>11 Q And that exact language was reviewed by FDA,</p> <p>12 correct?</p> <p>13 A Yes, based on the 1969 clearance, which</p> <p>14 technology and biochem have changed a lot, but yes, that</p> <p>15 is an approved language carried over from the original</p> <p>16 NDA approval.</p> <p>17 Q Correct, and that exact language was approved</p> <p>18 by FDA, correct?</p> <p>19 A As a drug. It wasn't re-approved, it was --</p> <p>20 what was the indication? It was -- because FDA didn't</p> <p>21 go back and re-look. Let me look at the label. They</p> <p>22 wanted to have an updated labeling changes for the size</p> <p>23 7-0 Prolene clear and pigmented sutures. So it was only</p> <p>24 basically to change the labeling for the clear and</p>

<p style="text-align: center;">Page 230</p> <p>1 pigmented sutures.</p> <p>2 Q You're not critical of FDA in any way for</p> <p>3 approving that language, are you?</p> <p>4 A No. I don't have the prior label. I have</p> <p>5 this label. So I don't know if that actually had</p> <p>6 been -- if it had come from the NDA or if it actually</p> <p>7 had been changed in this PMA.</p> <p>8 Q But that exact language is the same language</p> <p>9 about degradation in the current TVT Secur IFU, correct?</p> <p>10 A Right, it's appropriate for a suture.</p> <p>11 Q If -- let's talk about your experience with</p> <p>12 the FDA a little bit. Back 20 years ago when you worked</p> <p>13 at the FDA, did they start out to have safe products</p> <p>14 cleared?</p> <p>15 MR. LUNDQUIST: Form.</p> <p>16 THE WITNESS: Yes. They still do, as far as</p> <p>17 I know.</p> <p>18 BY MR. HUTCHINSON:</p> <p>19 Q And when you worked at FDA, you didn't want</p> <p>20 to -- an unsafe product to be cleared, did you?</p> <p>21 A There are legal limitations, like there are</p> <p>22 products that are unsafe, like Class III devices that</p> <p>23 still haven't been classified by FDA, the safety and</p> <p>24 efficacy is not known. So you're bound by what the</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 232</p> <p>1 Q Give me your best estimate.</p> <p>2 A I don't know. We're talking about many --</p> <p>3 I wouldn't be surprised if it was in the hundreds --</p> <p>4 MR. LUNDQUIST: Form.</p> <p>5 THE WITNESS: -- in terms of all the different</p> <p>6 510(k)s and supplements and, yeah, changes.</p> <p>7 BY MR. HUTCHINSON:</p> <p>8 Q FDA has never recommended any labeling changes</p> <p>9 for TVT Secur, has it?</p> <p>10 A I haven't seen any. I don't know if they have</p> <p>11 or not.</p> <p>12 Q And FDA has never recommended any labeling</p> <p>13 changes for any of the TVT family of products, have</p> <p>14 they?</p> <p>15 A I don't know. I mean, I would have to -- only</p> <p>16 the FDA and Ethicon would know that.</p> <p>17 Q What you do know is that FDA has never</p> <p>18 determined that TVT Secur labeling was false or</p> <p>19 misleading, is that --</p> <p>20 A Why would they? They never had to review it.</p> <p>21 Q My question is a yes or no. Then you can</p> <p>22 explain.</p> <p>23 A No, they have not. That doesn't change my</p> <p>24 opinions, because they had no reason to ever review it.</p>
<p style="text-align: center;">Page 231</p> <p>1 requirements are for certain products. You didn't want</p> <p>2 to hurt people, you wanted to have the public protected,</p> <p>3 but you're also limited in terms of what you can</p> <p>4 request.</p> <p>5 Q When you worked for FDA --</p> <p>6 A In that period, that got worse even in 1997,</p> <p>7 when the Lewis Ferguson actually got passed.</p> <p>8 Q When you worked for FDA, Dr. Parisian, did you</p> <p>9 work alongside competent professionals?</p> <p>10 A Yes.</p> <p>11 Q FDA could have refused to clear modified</p> <p>12 Prolene mesh, correct?</p> <p>13 MR. LUNDQUIST: Form.</p> <p>14 THE WITNESS: They could have, but why would</p> <p>15 they?</p> <p>16 BY MR. HUTCHINSON:</p> <p>17 Q FDA could have refused to clear TVT or TVT-O</p> <p>18 or TTV Secur, correct?</p> <p>19 MR. LUNDQUIST: Form.</p> <p>20 THE WITNESS: Yes, they could have.</p> <p>21 BY MR. HUTCHINSON:</p> <p>22 Q And do you know how many transvaginal mesh</p> <p>23 slings FDA has cleared, sitting here today?</p> <p>24 A Quite a few.</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 233</p> <p>1 It's up to Ethicon to have made an adequate label.</p> <p>2 Q FDA has never determined that the labeling of</p> <p>3 any of the TVT family of products is false and</p> <p>4 misleading.</p> <p>5 A That's correct. They've done some other</p> <p>6 action where they send out a safety -- a public health</p> <p>7 notification, so it's actually taking it kind of one</p> <p>8 step higher. FDA is actively involved in the labeling</p> <p>9 and information being given to physicians and patients.</p> <p>10 Q And because FDA is actively involved, they</p> <p>11 have never determined that the TTV Secur device was</p> <p>12 misbranded, have they?</p> <p>13 A I don't think they've ever reviewed it to look</p> <p>14 at that. That would be a --</p> <p>15 Q Well, I'm not talking about what they</p> <p>16 reviewed. I need a yes or no: FDA has never determined</p> <p>17 that TTV Secur device was misbranded.</p> <p>18 A That is correct, because they've never looked</p> <p>19 at it, as far as I know.</p> <p>20 Q And in fact, FDA has never considered or</p> <p>21 determined that any of the TTV family of products have</p> <p>22 been misbranded, have they?</p> <p>23 A Not that I'm aware of.</p> <p>24 Q Misbranding, that's a specific finding by FDA.</p>

<p style="text-align: right;">Page 234</p> <p>1 Yes?</p> <p>2 A No, it's --</p> <p>3 Q No?</p> <p>4 MR. LUNDQUIST: Form.</p> <p>5 THE WITNESS: It's just -- it's a legal</p> <p>6 finding by the FDA, but it's described in the Act for</p> <p>7 the manufacturer, in terms of misbranding, adequate</p> <p>8 instructions for use of warnings. It puts it on the</p> <p>9 manufacturer, not the FDA, to make sure that they're not</p> <p>10 misbranded, and that even in the approval letters, the</p> <p>11 clearance letter you showed me before, it said it's up</p> <p>12 to the manufacturer to ensure that they don't misbrand,</p> <p>13 adulterate.</p> <p>14 So it's under -- and we're talking 21 U.S.C.</p> <p>15 352. So that's the manufacturer's job. It's not up to</p> <p>16 the FDA. The FDA may fine somebody who's misbranded and</p> <p>17 bring charges or make a warning letter saying that, but</p> <p>18 it's really the manufacturer to ensure they don't</p> <p>19 misbrand their product.</p> <p>20 MR. HUTCHINSON: Move to strike as</p> <p>21 nonresponsive.</p> <p>22 Q FDA -- strike that. Misbranding is a legal</p> <p>23 finding by FDA, correct?</p> <p>24 MR. LUNDQUIST: Form.</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 236</p> <p>1 Q It could make the manufacturer take the</p> <p>2 product off the market, can't it?</p> <p>3 A Only under certain requirements. It's the --</p> <p>4 Q I'm sorry, is that a yes?</p> <p>5 A Under certain requirements.</p> <p>6 Q Wait --</p> <p>7 A No, I can't answer yes or no --</p> <p>8 Q Okay.</p> <p>9 A -- because they're --</p> <p>10 Q Well, wait just a minute, let me talk.</p> <p>11 I don't want to talk over the court reporter, okay?</p> <p>12 If FDA believes that a product is misbranded,</p> <p>13 it can tell the manufacturer, or make the manufacturer</p> <p>14 take the product off the market, correct? Yes or no,</p> <p>15 then you can explain.</p> <p>16 MR. LUNDQUIST: Form.</p> <p>17 BY MR. HUTCHINSON:</p> <p>18 Q That's something the FDA can do, yes?</p> <p>19 A They can technically do it, but rarely do it,</p> <p>20 because it takes --</p> <p>21 Q Move to strike as nonresponsive.</p> <p>22 A Well --</p> <p>23 Q They can do it, can't they?</p> <p>24 A They can, but technically, they don't. They</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Page 235</p> <p>1 THE WITNESS: Well, in two components.</p> <p>2 It's --</p> <p>3 BY MR. HUTCHINSON:</p> <p>4 Q Is that -- I'm sorry. You can explain your</p> <p>5 answer, but I need you to say yes, yes or no.</p> <p>6 A I'm going to say I can't say yes or no,</p> <p>7 because one, I'm not an attorney and you're asking me a</p> <p>8 legal decision.</p> <p>9 Q Well, I'm just using your words.</p> <p>10 A No, but in terms of a manufacturer, in terms</p> <p>11 of the Act and as a consultant, I consult with</p> <p>12 manufacturers as to whether they've misbranded in terms</p> <p>13 of the requirements for adequate instructions and</p> <p>14 warnings.</p> <p>15 So there's two different components: Are you</p> <p>16 misbranding? The FDA may make a legal decision or it</p> <p>17 may not. The manufacturer is the gate that should</p> <p>18 determine misbranding.</p> <p>19 Q But if the FDA believes that a product is</p> <p>20 misbranded, it can make the manufacturer change the</p> <p>21 label, can't it?</p> <p>22 A No.</p> <p>23 Q No?</p> <p>24 A No.</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 237</p> <p>1 would rather get voluntary compliance. Like, they will</p> <p>2 send a warning letter. A warning letter will say you're</p> <p>3 misbranding. There's no legal action, but it's a</p> <p>4 notification that the FDA considers you misbranding.</p> <p>5 They're not going to take the product off the market</p> <p>6 because of misbranding. They're going to -- the</p> <p>7 manufacturer is -- it's assumed that the manufacturer</p> <p>8 won't want to not comply with the Act --</p> <p>9 Q Let's go back --</p> <p>10 A -- notification. So they did voluntarily</p> <p>11 comply --</p> <p>12 Q Let's get -- let's go back to FDA. The FDA</p> <p>13 never concluded that Ethicon had failed to provide</p> <p>14 safety information to FDA, did it?</p> <p>15 MR. LUNDQUIST: Form.</p> <p>16 THE WITNESS: I don't know. All we can go on</p> <p>17 is what the FDA has put in writing. We don't know what</p> <p>18 the FDA concluded, but they did ask for a 522 study and</p> <p>19 the company chose not to do it.</p> <p>20 BY MR. HUTCHINSON:</p> <p>21 Q FDA never concluded that the TVT Secur's</p> <p>22 labeling was inconsistent with the medicine or science.</p> <p>23 A No, that's not correct. In terms of the</p> <p>24 public health notification, they looked at the entire</p>

<p style="text-align: center;">Page 238</p> <p>1 industry --</p> <p>2 Q Let's talk about the Secur, okay?</p> <p>3 A Well, they didn't focus on the Secur, they</p> <p>4 talked about the SUI industry in terms of mesh --</p> <p>5 Q All right, so let's --</p> <p>6 A -- and they re-classified it.</p> <p>7 Q Stick with me, let's stick with my question.</p> <p>8 On TVT Secur's labeling, FDA never concluded that that</p> <p>9 labeling was inconsistent with medicine or science.</p> <p>10 MR. LUNDQUIST: Form.</p> <p>11 THE WITNESS: You know, I don't know what FDA</p> <p>12 concluded other than they asked for a 522 post-market</p> <p>13 safety study.</p> <p>14 BY MR. HUTCHINSON:</p> <p>15 Q FDA never requested that TVT Secur be</p> <p>16 withdrawn from the market.</p> <p>17 A That's true.</p> <p>18 Q And FDA never declared that TVT Secur was</p> <p>19 illegally marketed.</p> <p>20 A That's true, it was cleared. I've never said</p> <p>21 it was illegally marketed. I'm saying it wasn't</p> <p>22 marketed -- the cleared device, in terms of the</p> <p>23 clearance.</p> <p>24 Q When we talk about the 522 order, you've</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 240</p> <p>1 a 522 order.</p> <p>2 Q My question is: Do you know how many</p> <p>3 companies received a 522 order?</p> <p>4 A No.</p> <p>5 Q Would it surprise you if it was over 25?</p> <p>6 A I thought it was more than that. So no, it</p> <p>7 wouldn't surprise me if you just say 25.</p> <p>8 Q In fact, do you know that FDA issued over 100</p> <p>9 522 orders?</p> <p>10 A See, that's what I was thinking more, yes,</p> <p>11 sir.</p> <p>12 Q So Ethicon just wasn't just singled out on</p> <p>13 this 522 order, were they?</p> <p>14 A No, no, the entire industry was, particularly</p> <p>15 for the single-incision sling.</p> <p>16 Q You don't know why Ethicon stopped making TTVT</p> <p>17 Secur, do you?</p> <p>18 MR. LUNDQUIST: Object to form.</p> <p>19 THE WITNESS: I do based on the documents, in</p> <p>20 terms of the failure of the product and the safety</p> <p>21 issues. They said they de-commercialized it, but</p> <p>22 actually it was less safe -- it was less safe than</p> <p>23 TTVT-O. There was no real advantage for a physician to</p> <p>24 use TTVT as compared no TTVT-O, in terms of the potential</p>
<p style="text-align: center;">Page 239</p> <p>1 reviewed that, is that right?</p> <p>2 A Yes.</p> <p>3 Q That has nothing at all to do with a recall,</p> <p>4 does it?</p> <p>5 A No, no, it's to get post-market safety</p> <p>6 information, long-term data, to add to the label, update</p> <p>7 the label, which is one of the things that I said needed</p> <p>8 to be done.</p> <p>9 Q A 522 order has nothing to do with punishing a</p> <p>10 company, does it?</p> <p>11 A No, and it's usually industry-wide, in terms</p> <p>12 of, the 522 was issued to all the surgical mesh industry</p> <p>13 for their products.</p> <p>14 Q And this 522 order, it was industry-wide,</p> <p>15 wasn't it?</p> <p>16 A Yes, sir.</p> <p>17 Q And it was sent to all manufacturers of mini</p> <p>18 slings?</p> <p>19 A Right. To continue marketing, right.</p> <p>20 Q Not just Ethicon.</p> <p>21 A That's right.</p> <p>22 Q And about -- do you know how many companies</p> <p>23 received -- different companies received a 522 order?</p> <p>24 A Quite a few, because every product had to have</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 241</p> <p>1 risk. The Australian physicians didn't even want to be</p> <p>2 re-trained in it, and it was not -- it was not a big</p> <p>3 seller. Physicians had stopped using the product.</p> <p>4 So it was a safety issue. It should have been</p> <p>5 recalled long before Ms. Garcia was actually implanted,</p> <p>6 but they chose not to go ahead with the 522 study based</p> <p>7 on saying the complexity of the study.</p> <p>8 BY MR. HUTCHINSON:</p> <p>9 Q Dr. Parisian, let's talk about the MDRs for</p> <p>10 just a minute and your prior testimony about MDRs.</p> <p>11 You know the difference between an MDR and an</p> <p>12 issue report, don't you?</p> <p>13 A Sure. An MDR is filed by the company.</p> <p>14 Q And an issue report is not filed by the</p> <p>15 company, is it?</p> <p>16 A No.</p> <p>17 Q And did you review any issue reports in this</p> <p>18 case?</p> <p>19 A Not specifically called issue reports. Are</p> <p>20 you talking about CAPA reports, when the company is</p> <p>21 looking at safety issues?</p> <p>22 Q Have you ever looked at Ethicon's policies and</p> <p>23 procedures for monitoring medical devices?</p> <p>24 A At various different litigations I have, yes.</p>

<p style="text-align: right;">Page 242</p> <p>1 Q Okay, I'm talking about for this litigation. 2 That's not in your reliance material, is it? 3 A No, that's in my training of having been 4 involved with Ethicon products in terms of marketing. 5 The only thing I saw was a 15 to 20 percent ceiling in 6 terms of complaints, and they tend to have an acceptable 7 minimum that can be filed every month in terms of 8 things -- it goes along with -- 9 Q How long ago has it been since you looked at 10 Ethicon's policies and procedures for monitoring medical 11 devices? How many years? 12 A I'd say, maybe two. 13 Q You did that in the context of other 14 litigation? 15 A Yes, sir. 16 Q But you didn't do that in the context of this 17 case, is that right? 18 MR. LUNDQUIST: Form. 19 THE WITNESS: No, sir. 20 BY MR. HUTCHINSON: 21 Q Am I correct? 22 A Yes, sir. 23 Q Are you intending to offer any opinions that 24 Ethicon received a product complaint and did not</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 244</p> <p>1 BY MR. HUTCHINSON: 2 Q Well, stick with my question. 3 MR. LUNDQUIST: She is answering your 4 question. 5 THE WITNESS: No, in terms of the volume of 6 complaints that are being received and discussed 7 internally, the MDRs that I pulled up are not consistent 8 with that same pattern. So we know there were things 9 not being filed. 10 I haven't gotten to go through the complaint 11 files specifically and look at them in terms of failure 12 to file, but I haven't seen Mrs. Garcia's MDR. There 13 should be an MDR filed for Ms. Garcia, and I hadn't seen 14 that at that point in time. I don't -- I don't know if 15 anyone knows where it is, but there should be something. 16 So I can't talk about it without having -- knowing that 17 she actually had one filed. 18 MR. HUTCHINSON: Move to strike as 19 nonresponsive. 20 Q Dr. Parisian, are you offering any opinion 21 that Ethicon received a product complaint and didn't 22 adequately or appropriately report it to FDA? 23 MR. LUNDQUIST: Form. 24 THE WITNESS: I think I've explained that at</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Page 243</p> <p>1 appropriately report it to FDA? 2 MR. LUNDQUIST: Form. 3 THE WITNESS: The only way I could do -- 4 BY MR. HUTCHINSON: 5 Q Hold on just a minute. I'll let you explain 6 your answer, but start with yes or no, and then explain 7 for me, please, ma'am. 8 A At this point in time, I would say no. 9 Q Okay. 10 A Because I haven't been given specific 11 complaint files, which you know is different than an 12 MDR. So the only way to know if something wasn't filed 13 is you have to go through each complaint file and see if 14 it actually was filed as an MDR. So that's why I'm not 15 planning to do that, because I haven't received all the 16 complaint files. 17 Q So fair enough. As we sit here today, you 18 have no opinion whatsoever that Ethicon received a 19 product complaint and didn't adequately report it to 20 FDA. 21 MR. LUNDQUIST: Objection. 22 THE WITNESS: Well, I do. In terms of the 23 numbers of MDRs, we know that they're receiving 24 complaints. A specific --</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 245</p> <p>1 this point in time I haven't received complaint files, 2 which I can do, but I haven't been asked to do that, and 3 in Ms. Garcia's point, I haven't specifically received 4 her MDR. 5 BY MR. HUTCHINSON: 6 Q Are you testifying that Ethicon withheld 7 anything from FDA? 8 A No -- well, in terms of, did they fully 9 communicate so that FDA could fulfill its role, I don't 10 believe they did, as I talked about, in the 510(k). 11 I don't think the risk information came through -- 12 Q I'm not talking about 510(k)'s. Stick with me 13 for just a minute. I'm talking about product 14 complaints, MDRs, okay? 15 A Well, based on my review, my really 16 superficial review, there was not the type of MDRs that 17 you would expect to see from the company documents. 18 Q My question is: Are you testifying, or do you 19 have any opinions, that Ethicon withheld anything from 20 FDA regarding product complaints? Yes or no. 21 MR. LUNDQUIST: Object, form. 22 THE WITNESS: I think I've answered it, 23 based -- it will have to be a very general, that in 24 terms of the documents I'm seeing, particularly in 2007,</p>

<p style="text-align: center;">Page 246</p> <p>1 the MDRs are not there, in terms of, filed with the FDA. 2 BY MR. HUTCHINSON: 3 Q So you think -- so you think FDA -- I'm sorry, 4 strike that. 5 You think, just because of the numbers of MDRs 6 that you're seeing in 2007 -- 7 A Of premature failure. 8 Q -- that Ethicon is not adequately reporting 9 product complaints to FDA? Is that your testimony? 10 A Based on that -- 11 Q I'm sorry, is that your testimony? 12 A Yes, sir. Based on that information today, to 13 actually hone it in, I'd have to look at the complaint 14 files and compare them one-to-one as to what you're 15 reporting. 16 Q And that's something you've not done so far, 17 is that correct? 18 A That's right. 19 Q Do you believe that Ethicon had a proper 20 safety surveillance department? 21 A No, and that's based not on this case, but on 22 having seen the other cases that I've been involved in. 23 They tend not to report something that's included in the 24 label. If the word "erosion" is in the label, it's not</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 248</p> <p>1 Ethicon may have done to violate an MDR reporting 2 requirement, correct? 3 MR. LUNDQUIST: Object to form. 4 THE WITNESS: Only -- 5 BY MR. HUTCHINSON: 6 Q Hold on a minute. 7 A Yeah, I cannot, in terms of the documents and 8 the reports of perforation, and -- 9 Q I'm not talking about the documents. I'm 10 talking about a specific case example. Can you give me 11 one specific case example of a violation? 12 A Um-hum. 13 MR. LUNDQUIST: Form. 14 THE WITNESS: No, and as I said, I haven't 15 seen Ms. Garcia's MDR. So there should be an MDR for 16 her. 17 MR. LUNDQUIST: Are we at a good breaking 18 point? 19 MR. HUTCHINSON: Give me just one -- 20 MR. LUNDQUIST: Okay, we've been going -- 21 that's fine, whatever you need. 22 MR. HUTCHINSON: Give me five minutes? 23 THE WITNESS: Sure. 24 MR. LUNDQUIST: Whatever you want.</p>
<p style="text-align: center;">Page 247</p> <p>1 going to be reported by the procedure. So I would need 2 to look at that in more depth, but at this point in 3 time, the information suggests that they're not 4 adequately surveilling, or -- updating physicians and 5 FDA on the risk that's being reported personally. 6 Q Can you tell me name of a person who you think 7 did something wrong at Ethicon's post-market 8 surveillance group? 9 A I don't think anybody did anything wrong. 10 I think that -- 11 Q Hold on just a minute. I'm sorry, can you -- 12 A I don't have a person's name that -- 13 Q Okay. 14 A -- did something wrong. I think that -- 15 Q Can you tell me the name -- hold on just a 16 minute -- can you tell me the name of anybody at 17 Ethicon's post-marketing surveillance group that did 18 something well? 19 A I don't know any of the names in that group, 20 and the issue is that they're following procedures, and 21 Ethicon has unique procedures in terms of filing of 22 MDRs. 23 Q So you can't give me, sitting here today, you 24 can't give me a specific example of anything that</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 249</p> <p>1 THE WITNESS: Go for it. 2 BY MR. HUTCHINSON: 3 Q Let's talk about your device work with 4 MD Assist. That company's still in existence? 5 A Yes, sir. 6 Q You're the sole shareholder? 7 A No, my husband is, too. 8 Q You and husband own it, right? 9 A Yes, he gets all the monies, I get all the 10 work. We won't tell him. 11 Q Has he had a chance to spend any of the money 12 you make testifying for plaintiffs? 13 A Has he? 14 Q Yes. 15 A He has all the money. I'm busy doing -- 16 I don't get... 17 Q When is the last -- 18 A He's an anesthesiologist and does all the 19 billing and handles all that stuff. 20 Q When is the last time MD Assist did any 21 regulatory consulting work for a medical device company? 22 A It would have been last year. I had to cut 23 off even the manufacturers. I just don't have time and 24 I'm trying to cut back.</p>

<p style="text-align: center;">Page 250</p> <p>1 Q And you don't have time because your full-time 2 job now is to do the litigation work, like we're here 3 today on, correct?</p> <p>4 MR. LUNDQUIST: Object.</p> <p>5 THE WITNESS: Well, no, I'm trying to retire, 6 and so I'm trying to not take new issues on. I'm 7 getting old.</p> <p>8 MR. OLIVEIRA: I know how you feel.</p> <p>9 THE WITNESS: And I'll swear to that.</p> <p>10 BY MR. HUTCHINSON:</p> <p>11 Q MD Assist has never done any consulting work 12 for a pelvic floor product, have they?</p> <p>13 A No, not for a pelvic floor.</p> <p>14 Q MD Assist has never had a surgical mesh 15 product approved by FDA.</p> <p>16 A They're not approved --</p> <p>17 Q I'm sorry, cleared. Cleared.</p> <p>18 A That's correct.</p> <p>19 Q All right. MD Assist has never been involved 20 with the submission of a 510(k) for a device where it 21 was surgical mesh.</p> <p>22 A I believe that's correct.</p> <p>23 Q And MD Assist has never been involved in the 24 design of a medical device where part or all of it was</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 252</p> <p>1 you're -- because I did --</p> <p>2 BY MR. HUTCHINSON:</p> <p>3 Q A surgical urogynecological device, correct?</p> <p>4 A That's correct.</p> <p>5 MR. HUTCHINSON: All right, so....</p> <p>6 MR. LUNDQUIST: Five minutes?</p> <p>7 MR. HUTCHINSON: Will's going to take a break, 8 so we'll take a quick five.</p> <p>9 (Deposition in recess from 2:39 p.m. to 2:59 p.m.)</p> <p>10 MR. HUTCHINSON: Back on the record.</p> <p>11 Q Dr. Parisian, we're back from a break. You'll 12 agree that lawyer advertising can stimulate reporting of 13 adverse events, correct?</p> <p>14 A It can be one of the things, yes, sir.</p> <p>15 Q With regard to the adverse events that you 16 accessed on the MAUDE database, can you identify how 17 many of those adverse reports were filed by attorneys 18 representing plaintiffs in mesh litigation?</p> <p>19 A There were filed by the companies and they 20 said they were notified by attorneys, so....</p> <p>21 Q And can you identify how many of those were?</p> <p>22 A No, sir. But that doesn't mean events don't 23 happen.</p> <p>24 Q You'll agree FDA recognizes that submissions</p>
<p style="text-align: center;">Page 251</p> <p>1 surgical mesh.</p> <p>2 A That's correct.</p> <p>3 Q Never been involved in any clinical trial to 4 evaluate the safety and efficacy of surgical mesh?</p> <p>5 MR. LUNDQUIST: Object.</p> <p>6 THE WITNESS: That's correct.</p> <p>7 BY MR. HUTCHINSON:</p> <p>8 Q Never been, or -- performed a DDSA for 9 surgical mesh.</p> <p>10 A As far as I can recall. You mean at a 11 company? That's correct.</p> <p>12 Q Never performed an FMEA for a device where 13 part of all of it was surgical mesh.</p> <p>14 A That's correct.</p> <p>15 Q And in fact, never worked on any medical 16 device that was designed specifically for a 17 urogynecological indication.</p> <p>18 MR. LUNDQUIST: Form.</p> <p>19 THE WITNESS: Not at the FDA.</p> <p>20 BY MR. HUTCHINSON:</p> <p>21 Q Is that correct?</p> <p>22 MR. LUNDQUIST: Form.</p> <p>23 THE WITNESS: Other -- you said a surgical -- 24 if you say a surgical, that's correct, because surgical</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 253</p> <p>1 of an MDR does not mean that the product caused or 2 contributed to that injury, correct?</p> <p>3 A That is a statement that is, I think, in the 4 regulations.</p> <p>5 Q That's something you would agree to?</p> <p>6 A Yes. Otherwise, nobody would file any MDRs.</p> <p>7 Q And you'll also agree, which is consistent 8 with your testimony before, that oftentimes an MDR is 9 incomplete.</p> <p>10 A There are incomplete MDRs filed with the FDA, 11 yes, sir.</p> <p>12 Q And you can't draw any conclusions from MDRs 13 about causation, can you?</p> <p>14 MR. LUNDQUIST: Object, form.</p> <p>15 THE WITNESS: It depends on what the MDR says. 16 I mean, you can't make a blanket statement, but you 17 can't come up with an incidence rate based on an MDR, on 18 the MDR database.</p> <p>19 BY MR. HUTCHINSON:</p> <p>20 Q Okay, and you have no evidence that Ethicon 21 failed to report to FDA any adverse event report that it 22 received.</p> <p>23 MR. LUNDQUIST: Object to the form.</p> <p>24 THE WITNESS: Other than that there wasn't any</p>

<p style="text-align: center;">Page 254</p> <p>1 filing, and I'm seeing all these documents where there's 2 erosion failures perforations, and there are no MDRs. 3 BY MR. HUTCHINSON: 4 Q But no evidence. You have no evidence to 5 suggest that, correct? 6 MR. LUNDQUIST: Object to form. 7 THE WITNESS: I think that is evidence, in 8 that the MDRs are only getting filed in 2013. So the 9 database is not consistent with the information that the 10 company's considering, particularly in 2007, 2008. 11 There's nothing about the Australian cases or the German 12 cases in the MDR database. 13 BY MR. HUTCHINSON: 14 Q And that's all the evidence that you have, 15 correct? 16 A Well, that's significant evidence, because I'm 17 looking at the records internally, which is the 18 evidence -- 19 Q Hold on just a minute. I'm not asking for 20 significant. I'm asking, is that all the evidence you 21 have? 22 A That's significant information, yes. That's 23 why I would support that the trends in the MDR, not -- 24 the trends, for the Secur particularly, is not</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 255</p> <p>1 consistent with the internal picture. 2 Q And you can't give us one example of a patient 3 having a product complaint and that product complaint 4 not being reported to FDA, can you? 5 MR. LUNDQUIST: Form. 6 THE WITNESS: I'm going to rely -- 7 BY MR. HUTCHINSON: 8 Q I'm sorry? 9 A Yes, I think I can. 10 Q Tell me the name of that patient. 11 A Well, I don't know name of the patient. 12 Q Can you give us -- 13 A I know they -- 14 Q Hold on just a minute. Stick with me. Can 15 you give us one example of a patient who had a product 16 complaint and that product complaint did not get 17 reported to FDA? 18 A The 49 patients in Germany that were not 19 reported to FDA in 2007, the Australian patients that 20 weren't submitted to the FDA, because the issues and the 21 frequency and the severity is not in the labeling in 22 2007. So those should have been reported to the FDA. 23 Q Let's go to your Exhibit 7, please, and that's 24 the patient brochure.</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 256</p> <p>1 A Exhibit 7, okay, got it. 2 Q You've reviewed this and relied on it in 3 forming your opinions, correct? 4 A Actually, I reviewed the 2008 patient 5 brochure. That's in one of my books, here. 6 Q And -- 7 A But this is relevant to Ms. Garcia. It's 8 2011. 9 Q And this would be -- hold on just a minute, 10 Dr. Parisian. 11 A Yes, sir. 12 Q Dr. Parisian -- Dr. Parisian -- this patient 13 brochure that was marked as Exhibit 7 would have been 14 the one that Ms. Garcia would have received prior to her 15 surgery, correct? 16 A Right, I said this would have been the 17 relevant one to her, because it's 2011, but I saw 2008. 18 Q Do you have any criticisms about this patient 19 brochure? 20 A Yes, the criticisms are similar to the IFU, in 21 terms of, when we were talking about for the physician, 22 the types of the warning information. 23 I do have one good statement about Ethicon in 24 that they did include a heading about risks, basically,</p>
<p style="text-align: center;">Page 255</p> <p>1 what risks. So that was good. So you asked me before 2 for something good, and -- 3 Q Move to strike as nonresponsive. 4 A No, no, I was trying to -- 5 Q Let's talk about your criticisms to the 6 patient brochure that we've marked as Exhibit 7. 7 Would you list any criticisms that you have 8 about the patient brochure marked as Exhibit 7? 9 A Yes. I would reference the same adverse event 10 information that's missing in the IFU, the same type of 11 information the document discusses on page 19. 12 And one of the things that I didn't mention 13 before that would be relevant and germane to a woman's 14 brochure is the pain on intercourse for her partner, 15 that that is a -- either in the physician's IFU or in 16 the patient's information, and I think it's also in 17 Dr. Miklos' description, so -- 18 Q So your testimony is that this patient 19 brochure should warn about dyspareunia to a woman's 20 partner? 21 A No -- yes. Oh, yes, in terms of -- not 22 dyspareunia, that's her pain, but a male inserting his 23 penis can actually feel -- there's been reports of that, 24 particularly from Ms. Garcia. So the pain on</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 257</p>	

<p style="text-align: center;">Page 258</p> <p>1 intercourse for the partner, a woman needs to know about 2 that. That's a big issue if you've got a partner and 3 he's going to have pain every time he has sex with you.</p> <p>4 Q Let's talk about your criticisms. Have you 5 told me all of your criticisms about the patient 6 brochure marked as Exhibit 7?</p> <p>7 A Well, I tried to simplify it. It's fairly 8 similar to the types of problems I had with the IFU, in 9 terms, specifically for the adverse events, the 10 permanency, that this is not transitory, which is right 11 there, and I would also refer you to Dr. Miklos, because 12 he goes through, from a physician's point of view, the 13 types of information he thinks should be in the label in 14 terms of what a physician needs to know, and I would put 15 it in terms a patient could understand.</p> <p>16 Q Do you remember when we talked about the IFU, 17 you listed to me at least 28 specific criticisms you 18 have of the IFU? Do you remember that testimony?</p> <p>19 A Yeah, I went -- yes, I went through the label, 20 trying to give you both the opinion and then what kind 21 of information I would use to correct it.</p> <p>22 Q And how many specific criticisms do you have 23 about the patient brochure?</p> <p>24 MR. HUTCHINSON: Form.</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 260</p> <p>1 "family," "TVT family" product. So it really isn't 2 specific for TVT Secur. The company is aware internally 3 that there's differences in risks for the TVT Secur 4 versus the other products --</p> <p>5 MR. HUTCHINSON: Move to strike as 6 nonresponsive.</p> <p>7 Q Doctor, stick with me and listen to my 8 question.</p> <p>9 A That's one.</p> <p>10 Q Okay, that's one. All right, I'm asking you 11 to quantify, and if you don't understand my question, 12 please let me know, but I'm asking you to quantify: How 13 many specific criticisms do you have about the patient 14 brochure?</p> <p>15 MR. LUNDQUIST: Object to form.</p> <p>16 THE WITNESS: I'm thinking. I would put -- 17 just two, I would put the pregnancy information over by 18 itself --</p> <p>19 BY MR. HUTCHINSON:</p> <p>20 Q I'm sorry, did you say two?</p> <p>21 A Two.</p> <p>22 Q And what are they?</p> <p>23 A The pregnancy information would be taken away 24 as a specific population all to itself, because it</p>
<p style="text-align: center;">Page 259</p> <p>1 THE WITNESS: Well, the patient brochure is 2 not a design issue. It actually has indications, 3 contraindications, precautions. So I would move that 4 forward in the beginning for a woman, but the design in 5 terms of the brochure is a little better than the 6 physician's IFU, but the adverse reaction information 7 should be the same, again, warning about the permanency 8 of the issues, just like I described for the physician 9 IFU.</p> <p>10 But it has to be written in terms that a 11 woman, a non-physician, could understand, a lay person 12 could understand. It's -- it would be an example of 13 direct consumer labeling. So it needs to be in 14 information that a consumer could understand.</p> <p>15 BY MR. HUTCHINSON:</p> <p>16 Q How many specific criticisms do you have about 17 the patient brochure?</p> <p>18 MR. LUNDQUIST: Form.</p> <p>19 BY MR. HUTCHINSON:</p> <p>20 Q You listed 28 or more for the IFU. How many 21 for the patient brochure?</p> <p>22 MR. LUNDQUIST: Form.</p> <p>23 THE WITNESS: Okay, one of the things about 24 this brochure as opposed to the IFU is, this is called a</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 261</p> <p>1 doesn't apply to most women who are getting SUI 2 procedures.</p> <p>3 Q What would the second -- I mean, the second -- 4 strike that. What would the second --</p> <p>5 A Third. Third.</p> <p>6 Q Well --</p> <p>7 A First was that it should be separated out as 8 a --</p> <p>9 Q Wait a minute. I don't know if you and I are 10 communicating. I want to know how many specific 11 criticisms you have about the patient brochure, and 12 I thought you told me two.</p> <p>13 A No, no, I told you the second one.</p> <p>14 Q Okay, all right. So my question is, how many 15 specific -- I want you to quantify this, and if you 16 don't understand the question, let me know, but I'm 17 asking you to quantify, how many specific criticisms do 18 you have about the patient brochure?</p> <p>19 MR. LUNDQUIST: Object to form.</p> <p>20 THE WITNESS: I would say we were up to two. 21 So I would say, in a short answer, I can do it in -- as 22 four. So the third criticism would be --</p> <p>23 BY MR. HUTCHINSON:</p> <p>24 Q Okay, hold on just a minute. I'll get to that</p>

<p style="text-align: center;">Page 262</p> <p>1 in a minute, but I want to make sure I understand. You 2 have four specific criticisms about the patient 3 brochure, correct?</p> <p>4 A Yes.</p> <p>5 Q All right, do you have any others?</p> <p>6 MR. LUNDQUIST: Form.</p> <p>7 THE WITNESS: No.</p> <p>8 BY MR. HUTCHINSON:</p> <p>9 Q All right. So tell me what your four 10 criticisms are about the patient brochure.</p> <p>11 A Okay. We've already done one, we've already 12 done two.</p> <p>13 Q All right. Number one was the pregnancy.</p> <p>14 A No, no --</p> <p>15 Q I'm sorry. Number one was --</p> <p>16 A That Secur should be separated out, in terms 17 of the --</p> <p>18 Q Okay, Secur should be separated out.</p> <p>19 A Because its risk is unique compared to the 20 other product.</p> <p>21 Q Wait just a minute. The court reporter is not 22 keeping up with us. Number one is, the Secur should not 23 be separated out.</p> <p>24 A No, it should.</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 264</p> <p>1 BY MR. HUTCHINSON: 2 Q You don't understand? 3 A I don't understand what that is, what your 4 question is on that.</p> <p>5 Q They would be duplicative. You're giving 6 opinions about the same topics.</p> <p>7 A Oh, you mean --</p> <p>8 Q It would be cumulative.</p> <p>9 A Cumulative.</p> <p>10 MR. LUNDQUIST: Form.</p> <p>11 THE WITNESS: I would rely on Dr. Miklos, in 12 terms of the information that he as a physician thinks 13 should be in the labeling is consistent with the type of 14 information I described before, but the -- the adverse 15 events --</p> <p>16 BY MR. HUTCHINSON: 17 Q Just a minute, stick with me. But that would 18 be cumulative, wouldn't it?</p> <p>19 MR. LUNDQUIST: Object to form.</p> <p>20 THE WITNESS: Cumulative, yes, but I don't 21 understand why you're asking that.</p> <p>22 BY MR. HUTCHINSON: 23 Q If -- when you reviewed the patient brochure, 24 did you notice anything that Ethicon did well --</p>
<p style="text-align: center;">Page 263</p> <p>1 Q I'm sorry, it should be separated out. The 2 second one was pregnancy, right?</p> <p>3 A In its own special population, taken out of 4 the --</p> <p>5 Q Okay, what's the third one?</p> <p>6 A The third are the inadequacies of the warnings 7 for the user, as I described for the IFU, but in terms 8 that a patient could understand.</p> <p>9 Q Okay, and what's your fourth one?</p> <p>10 A The fourth are the adverse events are 11 inadequate, just as I described in the IFU; and then 12 I would also reference Dr. Miklos' report on page 19, 13 where he talks about, from a physician's point of view, 14 what information needed to be in the labeling, and 15 I would put that all in terms of a label that a patient 16 could understand.</p> <p>17 Q And if Dr. Miklos has opinions about the IFU 18 and the patient brochure, your opinions about the IFU 19 and the patient brochure would be cumulative, wouldn't 20 they?</p> <p>21 MR. LUNDQUIST: Form.</p> <p>22 THE WITNESS: Pardon? I don't understand 23 that.</p> <p>24 // //</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 265</p> <p>1 A Yeah. 2 Q -- in drafting the patient brochure? 3 A I was trying to tell you what they did well, 4 but you didn't want to hear it.</p> <p>5 I thought what was good was, from the 2008 to 6 the 2011, they actually did add a section, which I think 7 they might have wanted to put up front, about risk, and 8 I thought that was a good addition in terms of a label, 9 on page -- it's not totally complete, but on page 12.</p> <p>10 And some of the information in the risk 11 information is not conveyed in the adverse event 12 reports. It's not -- they should cover each other. If 13 you're describing these particular risks, then some of 14 the adverse event reports or adverse events that I'm 15 saying is missing should reflect information that they 16 put in this risk section. So the risk -- starting the 17 risk section was a good thing.</p> <p>18 Q Did you see anything else that Ethicon did 19 well in the patient brochure marked as Exhibit 7?</p> <p>20 A Well, they spelled Gynecare and TVT right, but 21 I think that --</p> <p>22 Q Anything else?</p> <p>23 A It's a better -- they're improving their label 24 since the 2008 label, but they still are not conveying</p>

<p style="text-align: center;">Page 266</p> <p>1 the specific risks for the TTV Secur, which is different 2 than the other products. They're just putting them 3 together in the TTV family. Even internally that was 4 questioned when the company was saying, are we really 5 going to have a TTV family that includes TTV Secur?</p> <p>6 So I think that that would be my number one, 7 but I think it was right to have questions for your 8 doctor, but they needed to improve the adverse events to 9 make sure that the woman knew that these were permanent 10 risks, such as chronic pain, dyspareunia, change in the 11 vagina, things like that. And I think I captured that 12 before when I talked about the IFU for the physician.</p> <p>13 Q You're not an expert on Prolene, are you?</p> <p>14 A What do you mean by "an expert on Prolene"? 15 I mean, you've seen I've gone through the regulatory 16 history of Prolene, so I don't know if.... There is a 17 regulatory expertise that I have on Prolene.</p> <p>18 Q Would that be the only expertise that you have 19 on Prolene?</p> <p>20 MR. LUNDQUIST: Form.</p> <p>21 THE WITNESS: I've used Prolene suture, so 22 I don't know, what do you mean, in terms of Prolene?</p> <p>23 BY MR. HUTCHINSON:</p> <p>24 Q Do you hold yourself out as an expert on</p>	<p>1 A They wouldn't. They would recommend not using 2 it, but they wouldn't recommend removal.</p> <p>3 Q And they have never recommended not using TTV 4 Secur, have they?</p> <p>5 A I don't know what the NIH -- I haven't looked 6 at what the NIH has said about TTV Secur.</p> <p>7 Q The American College of OB-GYN has never 8 recommended removing any mesh product from the market, 9 has it? ACOG.</p> <p>10 A A specific mesh --</p> <p>11 Q We're referencing ACOG, A-C-O-G.</p> <p>12 A Not that I recall. They have guidelines, they 13 don't talk about removal of a device.</p> <p>14 Q The American Urogynecologic Society, or AUGS, 15 has never recommended removing any mesh product from the 16 market, has it?</p> <p>17 A I believe so. I don't see that that would be 18 their role, either.</p> <p>19 Q I'm correct?</p> <p>20 A Yes, sir. I said, I believe so.</p> <p>21 Q And you would agree that a ban on the use of 22 synthetic mesh products would prohibit many women from 23 accessing treatment options?</p> <p>24 A A ban, for many indications -- they use</p>
<p style="text-align: center;">Page 267</p> <p>1 Prolene?</p> <p>2 A In terms of the regulatory issues that would 3 be considered, FDA regulatory, on Prolene.</p> <p>4 Q And that would be all, is that correct?</p> <p>5 A As a physician --</p> <p>6 Q I'm sorry. And that would be all, correct?</p> <p>7 MR. LUNDQUIST: Form.</p> <p>8 THE WITNESS: I believe that's the only role 9 I would have here in terms of Prolene.</p> <p>10 BY MR. HUTCHINSON:</p> <p>11 Q Okay, and --</p> <p>12 A And as a pathologist and as having looked at 13 tissue slides, you know, animal studies, so -- but that 14 would be regulatory, too. That would be -- I guess you 15 would call that a toxicology point of view.</p> <p>16 Q The FDA advisory panel has never recommended 17 removing any mesh product from the market, correct?</p> <p>18 A The advisory panel? I believe that's correct. 19 They didn't even -- you're talking about advisory panel 20 where they talk about SUI and POP? Yes, they asked for 21 data.</p> <p>22 Q The National Institutes of Health has never 23 recommended removing any mesh product from the market, 24 has it?</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 269</p> <p>1 surgical mesh for a lot of --</p> <p>2 Q Stick with my question.</p> <p>3 A I didn't --</p> <p>4 Q You would agree that a ban on the use of 5 synthetic mesh products would prohibit many women from 6 accessing treatment options, correct?</p> <p>7 A That's a very generic statement. It's not 8 the --</p> <p>9 Q I'm asking you. Correct?</p> <p>10 A If FDA banned all surgical --</p> <p>11 Q Just a minute. Wait a minute. I don't want 12 to talk over the court reporter.</p> <p>13 A No.</p> <p>14 Q You would agree, correct, Dr. Parisian? Yes 15 or no.</p> <p>16 MR. LUNDQUIST: Form.</p> <p>17 THE WITNESS: Well, let me ask --</p> <p>18 BY MR. HUTCHINSON:</p> <p>19 Q I'm the one asking questions today.</p> <p>20 A I know, I want to answer. I'm asking for a 21 clarification.</p> <p>22 Are you saying -- what I think you're saying 23 is, if FDA were to ban surgical mesh, that would deny 24 women treatment options, generally? Yes.</p>

Page 270	Page 272
<p>1 Q Let me hand you what we'll mark as Exhibit 16 2 to your deposition.</p> <p>3 (Whereupon, Exhibit 16 was marked for 4 identification.)</p> <p>5 THE WITNESS: So do the colors have any 6 indication, on the clips? That's a black.</p> <p>7 MR. LUNDQUIST: What?</p> <p>8 MR. HUTCHINSON: I got a black clip. That 9 means it's a very important document, one I suspect 10 you'll probably disagree with, but we'll try to -- we'll 11 try to go through it.</p> <p>12 Q I've handed you what's been marked as 13 Exhibit 16 to your deposition. Do you see that?</p> <p>14 A Yes, sir.</p> <p>15 Q In fact, this is a document you relied on in 16 reaching your opinions, is that right?</p> <p>17 A I've seen this, yes.</p> <p>18 Q And AUGS, that's an association of surgeons 19 who treat SUI.</p> <p>20 A That would be that group, yes, sir.</p> <p>21 Q Has over 1700 members.</p> <p>22 A Yes.</p> <p>23 Q It includes urologists, gynecologists and 24 urogynecologists, correct?</p>	<p>1 A Yes, sir.</p> <p>2 Q And that's what Ms. Garcia has got, right?</p> <p>3 MR. LUNDQUIST: Object to form.</p> <p>4 THE WITNESS: Um --</p> <p>5 BY MR. HUTCHINSON:</p> <p>6 Q Dr. Parisian --</p> <p>7 A Let me look at this, because they often make a 8 differentiation between the SISMUS and the MUS. Let me 9 just see what they're talking about.</p> <p>10 Q All I'm asking you is: Is your opinion that 11 Ms. Garcia got a mid-urethral sling?</p> <p>12 A Yes, single-incision mid-urethral sling.</p> <p>13 Q And paragraph 2 says,</p> <p>14 "The monofilament polypropylene mesh MUS 15 is the most extensively studied 16 anti-incontinence procedure in history." 17 Do you agree with that statement?</p> <p>18 A Where --</p> <p>19 Q Paragraph 2.</p> <p>20 A Paragraph 2.</p> <p>21 Q The bold.</p> <p>22 A Oh, all the heading. I thought you're talking 23 about the --</p> <p>24 Q Do you agree with that statement?</p>
<p style="text-align: center;">Page 271</p> <p>1 A Right, and it includes the SFU -- SSFU.</p> <p>2 Q And that's another society, true?</p> <p>3 A Right, Society of Urodynamics, Female Pelvic 4 Medicine and Urogenital Reconstruction.</p> <p>5 Q And you're not a member of either one of 6 these, are you?</p> <p>7 A No, sir.</p> <p>8 Q And if we look on page 2, paragraph one, in 9 bold it says, "Polypropylene material is safe and 10 effective as a surgical implant." Do you see that?</p> <p>11 A Yes, sir.</p> <p>12 Q Do you agree with that statement, 13 Dr. Parisian?</p> <p>14 A Yes, sir.</p> <p>15 Q Okay, and if we look at --</p> <p>16 A And look at, they talk about the different 17 specialties, and they're talking about the general 18 polypropylene, yes, sir. We've talked -- that it's been 19 approved, FDA, polypropylene, surgical implant. It can 20 be a suture, it can be anything.</p> <p>21 Q And if we look at -- if we look at paragraph 22 four -- I'm sorry, if we look at paragraph two, the 23 monofilament polypropylene mesh, MUS, that stands for 24 mid-urethral slings, correct?</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 273</p> <p>1 A Yes, that's what the group said at the 2 advisory panel. The FDA disagreed, that they needed 3 more safety information, but this group of physicians 4 said that they were satisfied that it's been studied. 5 FDA didn't agree with that at the panel meeting or in 6 its subsequent documents. That's why they're trying to 7 get data. They didn't ask for a 522 for those products. 8 They expected the --</p> <p>9 Q Move to strike as nonresponsive.</p> <p>10 Paragraph 4 says,</p> <p>11 "The FDA has clearly stated that the 12 polypropylene mid-urethral sling is safe and 13 effective in the treatment of SUI."</p> <p>14 Do you agree with that statement?</p> <p>15 MR. LUNDQUIST: Form.</p> <p>16 THE WITNESS: I think you need to look at what 17 is said in that paragraph. That's what I would agree 18 with.</p> <p>19 BY MR. HUTCHINSON:</p> <p>20 Q But I'm asking you, do you agree with that 21 statement?</p> <p>22 A No, I think you need to look at, the FDA 23 continues to evaluate it, its use for the treatment, and 24 will report later, and the FDA says that they have data</p>

<p style="text-align: center;">Page 274</p> <p>1 up to one year, for multi-incision. This isn't the TVT 2 Secur.</p> <p>3 Q Do you disagree that the FDA has stated that 4 polypropylene MUS is safe and effective in the treatment 5 of SUI?</p> <p>6 MR. LUNDQUIST: Form.</p> <p>7 BY MR. HUTCHINSON:</p> <p>8 Q That's something you disagree with?</p> <p>9 MR. LUNDQUIST: Form.</p> <p>10 THE WITNESS: I agree with what's in the 11 paragraph. I don't agree with that statement. The 12 FDA's continuing to get data about it. So the paragraph 13 is correct, but the statement is, I think, overreaching.</p> <p>14 BY MR. HUTCHINSON:</p> <p>15 Q Um --</p> <p>16 A And if you look at above, in number 1, they 17 said that even for surgical mesh, there's no data past 18 17 years, in terms of safety. So it's not totally 19 proven, and that's what's going on here. FDA is asking 20 for data.</p> <p>21 MR. HUTCHINSON: Move to strike as 22 nonresponsive.</p> <p>23 Q Dr. Parisian, let's change gears for a minute. 24 Have you ever participated in a urogynecological</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 276</p> <p>1 know.</p> <p>2 Q Have you ever participated as a subject in a 3 clinical trial for any type of gynecological product?</p> <p>4 A No. No, I haven't. As a subject, the 5 patient?</p> <p>6 Q Yeah.</p> <p>7 A No.</p> <p>8 Q Have you ever tested any type of gynecological 9 product in some type of trial?</p> <p>10 A No.</p> <p>11 Q You wrote a book at one point called, "Twin 12 Cubs of a White Wolf"?</p> <p>13 A Yes, sir.</p> <p>14 Q And what is that book about?</p> <p>15 A It's a romance novel that I never sold. I used the name McLean Thomas and --</p> <p>16 Q Okay, why didn't you use your real name when 17 you published it?</p> <p>18 A Because I just felt like -- I registered my 19 name. That's why everybody, all the defense firms know 20 the name. So I haven't hidden the name, but I just 21 didn't use it at the time, and I wrote -- and it's never 22 been sold or distributed. Somehow, defense firms have 23 found it, I guess, at the Library of Congress and some</p>
<p style="text-align: center;">Page 275</p> <p>1 clinical trial?</p> <p>2 A No, sir. I haven't participated in one.</p> <p>3 Q Have you ever participated in any clinical 4 trial for any medical product?</p> <p>5 A You mean me being a subject? No, I've been --</p> <p>6 MR. LUNDQUIST: No, I don't think that's what 7 he's saying.</p> <p>8 She suggesting she's actually a participant 9 that, like -- just clarifying the question, here.</p> <p>10 BY MR. HUTCHINSON:</p> <p>11 Q No?</p> <p>12 A No, I've not been in. I did some clinical 13 trials back when I was in my hospital days as an ER 14 doctor, I remember having to go to IRBs and stuff. 15 I don't remember what they were, but they were 16 device-type drugs.</p> <p>17 Q My question to you is: Have you ever 18 participated in any clinical trial as a participant for 19 a medical device?</p> <p>20 A Well, see, I've never been a subject or an 21 investigator in a clinical trial that I'm aware of. 22 I've reviewed them for the FDA and approved them, 23 monitored them, evaluated them, but not been the 24 principal investigator. I think that's what you want to</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 277</p> <p>1 defense blogs have put it online, with -- which I think 2 is illegal, but I've never sold it. And it has nothing 3 to do with the FDA.</p> <p>4 Q Have you ever -- what is the book about, then?</p> <p>5 A The book is about a mother that lies, that's 6 the white wolf, and two people that think that she's 7 their mother and she's not.</p> <p>8 Q Is it about an incestuous sexual relationship?</p> <p>9 MR. LUNDQUIST: Form.</p> <p>10 THE WITNESS: No, they're not incestuous. That issue is that they're not related at all, and the 11 mother is allowing them to think they were. So that's 12 where the mother's lying. And I didn't sell it. A lot 13 of judges would love it, but I haven't sold it.</p> <p>14 MR. HUTCHINSON: Let me take a quick break. (Deposition in recess from 3:29 p.m. to 3:43 p.m.)</p> <p>15 BY MR. HUTCHINSON:</p> <p>16 Q Dr. Parisian, we're back on the record, having 17 taken a break. Do you intend to offer any other 18 opinions in this case other than the ones we've already 19 discussed?</p> <p>20 MR. LUNDQUIST: Object to form.</p> <p>21 THE WITNESS: No. Could I clarify something 22 about my book?</p>

<p style="text-align: center;">Page 278</p> <p>1 BY MR. HUTCHINSON:</p> <p>2 Q Sure.</p> <p>3 A That I said judges would like it, I don't mean 4 the judges would like it. I mean that they're curious 5 as to why a book about -- not about the FDA, that was 6 never sold, is always brought up. So I don't know if 7 they'd like it or not. I wanted to correct that answer.</p> <p>8 Q Okay, fine. Is that the only romance novel 9 you've ever written?</p> <p>10 A Well, it's the only one ever published. The 11 other one's up in my closet. I'm not going to do that 12 again.</p> <p>13 Q Do you recommend doing any -- I mean, I'm 14 sorry, strike that.</p> <p>15 Do you plan on doing any work on -- in this 16 case in the future?</p> <p>17 A I haven't been asked to.</p> <p>18 Q Is there any additional work that you believe 19 that you should do, having now been deposed?</p> <p>20 A No.</p> <p>21 Q Have you ever been sued?</p> <p>22 A No.</p> <p>23 Q Have you ever filed bankruptcy?</p> <p>24 A No.</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 280</p> <p>1 crime?</p> <p>2 A No.</p> <p>3 Q Have you understood all of my questions?</p> <p>4 A I've tried to, and I've tried to ask for 5 clarification.</p> <p>6 Q Is there anything about the testimony you've 7 given that you'd like to change?</p> <p>8 A No.</p> <p>9 Q Your middle initial is D, as in David?</p> <p>10 A Yes, it's Dorgan.</p> <p>11 Q And your husband's name is James W., is that 12 correct?</p> <p>13 A Yes, sir.</p> <p>14 Q You used to live in West Virginia?</p> <p>15 A I used to live in the western part of 16 Virginia, in Fort Royal.</p> <p>17 MR. HUTCHINSON: All right, thank you. No 18 further questions.</p> <p>19 THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.</p> <p>20 MS. FREEMAN: I don't have any questions.</p> <p>21 MR. LUNDQUIST: I'm going to have a few, 22 Cynthia.</p> <p>23 // //</p> <p>24 // //</p>
<p style="text-align: center;">Page 279</p> <p>1 Q Has the Court ever determined that you cannot 2 give an expert opinion?</p> <p>3 A One court has, and that would be the Trasylol 4 decision in terms of Judge Middlebrooks, and then there 5 was a judge in Arizona here who had a pain pump case who 6 did not think a FDA expert was necessary, and then there 7 was a case for Aredia Zometa, where the judge -- I think 8 Hogan was the name of the case, or the judge, and he 9 determined that neither FDA expert could participate 10 because of the way that the filing was.</p> <p>11 Q Has a court ever excluded you as an expert? 12 Is that what you're talking about?</p> <p>13 A Yes, sir, those are the ones.</p> <p>14 MR. LUNDQUIST: Form.</p> <p>15 BY MR. HUTCHINSON:</p> <p>16 Q That would be how many cases?</p> <p>17 MR. LUNDQUIST: Form.</p> <p>18 THE WITNESS: That I know of is Trasylol -- 19 yeah, four.</p> <p>20 BY MR. HUTCHINSON:</p> <p>21 Q Four. Have you ever been held in contempt of 22 court?</p> <p>23 A No.</p> <p>24 Q Have you ever been charged or convicted of a</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 281</p> <p>1 EXAMINATION BY MR. LUNDQUIST</p> <p>2 BY MR. LUNDQUIST:</p> <p>3 Q Good afternoon, Doctor.</p> <p>4 A Good afternoon.</p> <p>5 Q Certainly we've talked about a lot of your 6 opinions today, but as the designation set forth as 7 Exhibit --</p> <p>8 MR. HUTCHINSON: Four.</p> <p>9 MR. LUNDQUIST: Thank you.</p> <p>10 Q -- 4 reflects, you're intending to give 11 opinions consistent with, obviously, your testimony 12 today in Exhibit number 4, is that fair?</p> <p>13 A Pardon?</p> <p>14 Q You're intending to give opinions at trial 15 consistent with your testimony today and with respect to 16 Exhibit 4, which would be the designation of experts?</p> <p>17 A Yes.</p> <p>18 MR. HUTCHINSON: Objection, leading.</p> <p>19 THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.</p> <p>20 BY MR. LUNDQUIST:</p> <p>21 Q All right. I want to talk about, since we 22 haven't really discussed, to some extent, the bases for 23 some of your opinions, Doctor.</p> <p>24 As I understood your testimony, is it possible</p>

<p style="text-align: center;">Page 282</p> <p>1 that there could be an instance where the -- where a 2 polypropylene sling may be appropriate for implantation 3 if the physician has adequate information to do a 4 risk/benefit analysis?</p> <p>5 A Yes.</p> <p>6 Q Based on your review of documents from Ethicon 7 and the deposition testimony you reviewed and all the 8 various things you reviewed in preparing for your 9 deposition today, do physicians who utilize the TVT 10 Secur have adequate information to conduct a 11 risk/benefit analysis?</p> <p>12 MR. HUTCHINSON: Object to form.</p> <p>13 THE WITNESS: Based on the information I've 14 reviewed? No.</p> <p>15 BY MR. LUNDQUIST:</p> <p>16 Q And you actually went through today and 17 discussed some of the data that you have access to that 18 the average urogynecologist or OB-GYN would not have, 19 fair?</p> <p>20 A That's correct.</p> <p>21 Q And certainly based on your review of 22 Dr. Walss' deposition, you can appreciate that there was 23 a lot of information that we've discussed today that he 24 did not have access to.</p>	<p>1 performs as intended, in terms of the risk versus 2 benefit. So clinical trials are required for certain 3 devices before they get cleared.</p> <p>4 Q Part of that would be to establish a -- would 5 you agree that part of that would be to establish a 6 favorable risk/benefit ratio?</p> <p>7 A To help develop the IFU, yes, and to also give 8 the label information. Some manufacturers do clinical 9 trials beforehand to get -- so they have it for 10 marketing; they can make claims in terms of benefits.</p> <p>11 Q And in terms of your methodology in that 12 opinion, do industry guidelines and standards inform 13 your methodology with respect to that opinion?</p> <p>14 A Yes.</p> <p>15 Q And that would include the GHTF standards that 16 you cited in your reliance list?</p> <p>17 A Yes, as well other -- other industry 18 standards, such as biocompatibility standards, testing 19 standards. There's all kinds of ISO standards, and AAMI 20 standards. So international, United States, industry 21 standards.</p> <p>22 Q That would include your work consulting with 23 industry?</p> <p>24 A Yes, and it began in my work with the FDA,</p>
<p style="text-align: center;">Page 283</p> <p>1 MR. HUTCHINSON: Leading.</p> <p>2 BY MR. LUNDQUIST:</p> <p>3 Q Correct?</p> <p>4 A Yes.</p> <p>5 Q And you had to sign a confidentiality order to 6 be able to review these documents?</p> <p>7 A Yes, sir.</p> <p>8 Q You also reviewed deposition testimony from 9 Ethicon employees, correct?</p> <p>10 A Yes, sir.</p> <p>11 Q And is that deposition testimony something 12 that the average urogynecologist or OB-GYN who implants 13 Ethicon products is going to have at hand?</p> <p>14 A No.</p> <p>15 Q Is your opinion that a manufacturer could be 16 obligated to do pre-market clinical trials under 17 industry guidelines even if the FDA doesn't require a 18 510(k) process?</p> <p>19 A Yes, as part of design development under 21 20 C.F.R. 20.</p> <p>21 Q What is the basis for that opinion besides a 22 requirement under 21 C.F.R. Part 20?</p> <p>23 A Well, and also to ensure that they sell a safe 24 and effective product and make sure that it actually</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 285</p> <p>1 I got involved in standards organizations, looking at 2 standards for the FDA, and then after I left FDA, I was 3 a reviewer of voluntary standards for various industry 4 groups.</p> <p>5 Q Can clinical trials of similar products be 6 instructive in evaluating the safety and efficacy 7 profile of a device?</p> <p>8 A Yes.</p> <p>9 Q And so would it be fair to say that while it 10 may be instructive, it would not be appropriate to 11 solely rely on that?</p> <p>12 MR. HUTCHINSON: Objection, leading.</p> <p>13 THE WITNESS: Yeah, if there's significant 14 differences, you have to take into consideration what 15 are the differences and how will they potentially change 16 the safety and effectiveness.</p> <p>17 BY MR. LUNDQUIST:</p> <p>18 Q And what types of differences are you talking 19 about, particularly in the context of the TVT Secur?</p> <p>20 A Well, in terms of the change in the mesh that 21 we went through at 8 centimeter; that you're using 22 Ethisorb as a fixation component, or the Christmas tree 23 formation, which is new -- we haven't talked about 24 that -- to stabilize the mesh; that you're using a new</p>

<p style="text-align: center;">Page 286</p> <p>1 inserter that is sharp as opposed to the other inserter; 2 that you're saying that this device can be used for 3 either a retropubic or a hammock configuration, which 4 was new, that one mesh would be sold for both. I went 5 into some of that.</p> <p>6 Q Okay. So in other words, when you are talking 7 in your designation -- you testified somewhat today, but 8 when you're trying to determine whether a product is 9 safe and effective, separate and apart from looking at 10 clinical data from similar products, you would also look 11 at, if they were done, pre-market clinical studies on a 12 particular product.</p> <p>13 A Right, right. You could also begin looking at 14 the literature, the medical literature, for other 15 products that are similar to what you're considering. 16 So it's not just your own products. You can look at 17 what other -- the history of other products.</p> <p>18 Q And this is the methodology that you employed 19 as a medical officer within the FDA as well, fair?</p> <p>20 MR. HUTCHINSON: Objection, leading.</p> <p>21 THE WITNESS: Well, yes, and this is the 22 methodology that manufacturers employ and the FDA 23 anticipates they will employ when you have them come 24 for -- industry come in for meetings. You ask them,</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 288</p> <p>1 A Yes, it continued on.</p> <p>2 Q So we talked about the various industry 3 standards separate and apart from the FDA regulations 4 and guidance documents that you've utilized, right?</p> <p>5 A Yes.</p> <p>6 Q Okay. Can clinical -- strike that. Can 7 industry standards and guidelines require pre-market 8 clinical trials, even though they don't specifically 9 call out polypropylene transvaginal mesh?</p> <p>10 A Yes, and also the medical literature, the 11 history of the product, devices, all of these things 12 have to be taken into consideration, particularly if 13 you're changing the device.</p> <p>14 Q Why?</p> <p>15 A Because you have to ensure that it's going to 16 work in terms of the patient, it's going to do what it's 17 supposed to do, and there are many new features with 18 this product that needed to be addressed.</p> <p>19 Q So in addition to the testimony you've given 20 as to why you believe Ethicon should have conducted 21 pre-market clinical trials, do you have.... Well, 22 strike that. What is HAS?</p> <p>23 A HAS is a French organization that did a review 24 of the types of studies that needed -- information that</p>
<p style="text-align: center;">Page 287</p> <p>1 "What did you look at?" if they have a meeting, and 2 they'll usually talk about animal data, studies that 3 they've done, other similar studies, industry standards. 4 FDA allows companies to cite certain industry standards. 5 So yeah, that's the typical process around designing a 6 medical device.</p> <p>7 BY MR. LUNDQUIST:</p> <p>8 Q Okay, you told me just a minute ago that 9 industry standards and guidelines informed your 10 methodology and your opinion on whether or not 11 pre-market clinical trials are necessary for the TVT 12 Secur.</p> <p>13 A Well, it's not just that. That would 14 contribute, but also my experience as a physician, and 15 my knowledge and training as to what the potential risks 16 are to human beings. So those would also feed into it.</p> <p>17 Q After leaving the FDA -- well, let me ask you 18 this: While you were at the FDA, were you on these 19 types of industry standards committees?</p> <p>20 A Yes, I was assigned, particularly in the 21 Office of Health Affairs, to be involved in standards 22 committees.</p> <p>23 Q And then after leaving the FDA, were you ever 24 on an industry standards committee?</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 289</p> <p>1 needed to be for pelvic procedures, and I think the name 2 is the French National Authority for Health, HAS.</p> <p>3 Q And that was listed in your reliance list, was 4 it not?</p> <p>5 A Yes.</p> <p>6 Q That's something you relied on in rendering 7 your opinions in this matter?</p> <p>8 A Yes. And you asked about standards also 9 supporting pre-clinical. It was also the company's 10 documents and their own internal discussion that they 11 needed clinical data before they had it launched.</p> <p>12 MR. HUTCHINSON: Move to strike as 13 nonresponsive.</p> <p>14 BY MR. LUNDQUIST:</p> <p>15 Q So we're clear, the HAS is not the FDA 16 equivalent in France; is that fair?</p> <p>17 MR. HUTCHINSON: Objection, leading.</p> <p>18 THE WITNESS: I don't believe it is. Now, let 19 me look. I'm not sure. It could be. I don't want to 20 say it isn't. I'm not sure what their FDA is called. 21 This is their national authority for health. So it may 22 be their FDA equivalent. I know they have a different 23 name, but that may somehow be connected to them.</p> <p>24 // //</p>

<p style="text-align: right;">Page 290</p> <p>1 BY MR. LUNDQUIST:</p> <p>2 Q Just so we're clear -- I think you have</p> <p>3 testified to this -- when you were formulating your</p> <p>4 opinions on whether or not pre-market clinical trials</p> <p>5 were necessary for the TVT-S, you relied on this HAS</p> <p>6 study, did you not?</p> <p>7 MR. HUTCHINSON: Objection, leading.</p> <p>8 THE WITNESS: Yes. There's a HAS study that</p> <p>9 is similar to the NICE study, in that they're talking</p> <p>10 about funding. Their focus is on funding of effective</p> <p>11 procedures. So I believe that's where HAS comes in.</p> <p>12 BY MR. LUNDQUIST:</p> <p>13 Q Okay, well, back up. What is NICE?</p> <p>14 A NICE is a group in the United Kingdom that</p> <p>15 looks at the efficacy of products that are offered for</p> <p>16 treatment, and they get into whether they're going to</p> <p>17 fund it in terms of socialized medicine. So they're</p> <p>18 actually technology-assessment types of organizations.</p> <p>19 Q Oh, there it is, and that's also listed on</p> <p>20 your reliance list as having reviewed this document to</p> <p>21 substantiate these opinions you've given today?</p> <p>22 A Right.</p> <p>23 Q The National -- the National Institute for</p> <p>24 Health Care Excellence?</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 292</p> <p>1 THE WITNESS: Not unless FDA adopts them</p> <p>2 specifically, and then they often oftentimes will issue</p> <p>3 something that they have adopted.</p> <p>4 BY MR. LUNDQUIST:</p> <p>5 Q And the same would be true for the ISO</p> <p>6 standards that you talked about earlier; those are not</p> <p>7 federal regulations?</p> <p>8 A Those are not federal regulations. FDA will</p> <p>9 allow certain ones to be used by manufacturers. FDA</p> <p>10 kind of picks and chooses which consensus documents</p> <p>11 they're going to accept in the standards.</p> <p>12 Q You were asked some questions by counsel about</p> <p>13 your opinions on the types of pre-market trials and</p> <p>14 pre-market data that needed to be done by Ethicon prior</p> <p>15 to marketing its products. Do you recall that?</p> <p>16 A Yes.</p> <p>17 Q Your opinions on the types of trials and the</p> <p>18 types of testing and the types of data that Ethicon</p> <p>19 should have done prior to commercialization are not</p> <p>20 based solely on what you want; is that fair?</p> <p>21 A Well, they're not subjective opinions.</p> <p>22 They're based on the company's documents and also some</p> <p>23 of the issues of safety and effectiveness. The HAS</p> <p>24 document actually talks about the type of information</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Page 291</p> <p>1 A Yes. It's a technology assessment.</p> <p>2 Q The GHTF guidelines that you reviewed, are</p> <p>3 those guidelines still utilized in industry today?</p> <p>4 A Yes, because products are now becoming more</p> <p>5 global, and so the FDA and different regulatory agencies</p> <p>6 are trying to harmonize requirements and the procedures</p> <p>7 and processes that manufacturers do, to try to have some</p> <p>8 overlap, so that there's a consistency with the types of</p> <p>9 requirements for marketing product.</p> <p>10 Q Do you have personal knowledge as to whether</p> <p>11 the industry -- strike that. Do you have personal</p> <p>12 knowledge as to whether industry had any input into the</p> <p>13 formulation of those guidelines?</p> <p>14 A Industry typically does, but it's also through</p> <p>15 the regulatory bodies that are on those different</p> <p>16 panels. So industry does, because they also sit on</p> <p>17 those panels, but the regulatory bodies do, too.</p> <p>18 Q In your consulting work with industry, do you</p> <p>19 utilize the GHTF -- did you utilize the GHTF guidelines?</p> <p>20 A Yes, yes, especially for post-market</p> <p>21 surveillance for devices.</p> <p>22 Q And so we're clear, the GHTF guidelines are</p> <p>23 not federal regulations, are they?</p> <p>24 MR. HUTCHINSON: Objection, leading.</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 293</p> <p>1 that should be obtained. So they're from various</p> <p>2 sources.</p> <p>3 Q So did you -- so essentially, did you rely on</p> <p>4 industry guidelines to inform you what would be an</p> <p>5 appropriate pre-market clinical trial?</p> <p>6 A That would be that, and also company documents</p> <p>7 in terms of what they've done, and also my own training</p> <p>8 and experience looking at similar types of issues for</p> <p>9 the FDA, for clinical trials.</p> <p>10 Q Now, in order to -- in terms of the labeling</p> <p>11 of the IFU in regard to the TVT Secur, in order to use</p> <p>12 the device safely, do you need to know about the</p> <p>13 severity of the complications?</p> <p>14 A The labeling -- yes, the labeling is supposed</p> <p>15 to indicate the severity and the frequency, and it's not</p> <p>16 just the IFU, it's also the marketing. The marketing has</p> <p>17 to be consistent with the potential risks and benefits.</p> <p>18 You can't overstate benefits and not give the risk</p> <p>19 information.</p> <p>20 Q And so we're clear, nowhere in the IFU that</p> <p>21 you looked at today does it talk about severity,</p> <p>22 permanence or frequency of the adverse events, does it?</p> <p>23 A Right, and that's the information you</p> <p>24 originally get cleared, but you get that information as</p>

<p style="text-align: center;">Page 294</p> <p>1 the product is being used, and the label is a living 2 thing. It's supposed to be updated with your 3 post-market information for physicians. They call it 4 the Total Product Life Cycle, TPLC, that the 5 manufacturer updates their labels.</p> <p>6 Q And that type of information is information 7 the investigator would need to know to be able to 8 appropriately -- strike that.</p> <p>9 Whose responsibility is it to ensure that the 10 information is -- adequate information is contained in 11 the instructions for use?</p> <p>12 MR. HUTCHINSON: Objection, form.</p> <p>13 THE WITNESS: The manufacturer.</p> <p>14 BY MR. LUNDQUIST:</p> <p>15 Q You can answer.</p> <p>16 A The manufacturer, according to the Act and 17 implementing regulations.</p> <p>18 Q And who writes the IFU?</p> <p>19 A The manufacturer, and they could update it at 20 any time.</p> <p>21 Q And separate and apart from FDA regulations 22 that you talked about, if a complication is potentially 23 going to be permanent, and that is a foreseeable risk by 24 the manufacturer, should it be included, based on</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 295</p> <p>1 industry guidelines, in the instructions for use?</p> <p>2 A Yes. In terms of adequate warnings, yes.</p> <p>3 Q And the same would be true with respect to 4 severity?</p> <p>5 MR. HUTCHINSON: Objection, leading.</p> <p>6 THE WITNESS: Yes.</p> <p>7 BY MR. LUNDQUIST:</p> <p>8 Q Frequency?</p> <p>9 MR. HUTCHINSON: Leading.</p> <p>10 THE WITNESS: Right. Well, an adequate label 11 is supposed to have that information.</p> <p>12 MR. HUTCHINSON: Move to strike as 13 nonresponsive.</p> <p>14 BY MR. LUNDQUIST:</p> <p>15 Q And with respect to your opinions on labeling, 16 have you ever referred to and used and relied on 17 industry guidelines, separate and apart from the FDA 18 regulations?</p> <p>19 A Yes. That's part of my training. I'm trained 20 in those.</p> <p>21 Q The same would be true for your opinions on 22 post-market surveillance?</p> <p>23 MR. HUTCHINSON: Leading.</p> <p>24 THE WITNESS: Yes.</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 296</p> <p>1 BY MR. LUNDQUIST:</p> <p>2 Q What is the basis of your opinions on 3 post-market surveillance that you've given today?</p> <p>4 A It's particularly having worked in OHA in the 5 post-market surveillance group -- I mean, the 6 post-marketing issues, and having dealt with MDRs and 7 follow-up and looking at adequacy of warnings and 8 labeling. So that was what I was involved in in the 9 first couple years, and then I continued on, since I was 10 first trained in it.</p> <p>11 Q Does the length of.... One of the categories 12 you were designated on is the literature reflecting the 13 problems that surgeons were experiencing with the TVT 14 Secur.</p> <p>15 A That should be added to the list.</p> <p>16 MR. HUTCHINSON: Objection, form.</p> <p>17 BY MR. LUNDQUIST:</p> <p>18 Q And -- well, let's just ask. What is the 19 basis for your opinion that the literature reflected 20 that surgeons were experiencing problems with the TVT 21 Secur?</p> <p>22 A Well, the published literature was, and then 23 the KOLs, people discussing it with the -- it's based on 24 the company documents and information -- where are you?</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 297</p> <p>1 Q It's on page 13, middle of 13.</p> <p>2 A Yes, it would be based on the company 3 documents and also the -- from the physicians, and then 4 you look at the Cochran report. The Cochran report 5 actually did a randomized controlled study and review 6 and set out the potential risks, and that wasn't in the 7 labels. So they actually looked at all the literature 8 for us and said that there were -- needed to be 9 warnings.</p> <p>10 Q Okay, and we're talking about the warnings, 11 the -- obviously, you believe the Instructions for Use 12 was inadequate related to the TVT Secur.</p> <p>13 MR. HUTCHINSON: Objection, leading.</p> <p>14 THE WITNESS: It was inadequate because it 15 wasn't being updated. It first got cleared, and then as 16 more information was coming, particularly in 2007, 2008, 17 that information wasn't being added. Even before it was 18 launched, it wasn't updated, in terms of the first 19 amendment for the design validation. The doctors said, 20 update the IFU. Internally, the company's doctors are 21 saying, update the IFU, the Instructions For Use, and 22 they weren't updating the information.</p> <p>23 So internally, the company was saying it. 24 I think Dan Smith was the one primarily not wanting to</p>
--	---	--	---

<p style="text-align: center;">Page 298</p> <p>1 update the information. There was discussion about 2 needing a "cookbook" to teach the doctors how to put the 3 devices in, and that wasn't being done in terms of the 4 labels, and it was never updated.</p> <p>5 MR. HUTCHINSON: Move to strike as 6 nonresponsive.</p> <p>7 BY MR. LUNDQUIST:</p> <p>8 Q And so the inadequacy of the warning --</p> <p>9 MR. HUTCHINSON: I'm sorry, did you get my 10 objection?</p> <p>11 THE REPORTER: Yes, I did.</p> <p>12 BY MR. LUNDQUIST:</p> <p>13 Q The inadequacy of the warnings that you've 14 talked about today, that's not just based on the FDCA; 15 that's based on these other guidelines and standards 16 that we've talked about. Is that fair?</p> <p>17 MR. HUTCHINSON: Object to form.</p> <p>18 THE WITNESS: Well, it's based on other 19 guidelines and standards. It's also based on my 20 experience as a physician and training as a physician 21 being able to understand what's being reported, in that 22 a physician needs to know that information. And it's 23 further supported by Dr. Miklos.</p> <p>24 // //</p>	<p>1 the medical literature. That's where my training and 2 experience in looking at literature comes from.</p> <p>3 Q Would pre-market clinical trials, if designed 4 appropriately, give us information on frequency of 5 complications?</p> <p>6 A Yes.</p> <p>7 Q How do you know that?</p> <p>8 A Well, especially because the reports were 9 actually coming at 12 weeks in terms of failures. So 10 even a short-term trial, less than a year, would come up 11 with this information.</p> <p>12 Q And would a pre-market clinical trial, if 13 designed appropriately, also give you information on -- 14 strike that.</p> <p>15 But would a pre-market clinical trial, if 16 designed appropriately, give us information on the 17 severity of complications?</p> <p>18 A Yes.</p> <p>19 Q Obviously, that would be before the product 20 was placed on the market.</p> <p>21 A Correct.</p> <p>22 MR. HUTCHINSON: Objection, form.</p> <p>23 THE WITNESS: And then the company proposed to 24 have a registry to give that information, which they</p>
<p style="text-align: center;">Page 299</p> <p>1 BY MR. LUNDQUIST:</p> <p>2 Q So with respect to your opinions on labeling, 3 have you referred to and used and relied on industry 4 guidelines, separate and apart from FDA regulations?</p> <p>5 A Yes.</p> <p>6 Q Okay, and the same --</p> <p>7 A And adequate labels and discussion of adequate 8 labels, yes.</p> <p>9 Q Sure. Same would be true for your opinions on 10 post-market surveillance?</p> <p>11 MR. HUTCHINSON: Objection to form.</p> <p>12 THE WITNESS: Correct, and that would also 13 come under corrective and preventive action, when you're 14 having issues, but that's FDA, again.</p> <p>15 MR. HUTCHINSON: Move to strike as 16 nonresponsive.</p> <p>17 BY MR. LUNDQUIST:</p> <p>18 Q Talking about the literature, would the length 19 of a study play a role in whether or not you can 20 determine if a particular patient might have future 21 complications?</p> <p>22 A Yes, totally, and you have to take that into 23 consideration, is it six weeks versus twelve weeks, or 24 if it's a follow-up. So you have to be able to review</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 301</p> <p>1 didn't follow through. That was -- the TVT world was 2 listening, but they didn't follow up with it.</p> <p>3 MR. HUTCHINSON: Objection, nonresponsive.</p> <p>4 BY MR. LUNDQUIST:</p> <p>5 Q Is it possible for an industry guideline to 6 take into account every single medical device and then 7 give you a list of exactly what type of -- or kind of 8 trial needs to be done?</p> <p>9 MR. HUTCHINSON: Form.</p> <p>10 THE WITNESS: No, no. The company is 11 responsible for their own design. Because there's so 12 many types of devices, so many types of issues, the 13 manufacturer is the expert. The manufacturer has to 14 take into consideration the potential risks. They look 15 at the literature, other similar trials, and they design 16 what they need to look at in terms of their product.</p> <p>17 But no, I don't know of any government agency 18 or industry standard that is specific for all medical 19 device manufacturers, because of the variety of devices.</p> <p>20 MR. LUNDQUIST: Doctor, I think that's all the 21 questions I have. I'll pass the witness.</p> <p>22 MR. HUTCHINSON: Assuming, Cynthia, you don't 23 have any follow-up questions, is that correct? Cynthia 24 Freeman?</p>

<p style="text-align: center;">Page 302</p> <p>1 MS. FREEMAN: Yeah, that's right, I don't have 2 any.</p> <p>3 MR. HUTCHINSON: Okay, I've got just a couple.</p> <p>4 FURTHER EXAMINATION BY MR. HUTCHINSON 5 BY MR. HUTCHINSON:</p> <p>6 Q Dr. Parisian, according to your reliance list, 7 you relied on the GHTF guidelines, correct?</p> <p>8 A Yes, sir.</p> <p>9 Q And these are standards that relate to safety?</p> <p>10 A Yes, sir.</p> <p>11 Q These are standards that apply to Ethicon's 12 products?</p> <p>13 A Worldwide products, yes, sir.</p> <p>14 Q Including TVT Secur?</p> <p>15 A All of them.</p> <p>16 Q And these standards are mandatory for Ethicon 17 to follow, correct?</p> <p>18 A No. They're mandatory for them to consider 19 and then create their own procedures that would be 20 adherent to them wherever they want to market their product.</p> <p>22 Q I asked you earlier about your participation 23 as a subject in a clinical trial. Have you ever 24 designed a clinical trial for any type of gynecological</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 304</p> <p>1 form, counsel?</p> <p>2 MR. LUNDQUIST: It's completely irrelevant.</p> <p>3 THE WITNESS: Well, no, he filed bankruptcy 4 years ago. I don't know, I think like 10 -- 10, 11 5 years ago. I didn't. I don't know anything about it, 6 but I don't know -- I'm not -- the specifics of it.</p> <p>7 BY MR. HUTCHINSON:</p> <p>8 Q How much money -- were you and your husband 9 married back in 2000?</p> <p>10 A Yes, sir.</p> <p>11 Q How much, back in 2000, how much money were 12 you making then, doing litigation services?</p> <p>13 A About -- I don't know, 400,000, 500,000.</p> <p>14 MR. HUTCHINSON: All right, no further 15 questions. Thanks.</p> <p>16 Before everybody goes -- and why don't we stay 17 on the record -- let the record reflect that we have 16 18 exhibits, and what we'll do is, plaintiff's counsel and 19 I will try to make sure we have all of them together, 20 and then we'll get back the record.</p> <p>21 (Discussion off the record.)</p> <p>22 MR. HUTCHINSON: All right, so we're back on 23 the record. Let the record reflect that we have 16 24 exhibits attached to this deposition, and that</p>
<p style="text-align: center;">Page 303</p> <p>1 product?</p> <p>2 A Not for a gynecological product. I was 3 required at FDA to design -- oh, wait a second. That's 4 basically right, in terms of that, because breast cancer 5 is not really gynecological. You were talking about 6 pelvis.</p> <p>7 Q And you have never helped with a clinical 8 trial in any type of urology, correct?</p> <p>9 A That's correct, yes.</p> <p>10 MR. HUTCHINSON: I don't have any further 11 questions. Thank you for your time.</p> <p>12 THE WITNESS: Can I clarify one other thing?</p> <p>13 You asked me about bankruptcy and suits. My husband has 14 filed bankruptcy, and there's been some suits against 15 him, lawsuits against him.</p> <p>16 MR. LUNDQUIST: I don't think he asked you 17 that.</p> <p>18 THE WITNESS: No, but I wanted to clarify for 19 him that I wasn't saying no, but they were my husband's, 20 so I don't know anything about those.</p> <p>21 BY MR. HUTCHINSON:</p> <p>22 Q Well, when did your husband file bankruptcy?</p> <p>23 MR. LUNDQUIST: Form.</p> <p>24 MR. HUTCHINSON: What's the matter with the</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 305</p> <p>1 plaintiff's counsel, and I as counsel for Ethicon, have 2 both looked at the exhibits and believe that they are 3 complete. Is that right?</p> <p>4 MR. LUNDQUIST: That's fair.</p> <p>5 MR. HUTCHINSON: All right, thanks. That's 6 all we have.</p> <p>7 THE REPORTER: Okay.</p> <p>8 MR. HUTCHINSON: Wait, back on the record.</p> <p>9 And let's also make a record that the two big 10 black binders that have tabs in one of them, 1 through 11 69, and 1 through 23 in another one, are going to be 12 given to the court reporter, let him have possession of 13 these for him to make sure that complete and color 14 copies are made, and that he will be responsible for 15 submitting them directly to the witness. Okay? Thank you.</p> <p>17 (Deposition concluded at 4:20 p.m.)</p> <p>18 ---00---</p> <p>19</p> <p>20</p> <p>21</p> <p>22</p> <p>23</p> <p>24</p>

<p style="text-align: center;">Page 306</p> <p>1 C E R T I F I C A T E</p> <p>2</p> <p>3 I, LEO T. MANKIEWICZ, CR, a Certified</p> <p>4 Reporter in the State of Arizona, do hereby certify that</p> <p>5 an oath was duly administered to the witness Suzanne</p> <p>6 Parisian, M.D., pursuant to A.R.S. §41-324(B) and that</p> <p>7 the foregoing pages constitute a full, true, and</p> <p>8 accurate transcript of the proceedings had in the</p> <p>9 foregoing matter, all done to the best of my skill and</p> <p>10 ability.</p> <p>11 The witness herein, Suzanne Parisian,</p> <p>12 M.D., has requested signature.</p> <p>13 SIGNED and dated this 20th day of</p> <p>14 February, 2015.</p> <p>15</p> <p>16</p> <p>17</p> <p>18 _____ LEO T. MANKIEWICZ, CR, RMR, CRR</p> <p>19 Certified Reporter</p> <p>20 Certificate No. 50778</p> <p>21</p> <p>22</p> <p>23</p> <p>24</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 308</p> <p>1 -----</p> <p>2 E R R A T A</p> <p>3 -----</p> <p>4 P A G E L I N E C H A N G E</p> <p>5 REASON: _____</p> <p>6 _____</p> <p>7 REASON: _____</p> <p>8 _____</p> <p>9 REASON: _____</p> <p>10 _____</p> <p>11 REASON: _____</p> <p>12 _____</p> <p>13 REASON: _____</p> <p>14 _____</p> <p>15 REASON: _____</p> <p>16 _____</p> <p>17 REASON: _____</p> <p>18 _____</p> <p>19 REASON: _____</p> <p>20 _____</p> <p>21 REASON: _____</p> <p>22 _____</p> <p>23 REASON: _____</p> <p>24 _____</p>
<p style="text-align: center;">Page 307</p> <p>1 I N S T R U C T I O N S T O W I T N E S S</p> <p>2</p> <p>3 Please read your deposition</p> <p>4 over carefully and make any necessary</p> <p>5 corrections. You should state the reason</p> <p>6 in the appropriate space on the errata</p> <p>7 sheet for any corrections that are made.</p> <p>8 After doing so, please sign</p> <p>9 the errata sheet and date it. It will be</p> <p>10 attached to your deposition.</p> <p>11 It is imperative that you</p> <p>12 return the original errata sheet to the</p> <p>13 deposing attorney within thirty (30) days</p> <p>14 of receipt of the deposition transcript</p> <p>15 by you. If you fail to do so, the</p> <p>16 deposition transcript may be deemed to be</p> <p>17 accurate and may be used in court.</p> <p>18</p> <p>19</p> <p>20</p> <p>21</p> <p>22</p> <p>23</p> <p>24</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 309</p> <p>1 A C K N O W L E D G M E N T O F D E P O N E N T</p> <p>2</p> <p>3 I, _____, do</p> <p>4 hereby certify that I have read the</p> <p>5 foregoing pages, and that the same</p> <p>6 is a correct transcription of the answers</p> <p>7 given by me to the questions therein</p> <p>8 propounded, except for the corrections or</p> <p>9 changes in form or substance, if any,</p> <p>10 noted in the attached Errata Sheet.</p> <p>11</p> <p>12</p> <p>13</p> <p>14</p> <p>15 Subscribed and sworn</p> <p>16 to before me this</p> <p>17 _____ day of _____, 20 _____. 18</p> <p>19 My commission expires: _____</p> <p>20</p> <p>21</p> <p>22</p> <p>23</p> <p>24</p>

Page 310

1 LAWYER'S NOTES

2 PAGE LINE

3 _____

4 _____

5 _____

6 _____

7 _____

8 _____

9 _____

10 _____

11 _____

12 _____

13 _____

14 _____

15 _____

16 _____

17 _____

18 _____

19 _____

20 _____

21 _____

22 _____

23 _____

24 _____

A	209:13 221:11 223:3 234:6 235:11 237:8 294:16 **action** 79:12 228:21 233:6 237:3 299:13 **ability** 55:15 57:9 306:10 **able** 51:20 57:6 59:3 146:5,8 157:7 180:20 180:22 283:6 294:7 298:21 299:24 **absorbable** 15:8 **absorbed** 228:19 **abstract** 77:13 **abstracts** 77:14 **accept** 21:7 76:19 199:7 227:10 292:11 **acceptable** 52:1 54:21 89:10 144:13 145:5 242:6 **accepted** 12:18 111:10 **access** 106:13 282:17,24 **accessed** 252:16 **accessing** 268:23 269:6 **account** 301:6 **accuracy** 112:24 223:19 **accurate** 84:17 90:19 196:22 224:2 306:8 307:17 **acknowledgm...** 309:1 **acog** 268:9,11,11 **acquired** 17:14 **act** 49:1 89:20 111:12 193:24	165:1 167:18 169:4,12,13 196:22 233:1 234:7 235:13 282:3,10 294:10 295:2 295:10 299:7,7 **actions** 40:13 228:15 **active** 10:14,15 **actively** 233:8 233:10 **actual** 124:17,19 **add** 30:23 58:23 239:6 265:6 **added** 209:15 296:15 297:17 **adding** 57:23 179:11,21 188:1 **addition** 265:8 288:19 **additional** 146:4 219:20 223:13 278:18 **address** 48:23 60:20 62:9 184:3,7,10 186:22 219:19 223:9 **addressed** 56:1 58:10 60:3 61:22 137:19 215:13 288:18 **addressing** 56:3 **adequacy** 46:10 48:15 67:8 159:15 171:9 296:7 **adequate** 47:17 47:19 62:21 63:10 64:5,6,6 64:13,14 84:7 84:12 143:7 144:7 158:16 164:10,13,21	28:18,24 14:23 252:12 **advertising** 196:22 233:1 234:7 235:13 282:3,10 294:10 295:2 295:10 299:7,7 **adequately** 60:3 67:2 138:10,14 139:2,5 169:16 243:19 244:22 246:8 247:4 **adherent** 302:20 **adhesion** 55:15 111:16 **adhesions** 43:10 306:5 **administration** 5:15,18,21 6:5 6:13,19 7:4 184:23 **administrative** 108:24 203:19 **adopted** 292:3 **adopts** 292:1 **adulterate** 221:24 234:13 **adulterated** 78:4 80:8,19 89:20 **address** 48:23 60:20 62:9 184:3,7,10 186:22 219:19 223:9 **addressed** 56:1 58:10 60:3 61:22 137:19 215:13 288:18 **addressing** 56:3 **adequacy** 46:10 48:15 67:8 159:15 171:9 296:7 **adequate** 47:17 47:19 62:21 63:10 64:5,6,6 64:13,14 84:7 84:12 143:7 144:7 158:16 164:10,13,21 **advertis...** 28:18,24 **advertising** 14:23 252:12 **advisory** 109:6 267:16,18,19 273:2 **affairs** 40:6 107:19,21 287:21 **afternoon** 281:3 281:4 **agencies** 291:5 **agency** 301:17 **ago** 12:2,3,6 17:6 22:24 23:1,6,7,9,9 28:4 41:2 106:2 230:12 242:9 287:8 304:4,5 **agree** 108:23 114:2,5,5,8 115:18 162:14 182:17 183:1,3 **adopted** 292:3 **adopts** 292:1 **adulterate** 194:8 200:20 201:4,7 204:13 **adulterated** 78:4 80:8,19 89:20 **address** 48:23 60:20 62:9 184:3,7,10 186:22 219:19 223:9 **addressed** 56:1 58:10 60:3 61:22 137:19 215:13 288:18 **addressing** 56:3 **adequacy** 46:10 48:15 67:8 159:15 171:9 296:7 **adequate** 47:17 47:19 62:21 63:10 64:5,6,6 64:13,14 84:7 84:12 143:7 144:7 158:16 164:10,13,21 **advertis...** 28:18,24 **advertising** 14:23 252:12 **advisory** 109:6 267:16,18,19 273:2 **affairs** 40:6 107:19,21 287:21 **afternoon** 281:3 281:4 **agencies** 291:5 **agency** 301:17 **ago** 12:2,3,6 17:6 22:24 23:1,6,7,9,9 28:4 41:2 106:2 230:12 242:9 287:8 304:4,5 **agree** 108:23 114:2,5,5,8 115:18 162:14 182:17 183:1,3 **adopted** 292:3 **adopts** 292:1 **adulterate** 194:8 200:20 201:4,7 204:13 **adulterated** 78:4 80:8,19 89:20 **address** 48:23 60:20 62:9 184:3,7,10 186:22 219:19 223:9 **addressed** 56:1 58:10 60:3 61:22 137:19 215:13 288:18 **addressing** 56:3 **adequacy** 46:10 48:15 67:8 159:15 171:9 296:7 **adequate** 47:17 47:19 62:21 63:10 64:5,6,6 64:13,14 84:7 84:12 143:7 144:7 158:16 164:10,13,21 **advertis...** 28:18,24 **advertising** 14:23 252:12 **advisory** 109:6 267:16,18,19 273:2 **affairs** 40:6 107:19,21 287:21 **afternoon** 281:3 281:4 **agencies** 291:5 **agency** 301:17 **ago** 12:2,3,6 17:6 22:24 23:1,6,7,9,9 28:4 41:2 106:2 230:12 242:9 287:8 304:4,5 **agree** 108:23 114:2,5,5,8 115:18 162:14 182:17 183:1,3 **adopted** 292:3 **adopts** 292:1 **adulterate** 194:8 200:20 201:4,7 204:13 **adulterated** 78:4 80:8,19 89:20 **address** 48:23 60:20 62:9 184:3,7,10 186:22 219:19 223:9 **addressed** 56:1 58:10 60:3 61:22 137:19 215:13 288:18 **addressing** 56:3 **adequacy** 46:10 48:15 67:8 159:15 171:9 296:7 **adequate** 47:17 47:19 62:21 63:10 64:5,6,6 64:13,14 84:7 84:12 143:7 144:7 158:16 164:10,13,21 **advertis...** 28:18,24 **advertising** 14:23 252:12 **advisory** 109:6 267:16,18,19 273:2 **affairs** 40:6 107:19,21 287:21 **afternoon** 281:3 281:4 **agencies** 291:5 **agency** 301:17 **ago** 12:2,3,6 17:6 22:24 23:1,6,7,9,9 28:4 41:2 106:2 230:12 242:9 287:8 304:4,5 **agree** 108:23 114:2,5,5,8 115:18 162:14 182:17 183:1,3 **adopted** 292:3 **adopts** 292:1 **adulterate** 194:8 200:20 201:4,7 204:13 **adulterated** 78:4 80:8,19 89:20 **address** 48:23 60:20 62:9 184:3,7,10 186:22 219:19 223:9 **addressed** 56:1 58:10 60:3 61:22 137:19 215:13 288:18 **addressing** 56:3 **adequacy** 46:10 48:15 67:8 159:15 171:9 296:7 **adequate** 47:17 47:19 62:21 63:10 64:5,6,6 64:13,14 84:7 84:12 143:7 144:7 158:16 164:10,13,21 **advertis...** 28:18,24 **advertising** 14:23 252:12 **advisory** 109:6 267:16,18,19 273:2 **affairs** 40:6 107:19,21 287:21 **afternoon** 281:3 281:4 **agencies** 291:5 **agency** 301:17 **ago** 12:2,3,6 17:6 22:24 23:1,6,7,9,9 28:4 41:2 106:2 230:12 242:9 287:8 304:4,5 **agree** 108:23 114:2,5,5,8 115:18 162:14 182:17 183:1,3 **adopted** 292:3 **adopts** 292:1 **adulterate** 194:8 200:20 201:4,7 204:13 **adulterated** 78:4 80:8,19 89:20 **address** 48:23 60:20 62:9 184:3,7,10 186:22 219:19 223:9 **addressed** 56:1 58:10 60:3 61:22 137:19 215:13 288:18 **addressing** 56:3 **adequacy** 46:10 48:15 67:8 159:15 171:9 296:7 **adequate** 47:17 47:19 62:21 63:10 64:5,6,6 64:13,14 84:7 84:12 143:7 144:7 158:16 164:10,13,21 **advertis...** 28:18,24 **advertising** 14:23 252:12 **advisory** 109:6 267:16,18,19 273:2 **affairs** 40:6 107:19,21 287:21 **afternoon** 281:3 281:4 **agencies** 291:5 **agency** 301:17 **ago** 12:2,3,6 17:6 22:24 23:1,6,7,9,9 28:4 41:2 106:2 230:12 242:9 287:8 304:4,5 **agree** 108:23 114:2,5,5,8 115:18 162:14 182:17 183:1,3 **adopted** 292:3 **adopts** 292:1 **adulterate** 194:8 200:20 201:4,7 204:13 **adulterated** 78:4 80:8,19 89:20 **address** 48:23 60:20 62:9 184:3,7,10 186:22 219:19 223:9 **addressed** 56:1 58:10 60:3 61:22 137:19 215:13 288:18 **addressing** 56:3 **adequacy** 46:10 48:15 67:8 159:15 171:9 296:7 **adequate** 47:17 47:19 62:21 63:10 64:5,6,6 64:13,14 84:7 84:12 143:7 144:7 158:16 164:10,13,21 **advertis...** 28:18,24 **advertising** 14:23 252:12 **advisory** 109:6 267:16,18,19 273:2 **affairs** 40:6 107:19,21 287:21 **afternoon** 281:3 281:4 **agencies** 291:5 **agency** 301:17 **ago** 12:2,3,6 17:6 22:24 23:1,6,7,9,9 28:4 41:2 106:2 230:12 242:9 287:8 304:4,5 **agree** 108:23 114:2,5,5,8 115:18 162:14 182:17 183:1,3 **adopted** 292:3 **adopts** 292:1 **adulterate** 194:8 200:20 201:4,7 204:13 **adulterated** 78:4 80:8,19 89:20 **address** 48:23 60:20 62:9 184:3,7,10 186:22 219:19 223:9 **addressed** 56:1 58:10 60:3 61:22 137:19 215:13 288:18 **addressing** 56:3 **adequacy** 46:10 48:15 67:8 159:15 171:9 296:7 **adequate** 47:17 47:19 62:21 63:10 64:5,6,6 64:13,14 84:7 84:12 143:7 144:7 158:16 164:10,13,21 **advertis...** 28:18,24 **advertising** 14:23 252:12 **advisory** 109:6 267:16,18,19 273:2 **affairs** 40:6 107:19,21 287:21 **afternoon** 281:3 281:4 **agencies** 291:5 **agency** 301:17 **ago** 12:2,3,6 17:6 22:24 23:1,6,7,9,9 28:4 41:2 106:2 230:12 242:9 287:8 304:4,5 **agree** 108:23 114:2,5,5,8 115:18 162:14 182:17 183:1,3 **adopted** 292:3 **adopts** 292:1 **adulterate** 194:8 200:20 201:4,7 204:13 **adulterated** 78:4 80:8,19 89:20 **address** 48:23 60:20 62:9 184:3,7,10 186:22 219:19 223:9 **addressed** 56:1 58:10 60:3 61:22 137:19 215:13 288:18 **addressing** 56:3 **adequacy** 46:10 48:15 67:8 159:15 171:9 296:7 **adequate** 47:17 47:19 62:21 63:10 64:5,6,6 64:13,14 84:7 84:12 143:7 144:7 158:16 164:10,13,21 **advertis...** 28:18,24 **advertising** 14:23 252:12 **advisory** 109:6 267:16,18,19 273:2 **affairs** 40:6 107:19,21 287:21 **afternoon** 281:3 281:4 **agencies** 291:5 **agency** 301:17 **ago** 12:2,3,6 17:6 22:24 23:1,6,7,9,9 28:4 41:2 106:2 230:12 242:9 287:8 304:4,5 **agree** 108:23 114:2,5,5,8 115:18 162:14 182:17 183:1,3 **adopted** 292:3 **adopts** 292:1 **adulterate** 194:8 200:20 201:4,7 204:13 **adulterated** 78:4 80:8,19 89:20 **address** 48:23 60:20 62:9 184:3,7,10 186:22 219:19 223:9 **addressed** 56:1 58:10 60:3 61:22 137:19 215:13 288:18 **addressing** 56:3 **adequacy** 46:10 48:15 67:8 159:15 171:9 296:7 **adequate** 47:17 47:19 62:21 63:10 64:5,6,6 64:13,14 84:7 84:12 143:7 144:7 158:16 164:10,13,21 **advertis...** 28:18,24 **advertising** 14:23 252:12 **advisory** 109:6 267:16,18,19 273:2 **affairs** 40:6 107:19,21 287:21 **afternoon** 281:3 281:4 **agencies** 291:5 **agency** 301:17 **ago** 12:2,3,6 17:6 22:24 23:1,6,7,9,9 28:4 41:2 106:2 230:12 242:9 287:8 304:4,5 **agree** 108:23 114:2,5,5,8 115:18 162:14 182:17 183:1,3 **adopted** 292:3 **adopts** 292:1 **adulterate** 194:8 200:20 201:4,7 204:13 **adulterated** 78:4 80:8,19 89:20 **address** 48:23 60:20 62:9 184:3,7,10 186:22 219:19 223:9 **addressed** 56:1 58:10 60:3 61:22 137:19 215:13 288:18 **addressing** 56:3 **adequacy** 46:10 48:15 67:8 159:15 171:9 296:7 **adequate** 47:17 47:19 62:21 63:10 64:5,6,6 64:13,14 84:7 84:12 143:7 144:7 158:16 164:10,13,21 **advertis...** 28:18,24 **advertising** 14:23 252:12 **advisory** 109:6 267:16,18,19 273:2 **affairs** 40:6 107:19,21 287:21 **afternoon** 281:3 281:4 **agencies** 291:5 **agency** 301:17 **ago** 12:2,3,6 17:6 22:24 23:1,6,7,9,9 28:4 41:2 106:2 230:12 242:9 287:8 304:4,5 **agree** 108:23 114:2,5,5,8 115:18 162:14 182:17 183:1,3 **adopted** 292:3 **adopts** 292:1 **adulterate** 194:8 200:20 201:4,7 204:13 **adulterated** 78:4 80:8,19 89:20 **address** 48:23 60:20 62:9 184:3,7,10 186:22 219:19 223:9 **addressed** 56:1 58:10 60:3 61:22 137:19 215:13 288:18 **addressing** 56:3 **adequacy** 46:10 48:15 67:8 159:15 171:9 296:7 **adequate** 47:17 47:19 62:21 63:10 64:5,6,6 64:13,14 84:7 84:12 143:7 144:7 158:16 164:10,13,21 **advertis...** 28:18,24 **advertising** 14:23 252:12 **advisory** 109:6 267:16,18,19 273:2 **affairs** 40:6 107:19,21 287:21 **afternoon** 281:3 281:4 **agencies** 291:5 **agency** 301:17 **ago** 12:2,3,6 17:6 22:24 23:1,6,7,9,9 28:4 41:2 106:2 230:12 242:9 287:8 304:4,5 **agree** 108:23 114:2,5,5,8 115:18 162:14 182:17 183:1,3 **adopted** 292:3 **adopts** 292:1 **adulterate** 194:8 200:20 201:4,7 204:13 **adulterated** 78:4 80:8,19 89:20 **address** 48:23 60:20 62:9 184:3,7,10 186:22 219:19 223:9 **addressed** 56:1 58:10 60:3 61:22 137:19 215:13 288:18 **addressing** 56:3 **adequacy** 46:10 48:15 67:8 159:15 171:9 296:7 **adequate** 47:17 47:19 62:21 63:10 64:5,6,6 64:13,14 84:7 84:12 143:7 144:7 158:16 164:10,13,21 **advertis...** 28:18,24 **advertising** 14:23 252:12 **advisory** 109:6 267:16,18,19 273:2 **affairs** 40:6 107:19,21 287:21 **afternoon** 281:3 281:4 **agencies** 291:5 **agency** 301:17 **ago** 12:2,3,6 17:6 22:24 23:1,6,7,9,9 28:4 41:2 106:2 230:12 242:9 287:8 304:4,5 **agree** 108:23 114:2,5,5,8 115:18 162:14 182:17 183:1,3 **adopted** 292:3 **adopts** 292:1 **adulterate** 194:8 200:20 201:4,7 204:13 **adulterated** 78:4 80:8,19 89:20 **address** 48:23 60:20 62:9 184:3,7,10 186:22 219:19 223:9 **addressed** 56:1 58:10 60:3 61:22 137:19 215:13 288:18 **addressing** 56:3 **adequacy** 46:10 48:15 67:8 159:15 171:9 296:7 **adequate** 47:17 47:19 62:21 63:10 64:5,6,6 64:13,14 84:7 84:12 143:7 144:7 158:16 164:10,13,21 **advertis...** 28:18,24 **advertising** 14:23 252:12 **advisory** 109:6 267:16,18,19 273:2 **affairs** 40:6 107:19,21 287:21 **afternoon** 281:3 281:4 **agencies** 291:5 **agency** 301:17 **ago** 12:2,3,6 17:6 22:24 23:1,6,7,9,9 28:4 41:2 106:2 230:12 242:9 287:8 304:4,5 **agree** 108:23 114:2,5,5

animal 55:18 94:5 99:8,14 117:8 267:13 287:2	44:2 applies 150:16 apply 84:13 183:2,10 193:4	april 6:6 190:24 arbitrarily 54:20 area 35:3,4,8 261:1 302:11	127:24 128:1 129:3,12,21,22 134:16 135:12 135:13 142:5 155:16 159:24	94:20 301:22 assurance 46:3 46:9 47:14,23 79:21 89:19 116:7 186:17 187:7,22 188:10
announcement 175:10	appreciate 61:12 63:12 85:10 121:14 282:22	arent 11:18 32:9 94:10 127:10 210:8 argue 148:17	173:11 174:3,3 176:18 182:22 197:16,17 206:1 214:9	assured 188:5 attach 55:15 attached 304:24 307:10 309:6
answer 50:22 51:9 59:1 60:16 114:16 159:12 176:19 179:16 208:22 212:7 235:5 236:7 243:6 261:21 269:20 278:7 294:15	appropriate 54:5 135:22 152:14 157:21 230:10 282:2 285:10 293:5 307:6	argument 227:8 arizona 1:15,23 2:11,13 279:5 306:4 artibani 58:16 63:21 64:21	235:7 254:19 254:20 260:10 260:12 261:17 264:21 269:9 269:19,20 272:10 273:20 274:19	attachment 53:16 attachments 7:6 attempt 114:7,8 184:6
answered 64:18 245:22	appropriately 243:1 244:22 294:8 300:4,13 300:16	article 63:23 65:5,15,16,17 65:21 112:10	aspect 53:23 assessment 35:10 36:5 37:9 59:4	attention 139:23 190:3
answering 64:16 137:11 138:21 159:7,8 164:6 244:3	approval 6:6 7:5 188:16 191:7 191:12 193:8,9	articles 14:19,20 62:22 articulating 69:13	171:24 172:1 173:9 291:1	attorneys 22:15 29:5,6 252:17 252:20
answers 309:4	194:6,18 196:9	aside 199:18	assessments	augs 7:8 268:14 270:18
antibiotics 72:6	216:6 229:16	asked 13:5 21:6	40:4,11,17,18 40:22 107:10	august 24:4,14 77:11 113:16 113:17 213:5
anticipate 27:19	234:10	approve 108:9	287:20	austr 87:5
anticipates 286:23	108:15,21	21:7,10 27:22	assist 31:3	australia 69:24 71:16 75:14,16
anticoagulation 151:17	162:8,19	27:23 85:18	210:21 249:4	75:17 79:20,23
antiincontine... 272:16	approved	91:22 109:1	249:20 250:11	81:19 86:21,22
anybody 10:13 24:19,19 25:1 114:1 247:9,16	108:22 163:23	112:6 113:11	250:14,19,23	136:12
anymore 28:16 210:13,24 211:6,11,21	190:23 191:4	116:9 120:17	assistance	australian 75:15 76:23 86:19
anyway 122:21	191:16 195:16	177:21 178:1,1	210:20	87:10 241:1
apart 286:9 288:3 294:21 295:17 299:4	197:20 198:6	238:12 245:2	assisted 30:7	254:11 255:19
appear 29:19	198:13 207:24	257:1 267:20	100:4	australians
appearances 3:1	208:5,8,16	278:17 289:8	associated 36:6	81:20
application 209:16	218:16,22	292:12 302:22	37:10,11 42:21	authorities
applications 42:15 43:24	226:17 229:15	303:13,16	61:18 121:9	41:15 183:22
	229:17 250:15	asking 19:18	associates 97:4	authority 14:18
	250:16 271:19	35:16 50:21	association 36:9	108:9,14,20
	275:22	51:11,14 63:8	36:17 92:17,22	289:2,21
	approves 192:12	63:9 64:20	97:4,5 270:18	
	approving	66:11 74:14,14	assume 9:2	
	108:16 162:21	77:7 78:22	assumed 237:7	
	226:10 230:3	88:7,7 95:23	assuming 35:16	
	approximately	100:20,21		authors 65:3
	4:22 10:10	102:9,10 107:6		
		107:6,12,14		

available 31:9 31:11,14 131:17 204:7	304:9,11,20,22 305:8	294:24 296:23 297:2 298:14 background 17:13 38:3	12:15 15:9 17:24 21:20 24:4 26:10,11	bent 71:8,9 best 27:1 38:19 232:1 306:9
average 282:18 283:12	81:4 95:16	298:15,18,19 84:22,24 85:1	35:1 36:5,14	better 56:8 144:23 177:7
avtex 98:21	188:20 203:18	85:4,5,12	37:9,11 38:14	177:10,15
aware 10:15 43:16,20 59:23 62:5 70:17 73:6 78:2 89:2 95:2 113:13 119:22,23,24 120:8,12,13 121:10,19 144:6 161:5,14 170:11 173:21 181:2 226:20 233:23 260:2 275:21	203:20 bad 41:20 57:13 133:5	281:22 basic 183:21 basically 25:12 ban 268:21,24 31:15 38:3 269:4,23	39:18 43:23 46:23 54:18 58:2 66:8 68:9 72:3 88:10	178:4,8 180:18 180:21 181:1 259:5 265:23
awareness 185:19	278:23 303:13 303:14,22 304:3	63:22 65:2 80:14 83:8 115:11 185:18 185:24 187:5,5	109:24 113:14 113:16 115:22 120:16 122:17 128:16 143:3,4	big 11:1,6 58:14 153:14 241:2 258:2 305:9
awful 29:6	banned 269:10 bard 9:23 116:19	209:2 229:24 256:24 303:4	158:18 160:1 166:24 172:11 172:14 173:14	bill 30:19,21 billed 14:16 billing 14:14 24:5 26:11 249:19
	bards 116:19 based 27:9 54:21 58:20 59:17 60:20	80:14 83:8 115:11 185:18 185:24 187:5,5	175:3 176:14 177:7 181:4,23 189:18 199:9	bills 24:5 28:13 binder 14:24 binders 4:20 13:21 305:10
	90:17 118:22 142:11 150:8 164:21 168:9	154:5 168:23 170:20 283:21 296:2,19	200:15 201:2 212:8 214:2 245:10 246:19	biochem 229:14 biocompatibil... 101:4 116:22 117:5 284:18
	169:19 172:21 173:17 174:6	bates 5:10,12 6:7,16,21,24 7:6	250:22 267:8 267:18 268:17	biologics 5:19 biology 18:9 biomaterial 94:8
back 17:5 30:13 44:18 46:20 69:17 70:17,18 70:23 71:11 83:11 86:2 92:5 98:16,20 100:10 102:23 106:21 110:19 129:11 152:10 153:22 165:17 165:18 166:13 185:6 192:17 197:4 199:15 218:18 229:5 229:21 230:12 237:9,12 249:24 252:10 252:11 275:13 277:18 290:13	178:20 179:10 181:14 187:12 188:13 189:12 189:14 195:11 195:23 197:10 198:21 201:8 204:1 205:10 209:22 213:4 213:24 215:8 217:23 219:17 220:15,22,23 226:15 227:10 229:13 240:19 241:6 245:15 245:23 246:10 246:12,21 253:17 282:6 282:13,21 292:20,22	bathroom 91:22 bearing 4:21 5:6 5:10,12 6:7,16 6:21,24 7:6 becoming 291:4 began 18:21 110:23 164:23 284:24	268:20 278:18 288:20 289:18 290:11 297:11 305:2	biomechanical 102:2 biostatistician 93:12 biostats 93:13 bit 68:7 82:4 230:12 black 13:21 15:24 16:12 31:17,18 270:6 270:8 305:10
	beginning 39:4 62:8 74:4	beginning 39:4 62:8 74:4	33:10,11	bladder 57:20 57:21 139:11
	128:17 159:22 168:11 259:4	begins 78:12 129:11	45:5 63:13 81:24 157:1,3 158:16 179:9 282:4,11 284:2	140:22 141:6
	behalf 2:10 203:3,5	begun 97:12 284:6	132:8 284:10 293:17,18	141:10,13
	behavioral 94:9	benefits 126:3 126:20 130:10	blamed 83:8 blank 5:13 blanket 253:16	
	beings 75:20 287:16	benjamin 6:19 216:15		

block 70:13 87:1	17:2,14 24:10	48:21 59:4	241:20	10:15,16,20,22
blogs 277:1	86:2 105:18	64:13 68:17	capacity 10:7	11:12,19,22
blood 141:21	111:10 123:13	69:20 71:22	capas 89:18	28:8 119:12,20
board 76:2 79:6	234:17	79:15 80:15	caption 4:21 5:6	246:22 254:11
79:21	bringing 98:8	84:12 87:14	captured 71:17	254:12 279:16
boardcertified	brings 218:1	89:20 116:19	266:11	categories
93:2 94:19	broad 74:14	122:20 158:17	cardiologist	296:11
bodies 54:21	brochure 5:12	164:4,9,10,11	100:13	categorized
291:15,17	83:24,24 84:2	169:24 234:14	care 35:17,19	151:20
body 99:19,22	84:6 120:15	283:20,22	37:1 127:14	caught 20:23
100:1 101:4,7	122:23 123:4,5	306:1,1	130:20 131:22	causation 34:22
104:6 191:14	125:9 165:3,5	cadaver 99:7,13	139:9 149:14	35:1,8 36:2,8
boil 38:19,21	165:6,21	cadavers 99:10	290:24	36:12,20,24
bold 271:9	255:24 256:5	calculations	37:4 253:13	
272:21	256:13,19	27:14	carefully 307:4	
bone 43:22	257:6,8,14,19	call 13:15 36:7	carl 7:4	
57:18,18	258:6,23 259:1	37:10 45:24	carried 107:7,15	
141:20 200:24	259:5,17,21,24	152:22 165:18	229:15	
book 45:24,24	260:14 261:11	166:6 194:3	118:2 146:9	
46:8 63:3,14	261:18 262:3	212:6 267:15	case 4:21 5:6	205:12
83:24 194:13	262:10 263:18	288:9 294:3	8:15 9:6,15,17	caused 35:23
210:3,3,22	263:19 264:23	called 8:6 13:22	9:20 10:11,24	37:5 173:2
211:11,19,21	265:2,19	13:23 21:1,16	11:5,7 12:12	253:1
276:11,14	brought 13:13	27:11 33:22	12:12,12,18,20	caveat 17:13
277:4,5,24	13:15 14:6	38:16 49:21	12:21 14:2	cder 110:21
278:5	15:9,11,13,15	79:5 111:7,7	16:24 17:11	cdrh 107:21
books 210:12	27:10 28:21,23	114:20 209:16	124:2,10 192:1	
211:2,8 256:5	122:13,18	210:3 241:19	194:18 228:11	
boston 10:1	278:6	259:24 276:11	ceiling 242:5	
177:16	bullet 145:3,11	289:20	cell 21:1 106:20	
botox 123:17	145:12	calling 152:22	106:21,22,23	
bottom 41:4	bunch 14:1	cameron 1:7	107:2,3,4,7,9	
45:12 49:3	buried 29:4	cancer 303:4	cells 104:11	
204:3,20 207:2	burlington	cant 17:14 79:14	center 5:18,18	
207:4,5	108:3	79:15 82:19	203:13	
bound 230:24	burst 224:16	134:18 156:22	centimeter	
bowel 57:18,19	business 133:1	167:9 206:12	285:21	
bowels 139:11	210:16,19	221:24,24	centimeters	
break 91:23	busy 249:15	222:17 226:18	49:22 54:16,17	
165:12,15	butler 3:10	235:6,21 236:2	54:20 58:5	
166:23 252:7	buy 21:2 106:17	236:7,23	136:17	
252:11 277:15	buying 20:22	244:16 247:23	cer 56:11,15	
277:19	<hr/>	247:24 253:12	58:19	
breaking 248:17	C	253:16,17	certain 81:19	
breast 303:4	c 8:3 9:23 35:10	255:2 293:18	104:11 135:21	
bring 13:7,8,9	40:16 47:19	capa 56:4 81:17	185:14 193:2,2	
			193:4 212:15	

231:1 236:3,5	309:5	clark 3:3	164:23 174:11	303:7
284:2 287:4	changing 175:9	class 196:2,6,7,8	187:21 188:9	clinically 204:24
292:9	288:13	196:12,15	195:13 197:3	205:20
certainly 167:19	characterize	198:17 199:7	199:6 208:9	clinician 108:21
281:5 282:21	224:15	200:6,14	213:5 214:3,3	159:1
certainty 34:19	charge 26:9,11	201:16 230:22	214:23 217:7	clip 270:8
35:22	26:13,14	classes 106:12	217:14,16,21	clips 270:6
certificate 1:24	charged 279:24	classification	218:1,8 220:22	clock 112:12
2:14 306:19	charges 234:17	6:10 186:18	220:23,24	closed 90:23
certification	chief 111:8	199:4,5	223:16 227:2,3	91:1
62:4 138:13	children 44:13	classified 186:15	230:14,20	closet 278:11
certified 1:23	childrens 12:16	198:16 200:14	231:23 238:20	cochran 169:1,2
2:13 306:3,19	chinese 83:6	201:15 207:14	238:22 250:17	297:4,4
certify 306:4	choice 86:1	230:23	250:17 284:3	code 215:15
309:3	chooses 292:10	classifying	293:24 297:15	216:8,10,14,21
cervical 18:10	choosing 156:12	200:5	clearing 162:22	216:22,24
cfr 6:9	157:4	clawater 3:6	163:8,10,11	codman 15:3
chad 3:11 8:14	chose 54:20 99:5	clean 17:18	215:7	colleagues 107:7
74:13	193:4 237:19	115:13	clearly 273:11	107:14
chain 108:13	241:6	clear 13:12	clinical 12:1	collective 4:19
challenges 88:11	chosen 136:17	20:14 36:20	35:18,19 42:21	16:12
chance 31:16	christmas	74:19 127:17	42:23 44:4,23	college 268:7
39:11 139:10	285:22	152:8 174:19	53:1 58:15,18	colony 3:10
249:11	chronic 154:24	178:11 201:4	58:19,23 67:24	color 305:13
chances 131:18	158:10 266:10	204:15 206:6	68:1,12 69:6	colors 270:5
change 51:18	circulation	229:23,24	75:8 86:7	com 28:18
53:19 54:1	211:21,24	231:11,17	88:18 91:1	combined
57:1 60:4	212:3	289:15 290:2	93:5 95:16	151:12,24
154:24 185:20	circumstances	291:22 293:20	96:5 101:9,15	152:1
195:18 215:15	205:1,20	clearance 15:5	104:24 105:2	come 15:21 31:7
224:13 227:6	cite 287:4	45:15 56:2	117:9,12	34:24 35:11
229:24 232:23	cited 219:18	105:3 113:13	122:13,14,22	43:3 47:7
235:20 266:10	284:16	167:13,15,16	174:1 193:11	88:23 98:22
274:23 280:7	claim 43:1 81:18	187:13,14	194:21 203:19	109:21 119:11
285:15,20	173:23	188:16 189:8	225:3 226:10	130:8 171:18
308:3	claims 43:2	229:13 234:11	226:19 251:3	182:16 200:8
changed 49:23	89:10,16 111:9	238:23	275:1,3,12,18	209:3 224:21
50:16 51:24	284:10	cleared 45:2,3	275:21 276:3	230:6 253:17
52:3,8 56:23	clarification	55:23 56:2	283:16 284:2,8	286:23,24
56:24 179:11	155:14 269:21	77:24 78:4	285:5 286:10	299:13 300:10
186:1 216:8,10	280:5	79:17 80:8	286:11 287:11	comes 116:17
216:13 219:3	clarify 35:14	87:8 99:17	288:6,8,21	135:10 290:11
229:14 230:7	116:3 170:12	122:15 123:10	289:11 290:4	300:2
changes 50:13	277:23 303:12	131:24 162:4	293:5,9 300:3	coming 57:3
52:8 229:22	303:18	162:11,24	300:12,15	64:7 75:5
232:6,8,13	clarifying 275:9	163:4,22	302:23,24	76:21 297:16

300:9	70:10 71:7	comparison	235:1,15	301:14
command	72:1,4,8,18	55:21 81:5	comprised 4:20	considerations
108:13	73:5 75:23	competent	computer 71:2	147:24
commencing	79:20 82:22	203:15 225:7	106:4,8,9,12	considered
2:12	86:21,24 88:12	225:10 231:9	concern 47:7	204:24 205:14
comment 163:9	88:17,21 89:2	complaining	48:3	223:16 233:20
comments	90:16 92:15	72:13	concerned 46:9	267:3
162:17	98:20 104:4	complaint 69:19	47:15,23 98:6	considering
commercializ...	111:9 123:9	69:21 242:24	98:10 193:3	199:2 254:10
292:19	126:12 135:20	243:11,13,16	concerns 45:16	286:15
commission	136:9 158:13	243:19 244:10	45:20,22 46:3	considers 237:4
309:16	160:10 164:22	244:21 245:1	47:4,4,5 97:13	consistency
commit 42:24	169:19 170:18	246:13 255:3,3	98:6 227:12	291:8
committee	170:19 172:21	255:16,16	concluded 191:9	consistent 68:24
123:22 124:1	173:5 174:7,8	complaints 54:7	237:13,18,21	76:12 86:3
287:24	174:12,16	75:10,12,14	238:8,12	109:8 139:4
committees	176:23 180:23	242:6 243:24	305:17	164:18 244:7
123:24 287:19	210:7,8,10,15	244:6 245:14	concludes	253:7 254:9
287:22	210:19 213:24	245:20 246:9	202:15,20	255:1 264:13
commonly 141:5	214:2 219:17	complete 30:6	conclusions	281:11,15
communicate	227:11 237:19	136:7,8 191:19	253:12	293:17
87:23,24 89:17	239:10 241:13	191:20 213:8	condition 34:20	consistently
126:13 245:9	241:15,20	265:9 305:3,13	conduct 80:6	55:6
communicated	245:17 249:21	completely 28:7	282:10	consisting 4:19
87:18	251:11 260:2	77:22 304:2	conducted 86:21	consists 13:21
communicating	266:4 293:6	complexity	288:20	constitute 306:7
261:10	296:24 297:2	241:7	conference 3:8	consult 109:20
communication	297:23 300:23	compliance	27:12	112:15 123:9
14:24 90:13,14	301:10	111:11 113:5,6	confidentiality	235:11
communicatio...	companys 76:2	164:19 237:1	283:5	consultant
179:24	78:1 90:17	complication	configuration	105:17 111:22
community	175:13 181:14	152:23 294:22	286:3	122:9 235:11
97:21,24 186:3	226:8 249:4	complications	confirmation	consultants
companies	254:10 289:9	36:6 61:17	192:13	178:13
103:20 239:22	292:22 297:20	156:24 158:4	congress 182:15	consulted 20:1,7
239:23 240:3	comparable	158:11 293:13	276:24	99:15 101:23
252:19 287:4	193:8 207:7,17	299:21 300:5	connected	123:6,8 124:9
company 32:11	comparative	300:17	289:23	consulting 20:11
42:24 46:8	43:2	comply 223:1	consensus	20:13 28:6,6
47:6,8,15,19	compare 177:11	237:8,11	292:10	118:20 122:18
48:21 49:20	177:20 246:14	component	consent 120:4,5	122:20 157:9
51:1,2,22 52:2	compared	285:22	consider 97:14	249:21 250:11
52:5 58:17	172:15 240:24	components	97:19 302:18	284:22 291:18
59:23 61:13	262:19	161:12 175:7	consideration	consumer 6:4
63:16 64:9	comparing	175:15,18	61:14 285:14	259:13,14
65:14,21 70:3	173:21 175:13	177:17 213:15	288:12 299:23	cont 4:24 5:1,23

6:1 7:1	contributed	22:10 23:23	184:10,13,23	282:20 283:3,9
contact 80:10	253:2	24:17 25:13,15	185:11 187:3	299:12 300:21
149:13	contributing	25:20,24 26:23	187:15 188:11	301:23 302:7
contacted 20:19	83:10	28:11 33:2,2	189:10,19	302:17 303:8,9
33:22 113:15	control 186:16	34:6 35:23	190:24 191:7	309:4
224:3	221:10	36:13,21,23	191:24 192:14	corrected 216:6
contained	controlled 169:3	37:6,20 38:6	193:16,24	corrections
294:10	173:1,4,5,11	38:17 39:24	194:10 195:4	307:5,7 309:5
containing 4:22	173:12,13	41:13 42:17	197:9,19,21	corrective 56:5
contaminated	297:5	43:15,18 47:6	198:13 199:3	79:12 299:13
144:23 145:10	controls 5:16	58:6,6 59:18	199:23 200:6	correctly 133:9
contempt	182:1,5,7,12	61:7 62:7	201:7,18	186:24 200:18
279:21	182:13 183:2	65:10,11 66:12	202:14 203:24	205:2
context 47:2	183:21 184:3,8	69:6 70:19,22	204:17 205:7	correspondence
207:10 242:13	184:12 186:22	79:13 82:2	206:7,17 208:6	20:18
242:16 285:19	187:19 196:23	86:16 92:11	208:17 209:13	couldnt 17:2
continue 74:6	196:24,24	93:21 94:9,13	209:20 210:4	167:19
80:3,19 146:24	197:1,1 221:12	95:7,8,11	211:12,22	counsel 20:19
159:11 239:19	221:15,20	96:13 98:4	212:21 213:6	31:6,8,21 32:1
continued 80:13	222:5,15,19,20	100:1 102:3,4	213:23 216:9	166:1 292:12
82:1 288:1	222:22 223:7	105:7 107:2	216:10,11,12	304:1,18 305:1
296:9	conventional	108:11,12,14	217:13,22,23	305:1
continues	76:19	110:14,15	218:16,23	counseling
273:23	convey 82:10	111:15 112:17	219:1,10,13,16	157:10
continuing	131:19 146:10	113:18 121:20	220:7 222:22	counted 73:1
81:11 89:19	157:20 158:15	123:1 126:20	223:16 225:4	148:19
145:18 274:12	conveyed 265:11	127:19 130:3	228:6,13,22	country 41:17
contraction	conveying	131:1,10,12	229:2,9,12,17	county 1:7
104:10	265:24	132:24 134:13	229:18 230:9	couple 119:20
contraindicated	convicted	135:5 136:6	231:12,18	296:9 302:3
136:24 137:5	279:24	141:2 144:16	233:5,18	course 119:7,10
contraindicati...	cookbook 56:9	144:18 145:13	234:23 236:14	139:3 149:8
135:10,12	298:2	145:14 155:9	237:23 242:21	188:22
137:3	cookbooks	155:11 156:11	246:17 248:2	court 1:2 11:16
contraindicati...	133:10	161:23 162:5	250:3,18,22	11:20,23 12:14
129:9 134:1,2	copies 16:16,17	162:11 163:1,4	251:2,6,11,14	12:15 23:20
134:4,8,12,16	305:14	163:6,12 165:3	251:21,24	25:14 26:4
134:21 135:1,2	copy 17:18,23	166:16,19	252:3,4,13	39:19 124:7
135:6,9,15,16	corporate 96:9	167:1 168:1	253:2 254:5,15	222:21 236:11
135:24 136:5	corporation	174:15,23	256:3,15	262:21 269:12
136:15,21	6:15 210:20	175:1 176:2,5	258:21 262:3	279:1,3,11,22
137:12,15,17	correct 8:18	176:14 177:24	267:4,6,17,18	305:12 307:17
157:17 259:3	11:4,15 13:14	178:9 180:13	268:19 269:6,9	cover 44:9 51:18
contrary 32:12	13:18 14:7	181:24 182:9	269:14 270:24	52:3 109:21
contribute	16:1,4 17:12	182:18 183:3,8	271:24 274:13	265:12
287:14	19:22 20:16,17	183:11,14	278:7 280:12	covered 39:16

86:15 159:3	147:17 148:6	4:1,9 5:3,7 6:5	deal 165:8	60:18,19
cr 1:23 306:3,18	148:13,19	6:19 7:4 8:3,5	deals 209:20	102:16 104:15
crappy 106:8	150:15 151:8	122:20 280:9	dealt 17:3 52:20	204:22 205:19
create 56:8	154:6,10,17	306:6,12 309:8	296:6	228:20 229:1
302:19	155:6,18,23	damage 54:9	dear 86:22	230:9
created 51:22	156:9 256:18	139:10	126:13 179:22	degrade 205:13
176:9	256:20 257:5,7	dan 59:11 63:23	december 217:8	degraded
creating 56:4	258:4,5,17,22	297:24	decided 73:19	117:15,18,19
105:20	259:16 260:13	dangerous 44:7	decision 90:19	117:20
credentialed	261:11,17	90:16	199:1 235:8,16	degree 34:19
93:9,11	262:2,10	data 42:23 43:2	279:4	35:22 93:19
credibility 65:4	criticized 138:12	44:4,11,23	declared 238:18	96:20
crime 280:1	140:17	68:12 69:6	declaring 6:20	deny 108:15
critical 57:16	crr 1:23 306:18	75:8 78:2 87:6	decommercial...	269:23
215:6 216:18	cubs 276:12	87:8 88:18	240:21	department 6:3
230:2	cumbersome	91:1,2,3 94:5	decrease 123:16	6:13,18 7:3
criticism 126:22	29:7	116:24 122:9	decreasing	246:20
130:12 132:12	cumulative	122:13,14,22	53:20	depends 185:5
135:7 139:17	263:19 264:8,9	157:24 160:7	deemed 307:16	253:15
140:3,13,20	264:18,20	161:2 225:21	defect 116:1,1,9	depo 119:16
141:7 142:10	curious 278:4	239:6 267:21	defective 80:14	deponent 26:17
142:13,23	current 17:23	273:7,24	118:3 168:3	135:9 309:1
147:2,4,8	96:16 173:22	274:12,17,20	defectively	depos 28:14
148:4 150:3,12	173:24 177:20	282:17 286:10	167:1,23,24	deposed 8:18
150:19 151:4	230:9	287:2 289:11	168:8,13,24	278:19
152:3,4,18	curriculum 5:3	292:14,18	169:8 170:5,9	depositing 307:13
153:1,5,13,14	13:8	database 70:14	170:16,20	deposition 1:11
153:15,16,21	curve 59:22	252:16 253:18	171:1	2:8,14 4:18 5:8
154:4,13 155:8	77:19 78:15,15	254:9,12	defendant 12:13	5:13 8:16
155:13 223:23	82:14 89:15	date 18:2 29:22	defendants 1:7	10:24 12:23
225:12,13	143:17,18,21	177:11 307:9	2:10 3:9 4:17	14:14,16 23:11
261:22	144:5,8 157:6	309:8	defense 276:20	23:14,20 25:14
criticisms 34:9	customers 65:4	dated 6:6,11,16	276:23 277:1	25:24 27:10,16
125:23 126:2	cut 54:1,8,8	6:21 7:6,9 48:7	defer 35:18	38:12,14 74:4
126:17 128:2	140:12 219:3	215:11 220:21	deficiencies	92:3 120:17
130:9,14 132:6	224:21 249:22	228:1 306:13	226:9	125:6 153:23
133:18 135:13	249:24	david 3:14 280:9	deficient 156:23	178:20,23
135:17 137:1	cv 17:17,23 18:4	day 26:2,6 61:19	176:7 178:12	181:18 184:19
137:10,23	19:3,16 112:2	94:21 306:13	define 33:3	189:22 199:14
138:23 139:8	cycle 294:4	309:15	139:1	202:1 215:23
140:14,18	cynthia 3:7	days 107:9	defines 139:1	220:2 223:11
141:24 142:5	280:22 301:22	275:13 307:13	definitely 79:4	227:17 252:9
143:14 144:2	301:23	dd 102:23	214:20 220:9	270:2,13
144:11 145:6	<hr/>	ddsa 102:20	definition 78:3	277:16 282:7,9
145:15,19	<hr/>	103:1,3 251:8	139:5	282:22 283:8
146:11,14,20	D	de 77:13 149:17	degradation	283:11 304:24

305:17 307:3	172:20 173:16	187:11	131:24 132:1	36:18 42:7,8
307:10,14,16	180:10 181:4,8	determining	132:11 136:19	43:9,10 44:8,9
depot 83:6	181:9 250:24	96:8	136:20 139:24	44:13 49:5,6
depth 247:2	259:2,4 283:19	develop 105:10	140:10 141:20	50:8 57:10
describe 46:8	297:19 301:11	124:11 284:7	143:6 149:23	61:16 68:13
156:15 224:16	301:15 303:3	developed 197:2	151:23 156:12	94:7 100:5,8
described 40:16	designated	developing	156:14,16	100:12,14,18
68:17 69:20	296:12	105:14	157:7,18 158:1	100:23,24
79:13 234:6	designation 5:5	development	162:19 163:18	101:1,2,5
259:8 263:7,11	14:11 39:15	54:4 283:19	167:20 169:12	111:5 112:11
264:14	61:6 281:6,16	developmental	170:5,9 171:1	112:23 119:9
describing 40:12	286:7	18:9	171:23 180:20	140:11 151:13
130:7 265:13	designed 101:9	device 6:14 7:4	180:21 181:10	151:24 182:1,5
description 4:16	101:20 124:5	11:22,24 12:20	181:12 183:22	182:8,14 183:3
5:2 6:2 7:2	133:9,11 167:1	15:5,12,14	186:19,21	183:6,12,24
126:24 127:8,9	167:23,24	20:8,11,16	187:12,13,14	186:15 192:21
127:18 128:6	168:8,14,24	28:5 34:23	187:19,21	193:2,5,6,14
128:11 129:18	169:8,16,21,22	42:21 44:7,12	188:8,16 189:9	194:4,7,8,15
130:16,18	170:5,9,16,20	44:17 45:3,4,6	189:12,13,14	196:8 201:21
131:1 132:1,11	171:1 181:3,12	45:9,10 46:11	191:6 193:23	221:16,18
133:22 134:19	251:16 300:3	47:18,20 48:1	193:24 194:1,5	222:8 230:22
157:18 257:17	300:13,16	49:1,24 50:3	194:20,24	241:23 242:11
design 45:17,20	302:24	54:4 57:7,11	195:1,4,5,13	284:3 288:11
46:4,10,14	designing 67:8	61:19,21 62:1	195:16 196:2,5	291:21 298:3
47:13,17,19,24	105:13 287:5	67:3 68:17,19	196:12,15	301:12,19
48:1,4,14,16	designs 54:24	68:21 69:22	197:5,9,23	devicetype
48:19 53:11,14	despite 49:6	70:7 71:9,10	198:10,17	275:16
54:17 55:1,2,5	79:22	73:5,13,20	199:7 200:6,16	diagnosed 98:12
56:18 57:3,21	destrusor	75:20 76:9,21	201:5,16 203:5	diameter 115:12
58:2,7,12 59:4	149:18	78:4,4 80:20	209:13,23	didnt 13:8 20:12
59:8,16 60:1,2	determination	81:22,24 87:14	210:20 213:1	21:2 28:19,20
61:23 62:6,7	169:12 207:7	89:12,20 90:9	220:13,22	30:7,18 33:15
67:2,15,16	determine 30:6	90:11,16,18	221:9 222:6,22	42:4 55:20
68:5 81:1	96:21 118:3	95:20 99:22,24	222:23 224:19	57:24 58:14
83:10,11	121:9,13	100:15 101:6	227:2 233:11	59:21 60:5
101:13 103:5,8	168:12,13	103:5,16	233:17 238:22	61:19,23 68:12
103:15,16	170:8,16,24	105:20 108:6,9	249:3,21	71:3 72:20
104:18,20,21	186:20 207:17	108:19 109:4,7	250:20,24	80:6,9,10 81:7
104:23 105:5,7	235:18 286:8	110:6 112:5,6	251:12,16	81:8,9,9,13,16
115:20 116:1	299:20	112:19,22	252:3 268:13	82:10 83:23
124:12 126:14	determined	113:20,24	275:19 285:7	86:23 90:22
131:15 156:10	160:10 161:1	114:4,4 120:20	286:2 287:6	94:6 99:15
160:20 168:3	232:18 233:2	120:23 121:24	288:13 293:12	100:13,13
168:11 169:23	233:11,16,21	122:6,7,24	301:6,19	106:12 108:14
171:10 172:3	279:1,9	123:1,2,3,7,14	devices 5:16,18	108:21,22
172:12,12,14	determines	130:6,7,16	6:10,11 20:1,3	112:15 113:7

117:6 118:6	224:20 232:5	189:2	71:10 72:5	14:2,13 15:15
120:4 123:10	235:15 239:23	discussed 25:1	74:12 75:3	15:24 25:19
123:19 124:12	241:24 243:11	58:1 66:17	86:22 98:20	26:22 27:6,9
162:7,19	266:1 271:16	67:7,14 68:23	119:22 120:19	30:4,5 31:8,10
163:22 176:6	289:22 291:5	73:23 76:10	120:22 121:15	31:12,12,18,22
177:22 178:3	291:15	82:5 83:13,19	126:14 130:17	31:24 32:5,7,9
179:21 180:24	differentiation	84:20 85:4,20	130:21 144:10	32:11 46:2,15
182:5 185:16	272:8	86:9 87:20	144:24 145:17	47:6,8 52:6,12
193:13 201:21	differently	88:1,8 91:5,15	148:8 151:2,19	52:14,22 56:20
214:1,21	151:10 152:3	91:18,19	153:23,24	59:18 60:2
215:11 216:9	difficult 54:13	155:23 158:18	159:11 179:22	66:9 69:23
217:5 224:13	59:23 60:7	160:18 168:10	181:1 225:7,22	79:8 85:14,15
224:16,19	83:4 144:23	174:18 179:18	260:7 266:8	86:13 90:17
229:20 230:19	146:5 158:5	180:3 244:6	275:14 281:3	96:11,13 104:4
231:1 238:3	difficulty 154:22	277:21 281:22	281:23 301:20	141:15 143:5
241:1 242:16	157:22 161:2	282:17,23	doctors 48:6,8	143:12,17
243:19 244:21	227:6	discusses 257:11	48:15 62:5	161:18,19,20
257:12 265:4	diligent 225:19	discussing 36:4	65:15,22 82:23	168:18,22
267:19 269:3	direct 46:15	56:7 60:21	89:17 118:17	169:20 170:17
273:5,7 276:17	87:19 259:13	94:1 143:18	121:3,6,9,12	170:19 171:11
276:22 277:13	directly 22:7	296:23	121:20 127:10	171:17,21,22
301:1,2 304:5	305:15	discussion 29:17	130:20 144:15	172:21 173:6
difference 51:6	director 6:14	38:12 39:22	144:20 297:19	173:10 174:6
51:15,16,17	directtoconsu...	53:7 56:8 70:8	297:20 298:2	181:14 185:14
52:11 114:11	84:13	78:14 136:12	document 5:6	201:12 213:13
114:23 115:1	disagree 186:2	156:14 160:19	5:10,17 6:9 7:8	240:19 245:17
178:17 179:8	270:10 274:3,8	175:12 189:23	13:14 14:1	245:24 248:7,9
179:18 180:3	disagreed 273:2	289:10 298:1	17:3 26:17	254:1 273:6
198:5 241:11	disappointed	299:7 304:21	29:24 30:1,2	282:6 283:6
differences 49:7	76:17	discussions	39:23 42:6	288:4 289:10
49:10,11,19	disbanded 108:2	69:24 71:15	66:18 69:2	292:10,22
50:14 84:8	disclaimer 65:20	169:18	77:4 88:14	293:6 296:24
208:12 260:3	disclose 63:19	dispute 148:20	135:9 139:15	297:3
285:14,15,18	64:9	distributed	142:16 156:10	documentstvt
different 18:1,2	disclosure 23:17	276:23	181:23 185:21	13:23
18:3 31:13	23:22 24:3	district 1:2,3	186:4 190:7,17	doesnt 30:16
50:7,10 57:10	37:18 38:8,11	divide 67:19	199:23 202:11	33:7 56:10
58:22 77:22	38:17 47:5	152:3	204:20 209:2,6	71:18 84:7
78:16 93:14	63:15	divided 151:10	214:1 220:9	96:23 108:6
111:19 117:1,4	disclosures	152:16 157:16	224:9 227:18	122:3 124:2
133:3 161:12	38:13 62:21	division 124:10	228:1 257:11	130:18 131:23
161:20 167:13	63:10	225:17,18	270:9,15	136:18 141:9
175:8 177:17	discuss 24:9	doc 92:15 97:20	290:20 292:24	146:10 149:6
201:21 203:19	41:10 45:23	docket 4:21 6:11	documents 4:21	151:19 154:1,5
205:12 206:21	62:20 109:7	6:16	4:22,23 5:7 6:9	156:23 157:5
206:22 216:21	132:13 158:9	doctor 33:17	6:23 13:5,7,11	158:9,11,15

162:18 163:15	109:17,20,24	268:13,17	143:15 146:13	drugs 112:11
174:18 175:15	110:19 112:3	269:11 274:11	148:8,11	151:12 152:1
184:7 197:14	114:1,6,9	275:6,15 278:3	149:16 150:20	192:21 193:2
209:9 210:10	115:16 116:8	278:6 280:20	151:2 152:2,24	193:15 194:4
210:12,15	119:8,10	288:8 289:18	153:19 156:4	275:16
232:23 252:22	120:16 122:2,4	289:19 301:17	158:23 159:4,6	duces 4:18
261:1 283:17	122:20 123:23	301:22 302:1	160:11 161:5	due 74:13
doing 8:12 28:4	125:16 130:20	303:10,16,20	161:23 162:3	duly 8:6 306:5
28:5,11 40:21	131:21 136:16	304:4,5,6,13	162:18 166:14	duplicative
43:20,22 54:18	137:12 138:12	304:16	172:6,22 173:8	264:5
56:3 59:1	140:10 145:11	doomed 82:13	173:8,17 174:1	dura 15:4 53:16
79:12 90:14	145:12 147:3	dorgan 280:10	174:3 178:15	160:22 224:18
119:12 124:10	148:9,16	doublesided 6:8	179:6 180:2,21	dynamesh
147:22 177:24	150:16 156:2	6:22 104:15	181:3,12	212:15
210:16,18	161:17,17	downclassified	184:20 202:1	dysfunction
249:15 278:13	162:8,17	196:12,19	209:12 214:7	77:14
278:15 304:12	165:10,14	downclassifying	214:15,20	dyspareunia
307:8	166:1 170:7,23	202:9	215:22 220:1	18:23 19:13
dollars 28:10	171:19 174:18	downplays	225:4,12,19	61:17 71:20
dont 8:23 10:13	178:16 192:6	126:9	226:21,21	120:11 154:24
10:14,21,22	192:10,11,17	dr 4:18,20 8:11	227:6,18 231:8	159:22 257:19
11:1,6 12:21	195:23 203:16	29:9,10 36:15	241:9 244:20	257:22 266:10
13:20 16:8	203:17 205:4	39:2 40:3	252:11 256:10	E
20:21 23:4,7,8	210:24 211:5	42:14 45:14	256:12,12	e 4:1,15 5:1 6:1
26:11 27:21,21	211:9,11,12,14	48:16 51:3	257:17 258:11	7:1 8:3,3 306:1
30:19,21 36:7	211:17 212:2,4	52:12 53:10	263:12,17	306:1 308:1
37:10 40:20,20	212:22 214:2,8	56:12 58:11,16	264:11 269:14	earlier 102:1
40:23 41:1,3	214:10,13,19	58:16,18 60:10	271:13 272:6	116:14 190:11
42:1,3,3,6,9	215:16 222:20	60:24 62:15,20	274:23 277:18	292:6 302:22
43:7,8,8,9,23	224:1 230:4,5	63:21 64:16	282:22 298:23	early 61:22 76:5
45:2,2,6,7	232:2,10,15	66:10 67:1	302:6	78:17,20 112:4
55:12,16 57:17	233:13 234:12	73:23 74:8	draft 122:3,8	198:23
57:17 66:20,20	234:18 236:11	75:7 76:21	177:22 178:3	ears 41:7
69:3 70:3 80:2	236:24 237:16	77:16,17 79:3	178:14	east 2:11
80:3 81:21	237:17 238:11	80:10,11 82:11	drafted 121:23	edge 52:9,9
82:16 91:2,15	240:16 241:12	82:12 83:2	122:23 161:24	edging 52:8
91:23 93:18	244:14,14	85:23 86:2,3	178:5,8,10,11	educate 185:14
95:18 96:18,24	245:9,11 247:9	87:21,23 91:8	drafting 265:2	education 6:3
100:2,4,9,10	247:12,19	92:5 108:2,3	draw 253:12	114:3
100:15,21	249:16,23	118:8,20 119:1	drug 5:15,18,21	effect 101:4
101:5 102:12	250:1 252:22	119:6,17 121:1	6:5,13,19 7:4	effective 49:2
102:14 103:4	255:11 260:11	121:15,17	108:17,18	80:9 89:18
105:1,4,11	261:9,16	125:5,14,16	109:8 112:6	105:3 131:20
106:10,22,22	263:22 264:2,3	126:16 130:23	124:2 184:22	191:10,13
107:16,18	264:20 266:16	131:3 132:5	191:4,5,13	192:14 194:10
109:13,14,15	266:22 268:5	138:16,19	193:4 229:19	

194:17 195:10	employees 283:9	78:8,11 80:3	244:21 245:6	258:9 263:10
195:20 197:20	ends 131:9	83:9,10,10,11	245:19 246:8	264:15 265:14
197:24 198:13	224:18	especially	246:19 247:21	266:8 293:22
200:17 201:6	engineer 33:17	164:22 291:20	248:1 253:20	eventually
204:10,17	59:10 94:9	300:8	256:23 264:24	220:18
207:24 208:5	171:20	esq 3:5,7,11,14	265:18 282:6	everybody 74:3
208:17 213:20	ensure 48:21	essential 69:7	283:9,13	276:20 304:16
215:2 217:18	142:3,14	essentially 293:3	288:20 292:14	evidence 32:12
218:13,16,23	167:17 182:8	establish 284:4	292:18 302:16	96:13 253:20
223:2 271:10	182:14 183:24	284:5	305:1	254:4,4,7,14
273:13 274:4	196:23 221:17	established	ethicons 43:11	254:16,18,20
283:24 286:9	222:7 223:1	32:23 200:16	45:17 47:13	environmental
290:10	234:12,18	201:6	48:13 59:17	6:4
effectiveness	283:23 288:15	estimate 232:1	177:8 183:5	evolution 116:17
56:1 182:8,14	294:9	eth 5:11,13 6:7	241:22 242:10	evolving 175:10
183:24 186:18	ent 41:7	6:17,21 7:7	247:7,17	193:10
186:21 187:8	entire 114:6	31:17 32:9	302:11	ex 4:16 5:2 6:2
187:12,22	176:6 237:24	203:21 224:6	ethisorb 14:23	7:2
188:11 207:8	240:14	224:24 227:24	15:4 53:16,24	exact 197:19
207:18 209:17	entitled 4:21	ethical 62:21	55:16 56:20	198:12 206:7
221:17 285:16	5:16,19 6:9 7:8	63:10,15	160:15 224:18	206:16,16
292:23	60:13 154:9	ethicon 1:6 4:17	285:22	208:4,16
effects 104:9	enzymes 228:21	6:5,20 8:15	ethisorbs 50:7	218:15 228:24
efficacy 42:16	epidemiologist	10:12 11:9,13	europe 77:12,15	229:5,11,17
196:23 230:24	93:16	17:7 21:9,10	78:21	230:8
251:4 285:6	epidemiology	31:7 34:14	european 75:23	exactly 54:11
290:15	93:17,18,19,21	36:4 45:22	76:2,15 78:2	163:18 169:10
effort 74:9	equivalence	49:1,4 52:17	226:22	175:19,22
efforts 32:4	5:20 6:20	65:5 67:2 68:9	evaluate 251:4	176:2 301:7
eight 23:8 71:23	equivalent 49:6	68:18 79:9	273:23	examination 4:7
71:24	55:23,24 78:1	111:1,14	evaluated 122:9	4:11,12,13 8:9
eightpage 5:15	78:3 79:14	114:21 115:6	275:23	281:1 302:4
either 50:8	187:15,18	119:6,20	evaluating 5:19	examined
94:23 114:10	213:23 217:21	161:23 163:11	285:6	102:13
257:15 268:18	219:16 220:16	163:16 164:1	evaluation 5:19	example 63:20
271:5 286:3	227:8 289:16	167:19 177:18	6:14 7:5 35:20	64:3,21 65:8
elastic 51:19	289:22	183:10 184:13	65:12 103:23	65:14,19,20
elasticity 224:15	er 196:22 275:13	196:14 202:17	76:14 247:24	76:14 247:24
elements 61:20	erosion 61:16	215:14 220:12	evaluations	248:10,11
email 30:22	68:20 71:20	220:21 223:12	64:24	255:2,15
78:17	72:4 120:1	224:11 226:20	event 72:12	259:12
emails 46:5	246:24 254:2	228:13 232:16	examples 64:22	
employ 286:22	errata 307:6,9	233:1 237:13	66:2,3,5,11,16	
286:23	307:12 309:6	239:20 240:12	179:4	
employed 201:5	error 23:19	240:16 242:4	excellence	
286:18	25:10 59:24	242:24 243:18	290:24	

exception	expect 245:17	51:8 98:22	facts 164:12	233:3,21 260:1
116:13	expectation	176:19 188:24	fail 307:15	260:1 266:3,5
exceptions 194:9	201:20	192:24 208:22	failed 67:2 68:10	far 22:17 132:7
excluded 279:11	expected 273:8	210:14,17	68:14 70:11	146:15,19,20
excuse 66:1	experience	232:22 235:4	237:13 253:21	165:5 230:16
exercises 96:24	19:15 75:23	236:15 243:5,6	failing 68:14	233:19 246:16
exhibit 4:19	76:5 78:12,13	explained	79:23 82:22	251:10
5:13 12:22	86:7 87:9,10	244:24	failure 68:13,19	fascia 43:20,21
13:1 16:11,12	114:4 226:23	explaining 47:1	71:20 75:17,18	fashion 87:11
16:13,19,22	230:11 287:14	164:6	75:18 76:3,4	fast 70:7 151:2
17:10,17,20	293:8 298:20	explant 102:6	77:17 82:2	210:6,22
19:16 25:6,7	300:2	explanted 102:8	88:19 89:16,17	211:19 212:6,8
25:11 26:22	experienced	102:12	89:18 103:22	212:9
29:12,16 37:20	77:16	explore 38:15	120:9 150:7,8	fault 220:20
52:15 53:9	experiences	39:11	150:22,24	favorable 284:6
70:22 125:1,6	76:15	expressed 32:12	151:3,6 157:24	fda 9:9,14 18:22
125:6,8,8,13	experiencing	expressing 7:5	160:4 164:11	31:10,11,11
125:18,20,24	296:13,20	extensively	240:20 244:11	33:7,8,19,23
126:18 131:5	expert 5:5 9:5	272:15	246:7	34:9,13,14
133:7 135:19	9:14,19 10:7	extent 281:22	failures 68:20	35:6,9 39:1
164:3 165:2	10:17,22 11:3	extruder 115:9	68:23 69:24	40:13 42:14
173:22 181:18	11:23 12:1,9	extrusion 115:7	71:16 75:12	46:12,18 47:1
181:20 184:15	14:11 23:22	115:8	76:20 254:2	48:20 60:21
184:19,19	24:3 28:2 38:8		300:9	70:1 71:6,21
185:10 189:22	38:21 39:15	F	fair 9:3 30:24	72:17,23 73:4
190:1 199:14	53:1 59:6 61:6	f 35:10 40:16	34:1 39:14	73:13,14,14
199:20 202:1,5	93:21 95:4,9	47:19 48:21	90:1 155:19	78:5 81:8,9,18
215:19,23	95:13,23 96:8	59:4 68:17	166:7 175:2	83:17 84:13
219:23 220:2,4	104:5,18 105:5	69:20 71:22	176:12 187:23	86:20 87:5,7
223:10 227:13	109:3 121:16	79:15 80:15	207:22 211:11	87:10,15,18,19
227:17 255:23	164:20 171:9	84:12 158:17	243:17 281:12	87:24 89:14
256:1,13 257:6	171:18 201:5	164:9,9,10,11	282:19 285:9	90:13,16,20
257:8 258:6	204:16 227:11	169:24 283:20	286:19 289:16	93:18 94:3
265:19 270:1,3	266:13,14,24	283:22 306:1	292:20 298:16	95:13,16,19
270:13 281:7	279:2,6,9,11	facet 210:21	305:4	96:1 98:8
281:12,16	301:13	facilitate 185:18	fairly 73:21	102:4 104:4,8
exhibits 125:2	expertise 35:3,5	facility 71:8	74:18 258:7	104:13,17
304:18,24	35:8 37:2	72:1	false 232:18	105:4,22,24
305:2	100:7,17,22	fact 95:9 102:5	233:3	106:5,7,13,16
exist 108:7	101:3 105:13	174:21 177:23	familiar 21:8	106:19,24
210:10,12,15	266:17,18	182:2 194:12	97:2,6 101:8	107:4,7,15
existed 107:9,12	experts 76:17	195:19 196:11	138:8 172:7	108:1,8 109:1
107:18	199:3 281:16	196:14 200:1	180:21,22,23	109:11,16,22
existence 249:4	expires 309:16	233:20 240:8	189:17 209:12	110:1,13,23,24
existing 204:8	explain 46:19,20	251:15 270:15	family 84:4,11	111:6,10,14,21
expanded 51:24	50:23,24 51:4	factor 88:19	94:16 232:13	112:4,8,18

114:9 116:12	224:3,11,19	184:3 219:20	252:19 253:10	202:18,21
122:2,12 123:6	225:11,24	274:12	254:8 278:23	221:4,5,8
123:9,11,21	226:18 228:2	fdca 298:14	303:14 304:3	261:7 296:9,10
124:1,14	228:13 229:5	features 288:17	files 243:11,16	297:15,18
135:20 136:11	229:11,18,20	february 1:15	244:11 245:1	fisher 3:12 6:19
159:1 161:9,11	230:2,12,13,19	2:12 8:1	246:14	216:15,18
162:4,7,10,19	230:23 231:5,8	306:14	fit 89:7 90:19	
162:24,24	231:11,17,23	federal 6:9	73:17 75:8,9	136:18,19
163:3,11,15,16	232:8,12,16,17	164:2 291:23	79:11 247:21	five 28:2,11
163:21,23,23	233:2,8,10,16	292:7,8	254:1 279:10	72:16 248:22
164:1,20	233:20,24	feed 64:15	filling 214:3	252:6,8
169:11 171:10	234:6,9,16,16	287:16	final 108:9,10	fiveyear 12:7
171:23 174:11	234:22,23	feedback 76:2	108:15,19,23	fixation 49:18
174:18,22	235:16,19	feeds 73:22	find 29:7 65:15	50:7 53:24,24
182:4,7,13,15	236:12,18	feel 250:8	65:22 69:2	58:5 160:13,13
183:23 184:7	237:4,12,12,14	257:23	70:11 73:3	160:14 161:1
185:13,22	237:17,18,21	feeling 70:3	85:5 221:3	285:22
186:2,19 187:2	238:8,11,15,18	felt 70:9 196:22	finding 191:13	flag 47:22 81:3
187:21 188:10	240:8 243:1,20	276:19	233:24 234:6	flat 55:14
189:8 190:23	244:22 245:7,9	female 217:3	234:23	flaw 55:9 58:14
191:4,9,12,13	245:20 246:1,3	271:3	fine 8:13 92:1	62:6
192:12,20	246:9 247:5	ferguson 231:7	154:8 198:15	flaws 53:10,13
193:3,4,11,15	250:15 251:19	fiber 115:9	234:16 248:21	53:15 56:18
194:13 195:18	252:24 253:10	fibers 115:9,12	278:8	58:1,12 59:2,4
195:23 196:8	253:21 255:4	field 9:8 41:16	finish 28:7 51:9	59:9,16 67:15
196:12,16,20	255:17,19,20	41:18 97:9,10	74:6 164:8	68:5,5 80:24
197:20 198:12	255:22 267:3	97:14	finished 74:20	83:11
198:15 199:1,7	267:16 269:10	fields 97:12,16	84:18 137:11	fleece 56:21
199:12 201:5	269:23 271:19	97:18	firm 21:22 22:8	flipping 207:4
201:15,19,20	273:2,5,11,22	figure 165:7	22:10,20,21,21	floor 3:6 42:2,5
202:15,20	273:24 274:3	filaments 115:16	22:23 23:4	43:14,15 98:10
203:4,7,20	274:19 275:22	127:10 190:11	30:3 32:2	109:23 250:12
204:16 207:16	277:3 278:5	190:15 197:12	122:19,20	250:13
207:24 208:5	279:6,9 283:17	file 4:19 13:16	firms 20:1	flying 26:13
208:16 209:2	284:24 285:2,2	13:17,21 14:10	276:20,23	fmea 102:22
210:4 211:3	286:19,22	69:19,21 70:3	first 12:7 20:19	104:1 251:12
213:4,5,22,24	287:4,17,18,23	89:18 243:13	21:19 22:19,22	focus 35:6 238:3
214:23 215:6,9	288:3 289:15	244:12 253:6	23:3 29:7	290:10
215:10,14	289:20,22	303:22	45:24 69:6	focused 113:18
216:7,16,18,22	291:5 292:1,8	filed 70:1 71:6,7	98:21 107:20	159:16
217:7,20 218:1	292:9 293:9	81:9 216:21	113:8 129:19	folders 118:14
218:16,22	294:21 295:17	241:13,14	129:24 130:18	follow 42:24
219:15 220:12	299:4,14 303:3	242:7 243:12	134:3 145:4	134:14 184:13
220:20,23,23	fdas 41:5 45:15	243:14 244:9	147:14 151:17	301:1,2 302:17
221:16 223:6,8	70:14 87:2	244:13,17	164:23 177:12	followed 144:14
223:12,15	162:14 175:9	246:1 252:17	200:4,8 202:14	144:17

following	230:15 231:13	276:24	full 12:8 188:19	general 5:16
247:20	231:19 232:4	four 22:9,16	306:7	6:10,10 37:16
follows 8:7	234:4,24	23:9 24:13,15	fulltime 250:1	39:24 94:16
followup 43:1	236:16 237:15	38:20 75:13	fully 245:8	182:1,5,7,12
91:2 296:7	238:10 240:18	117:23 132:7	fund 290:17	182:13,16
299:24 301:23	242:18 243:2	261:22 262:2,9	funding 290:10	183:2,21 184:3
food 5:15,18,21	244:23 245:21	271:22 279:19	290:10	184:8 196:24
6:5,13,19 7:4	248:3,13	279:21 281:8	further 4:13	197:6 221:10
184:22	251:18,22	fourpage 4:17	66:22 86:5	221:12,15,20
fool 211:14	253:14,23	6:18 7:8	280:18 298:23	222:5,15,19,20
force 56:23 57:2	254:6 255:5	fourth 132:12	302:4 303:10	222:21 223:7
forces 55:17	258:24 259:18	263:9,10	304:14	245:23 271:17
forefront 98:8	259:22 260:15	fr 6:11	future 88:22	generalize
foregoing 306:7	261:19 262:6	framework	207:8 278:16	206:12
306:9 309:3	263:21 264:10	34:12 47:3	299:20	generally 204:10
foreign 99:11	264:19 266:20	france 289:16	<hr/>	204:23 269:24
foreseeable	267:7 269:16	freeman 3:7	G	generate 13:11
294:23	272:3 273:15	280:20 301:24	g 3:3 8:3	generated 14:15
forever 146:10	274:6,9 277:9	302:1	gadot 77:11	84:2
forget 28:21	277:22 279:14	freeze 160:19	garcia 1:2 9:6	generating 59:1
form 22:11 34:4	279:17 282:12	french 14:17	36:13,21 86:1	generation
35:24 36:22	294:12 296:16	288:23 289:2	86:2 88:24	54:18 90:7
37:7 38:10,23	298:17 299:11	frequency 71:19	90:11 118:5,21	generic 269:7
44:24 88:2	300:22 301:9	81:14 120:8	119:1 120:14	german 75:10
95:12 96:3	303:23 304:1	255:21 293:15	121:18 165:1	254:11
118:7 122:1	309:5	293:22 295:8	168:5 172:4	germane 257:13
128:20 148:15	formation	300:4	173:3 179:19	germany 69:24
152:5 158:20	285:23	frequent 149:20	180:4 183:7	71:16 75:12,22
171:7,15 172:5	formed 59:16	frequently	207:23 208:15	78:12 87:9
172:16 175:5	forming 125:20	149:22	218:21 227:3	255:18
175:21 176:4	200:1 202:12	front 12:23	241:5 244:13	getting 14:15
176:15,20	256:3	13:17,22 14:6	256:7,14	27:4,15 66:19
178:19 180:5	formulate 16:23	126:3,20	257:24 272:2	72:17,23 73:5
184:5 185:4	formulating	127:11,18	272:11	73:7 74:1
187:9,24	290:3	129:10,24	garcias 20:19	76:24 83:5,8
188:12,21	formulation	130:11 131:16	34:19 35:23	141:6 250:7
189:11 191:15	291:13	131:21 132:9	37:5 72:19	254:8 261:1
192:15 205:9	fort 280:16	133:1 134:2,21	ghostwriting	
206:3,18	forth 281:6	135:4 138:3	62:22 63:5,6,8	
207:19 208:1,7	forthright 28:12	156:13 157:17	63:11,15 64:9	
208:18,23	forward 259:4	165:3 212:9	64:19 65:19,24	
209:21 211:23	found 14:19,20	217:12 225:1	gate 235:17	
213:11 215:4	112:4 160:4	265:7	gatekeeper	
217:9 218:4,9	187:18,22	ftl 216:23	221:18	
218:17,24	188:10 213:22	fulfill 48:22	gears 189:6	
220:14 222:10	217:20 219:15	245:9	274:23	

65:23 66:1,5	229:21 237:9	248:20 252:7	176:12 267:14	141:1,4,4,17
66:16 85:11,16	237:12,16	255:6 258:3	276:24	141:19,23
141:9 151:19	241:6 243:13	266:5 274:19	guidance 5:20	286:3
157:11 225:20	244:10 249:1	278:11 280:21	31:10 184:22	hand 124:24
232:1 247:23	255:23 270:11	283:13 288:15	185:14,22	181:17 184:18
247:24 248:10	275:14	288:16 290:16	186:6 288:4	189:21 199:13
248:19,22	goes 67:11 83:11	292:11 294:23	guideline 301:5	201:24 215:22
255:2,12,15	96:21,22	305:11	guidelines	219:22 227:16
258:20 279:2	121:18 141:2	gold 96:16 97:1	268:12 283:17	270:1 283:13
281:10,14	222:13 242:8	good 8:11 31:4	284:12 287:9	handed 26:19
284:7 293:18	258:12 304:16	31:14 37:19	288:7 291:2,3	29:15 133:6
300:4,13,16,24	going 9:2 14:12	48:2 55:8	291:13,19,22	270:12
301:7	24:9 27:5,22	82:16 106:11	293:4 295:1,17	handicapped
given 12:21 19:3	30:12 39:21	116:10 136:13	298:15,19	44:13
60:3 74:15	41:20 54:19	142:12 146:23	299:4 302:7	handing 12:22
107:3 211:8	57:3,18 58:17	165:5,8 184:11	guys 82:18	125:5 220:1
233:9 243:10	58:19 60:6	222:1 223:7	gyne 219:19	handles 249:19
280:7 288:19	61:21 62:9	226:23 248:17	226:17	handling 42:7
290:21 296:3	64:10,11 65:15	256:23 257:1,2	gynecare 5:10	69:19,21
305:12 309:4	65:22 66:14	265:5,8,17	5:12 6:23 15:5	hands 26:17
gives 225:16	73:12,19 74:3	281:3,4	15:14,17,20,22	handson 19:17
giving 25:23	74:6,8 79:7,24	gotten 122:12	70:13 71:5	19:20,23 20:5
47:1 142:18	82:9,20 83:7	244:10	138:10 265:20	20:6,12,15
185:22 264:5	84:4 86:23	government	gynecological	33:2,3 93:18
global 291:5	88:22 90:8	301:17	276:3,8 302:24	101:3
gmp 182:16	100:10 108:22	grafts 110:10	303:2,5	handtohand
go 16:8,11 17:5	110:21 115:4	grams 56:24	gynecologist	167:10
26:4 37:18,24	117:17 120:6	57:2	94:14,17	handwriting
38:1 41:10	124:24 126:5,5	grandfathered	gynecologists	14:3 16:1,3
44:18 46:20	127:6,10,14	194:9 195:9,19	270:23	handwritten
53:18 54:17	128:12,24,24	197:24 198:4	gynecology	26:21 27:14
55:12 73:2	129:2,5 131:19	198:10 213:20	94:15	70:19
85:1,15 86:12	131:20 135:18	215:2 217:18	<hr/>	happen 252:23
91:23 92:8	137:21 140:15	218:13	h 4:15 5:1 6:1,5	happened 42:10
102:23 108:4	141:22 142:14	great 77:19	7:1 57:6	77:5 91:3
109:3 110:4,19	146:16 147:15	green 163:11	hadnt 47:16,17	happening 83:8
122:13,22	147:21,22	greg 215:13	63:21 113:10	hard 54:12 55:3
123:10 132:3	152:10 154:3	gregory 6:19	186:1 191:6	82:19
139:3 144:5	156:5,8 158:12	grossly 102:19	244:13	harel 77:11
147:19 152:10	159:13 164:19	group 6:23	half 23:15 24:15	harmonize
152:20 153:22	165:4,11,16	22:14 210:21	24:16 27:2	291:6
156:19 166:14	197:4 199:13	247:8,17,19	30:19 31:1	hasnt 14:16
167:10 173:17	199:16 200:22	270:20 273:1,3	165:17	137:11 160:9
192:17 199:11	201:20 209:3	290:14 296:5	hammock	161:1 174:5
208:21 213:13	224:20 235:6	groups 285:4	133:16 140:21	209:23
214:1 222:16	237:5,6 247:1	guess 25:16	havent 18:2	

19:17 20:6	hector 225:4	histology 33:5	26:5,15 27:2	118:10 122:5
27:22 31:16	held 113:22	history 17:1	27:10,15,18,19	125:4 128:21
33:6 34:24	199:8 279:21	36:10 37:24	30:13 74:20	131:6 137:16
84:24 85:1,4	help 112:13	39:4,5,12 45:8	165:17	137:22 138:22
89:5,22 91:19	122:8 126:16	46:19 51:21	house 20:22	142:22 148:18
103:9 113:22	127:21 284:7	57:4 62:10	21:2	152:6 154:2,9
116:23 117:23	helped 13:10	100:10 124:15	houston 3:4,6,7	154:12 155:17
117:24 120:24	30:1 105:10	157:6 188:24	hposition 133:4	155:22 156:7
121:22 159:16	225:5 303:7	189:1 191:19	hshaped 49:16	158:22 159:9
165:21 168:15	helping 64:1	191:21 195:23	huge 77:19	159:17 162:15
171:4,16 174:8	hennig 6:14	200:16,21	hugesettlements	164:15 165:6
178:10,11,14	hernia 116:14	201:3,6,8	28:18	165:10,14,19
178:15 179:6	117:6,6,7	202:13 213:9	huh 217:15	165:24 166:4,7
179:12 180:1	hernias 214:5,5	266:16 272:16	hulatowski	166:10 167:6
190:7 216:2	herrera 162:18	286:17 288:11	113:5	171:5,12 172:2
227:18 230:23	225:4,12,19	hit 57:18 141:21	human 6:13,18	172:10,18
232:10 243:10	226:21,21	hogan 279:8	7:3 68:2 75:20	175:17 176:1
243:15 244:10	227:6	hojnoski 223:21	87:5,8 191:14	176:11,17
244:12 245:1,2	herrerias 163:9	223:24	209:10 287:16	177:5 178:21
245:3 248:14	hes 77:12 119:14	hold 60:23 74:12	hundred 28:8	180:8 181:22
268:5 275:2	121:17 129:1	100:22 129:8	40:7 82:15	184:9,17 185:9
276:4,21	154:6 181:2,2	144:1 146:12	hundreds 22:15	187:16 188:3
277:14 278:17	203:3,19 225:7	243:5 247:11	42:15 232:3	188:14,23
281:22 285:23	225:10,18,20	247:15 248:6	hurt 231:2	189:15 190:3,6
hazard 40:17	226:3,3 249:18	254:19 255:14	husband 26:10	191:18 192:19
head 113:1	258:3 275:7	256:9 261:24	210:11 249:7,8	198:7 199:22
heading 5:6	hid 226:20	266:24	303:13,22	202:7 203:1
256:24 272:22	hidden 276:21	holding 93:20	304:8	205:15 206:5
health 5:18 6:3,4	hierarchy	home 83:6	husbands	207:1,21 208:3
6:4,13,18 7:3	151:14	124:11	280:11 303:19	208:10,20
14:18 35:9	high 77:17 82:24	hone 246:13	hutchinson 3:11	209:11 210:1
36:5 37:9 40:3	151:6 157:24	honest 225:22	4:11,13 8:9,10	212:5 213:16
40:6,11,17,17	higher 151:16	hormone 28:22	8:14 13:3	215:5,21
40:18,21 41:6	151:22 152:13	horse 210:6,22	16:17,21 17:22	217:10 218:5
41:8,14 107:10	194:19 233:8	211:19 212:6,8	22:18 25:5,9	218:11,20
107:19,21	highlight 146:2	212:10	26:18 29:14,18	219:5 220:6,19
124:11 171:24	highlighted	hospital 12:16	34:7,15 36:11	221:7 222:14
171:24 173:9	151:24	12:16,17 93:7	38:18 39:7	227:15 230:18
175:10 233:6	highlighting	93:9 275:13	45:11 51:10	231:16,21
237:24 267:22	16:10	hospitals 12:10	53:6,8 64:20	232:7 234:20
287:21 289:2	highlights 16:1	hour 23:20	67:21 69:16	235:3 236:17
289:21 290:24	16:3	25:11,11,15,17	74:2,16,23	237:20 238:14
healthy 81:21	hilton 2:10	25:23 26:14	75:2,6 84:15	241:8 242:20
hear 265:4	hip 100:6	30:19 31:1	88:3 92:4	243:4 244:1,18
heard 113:8,10	hire 225:5	hours 23:15	95:22 96:7	245:5 246:2
heavy 117:3	hired 11:2 226:2	24:13,15,16	103:11,14	248:5,19,22

Suzanne Parisian, M.D.

Page 328

249:2 250:10	identification	162:10,20	27:7,17,21	139:13,20
251:7,20 252:2	13:2 16:20	163:13,15	28:7,13 30:12	140:15,24
252:5,7,10	17:21 25:8	164:2 166:16	35:5,16 36:2,4	142:5,9,14,17
253:19 254:3	29:13 125:3	166:24 167:17	37:23,23 39:18	142:20 144:4
254:13 255:7	181:21 184:16	167:20 168:4	41:19 43:16	145:4,18
258:24 259:15	190:2 199:21	173:22,23	44:7 50:21	146:12,13,14
259:19 260:5	202:6 215:20	174:10,17,21	51:7,11,14	146:16 149:17
260:19 261:23	220:5 227:14	175:3,19	52:16 54:24	150:10,24
262:8 264:1,16	270:4	176:13,14	58:3,3 59:1,1	151:9 152:9,10
264:22 266:23	identified 5:7	177:7,19,20	60:13,14,16,21	152:12,24
267:10 269:18	88:17,21	178:16 179:1,7	60:21 61:10	153:3,17,23
270:8 272:5	identify 252:16	179:17,24	63:8,9 64:20	154:3,9 155:11
273:19 274:7	252:21	180:2 228:24	66:11,13,18	155:17,20
274:14,21	ifu 5:9 48:10	230:9 256:20	67:22 73:19	156:8 159:6,13
275:10 277:15	56:7,10,10	257:10,15	74:3,4,8 75:4	159:24,24
277:17 278:1	70:4 71:18	258:8,16,18	77:7 79:7,7	160:12,12,16
279:15,20	81:13,16 91:19	259:6,9,20,24	84:18 85:11,14	161:10 162:21
280:17 281:8	91:19 119:2,3	263:7,11,17,18	88:7,7,9 89:4	162:21 164:6,6
281:18 282:12	119:3 120:23	266:12 284:7	90:5 91:21	164:18 165:10
283:1 285:12	121:14,19,23	293:11,16,20	93:10,14 94:1	170:4,23 171:6
286:20 289:12	124:19,20,21	294:18 297:20	94:4,17,19,20	171:19,21
289:17 290:7	125:8,23 126:7	297:21	95:1,1,2,5,13	172:23,23
291:24 294:12	126:9,18 128:2	ifus 89:23,24	95:14,18,19,19	173:5,9,11
295:5,9,12,23	128:10,17	121:4,5 226:24	95:23 96:5	174:3,3 175:18
296:16 297:13	129:16 130:15	ii 196:7 198:17	97:6 100:13,20	175:18 176:18
298:5,9,17	131:20 133:20	199:7 200:6,14	100:21 101:8	182:9,22
299:11,15	135:19 137:2	201:16	102:9,10	183:14 184:19
300:22 301:3,9	137:10 138:24	iii 196:2,6,8,12	103:15 107:6,6	185:7 186:5,5
301:22 302:3,4	139:18 140:14	196:15 230:22	107:12,14	195:13 196:4
302:5 303:10	140:20 142:2,6	ill 15:2 51:5	109:19 110:12	197:8,16,16
303:21,24	142:12 143:15	63:13 66:21	111:18 118:12	199:13 201:9
304:7,14,22	143:20 144:3	74:2 124:24	118:15 119:17	201:11,16
305:5,8	145:19 146:15	126:16 135:14	120:10,12	204:2 206:1,9
hutson 3:3	146:16,20,23	147:19 184:18	122:16 123:4	208:21 210:14
<hr/>	I	147:2,18	124:23 125:5,5	211:7 212:17
id 21:12,13	148:14,20	219:22 227:16	125:14 127:1,6	214:9 216:9
30:22,23 46:15	150:3,4,15,19	243:5 250:9	127:13,22,24	219:6 220:1
61:12 63:11	151:4,8 152:7	261:24 301:21	127:24 128:3	222:15,18
85:10 92:1	152:19 153:2	illegal 277:2	128:23,24	225:15 229:9
169:10 213:15	153:21 154:4	illegally 238:19	129:3,5,12,14	233:15,23
242:12 246:13	154:17 155:6	238:21	129:21,22	235:4,6,7,9
idea 37:19	155:13,24	im 8:15 9:2	131:8,12 132:7	236:4 238:21
118:19 119:5	156:9 158:19	10:14,15 12:22	132:20 134:4	242:1 243:14
119:21 179:7	159:16,19	17:24 21:24	134:16 135:12	245:12,13,24
ideas 226:17	160:2 161:5,14	22:4,12,13	135:13,16,17	246:3,11
	161:24 162:2,4	23:2,2 26:8,13	135:18 139:12	247:11 248:9,9

249:15,24	136:11	included 18:4	270:6	87:16,17 89:8
250:5,6,6,17	important 23:21	19:3,15 45:5	indications	90:24 105:21
254:1,16,19,20	46:17 60:23	84:1 131:10	132:2 134:7,9	118:19 126:2
255:6,8 260:10	62:13 86:19	136:16 162:6	134:14,24	126:10,19
260:12,16,20	87:22 128:9	175:3,11 177:2	167:14 259:2	128:10,16
261:16 262:15	130:12 131:17	246:23 294:24	268:24	129:15 130:2
263:1 265:14	131:21 132:2	includes 191:7	individual	130:10,13,24
267:6 268:19	132:10 139:23	266:5 270:23	203:15	131:8,9,16,17
269:9,19,20	143:6,9,11	271:1	industry 5:20	131:19,21
271:22 272:10	147:14 179:13	including 45:16	12:9,10,11,11	132:8,10
273:20 275:21	181:13 206:24	65:7 151:3	43:2 184:22	136:11 141:10
278:11,13	224:22 270:9	183:5,7 198:16	185:22 196:15	141:15 142:11
280:21 289:19	importantly	302:14	238:1,4 239:12	143:9 149:5,20
289:20 295:19	87:21	income 27:24	240:14 283:17	149:22 150:5,7
298:9 304:6	impression	28:1	284:12,17,20	151:5,7,16
image 219:19	30:13	incomplete	284:23 285:3	155:1,5 156:11
immediately	improve 266:8	137:17 253:9	286:24 287:3,4	157:3,8,9,11
174:13,20	improving 109:7	253:10	287:9,19,24	157:16 158:16
imperative	265:23	inconsistent	288:2,7 291:3	160:14,24
307:11	inaccurate	237:22 238:9	291:11,12,14	161:8,15,21
implant 60:22	143:4	incontinence 7:9	291:16,18	163:19 164:18
72:9 86:1	inadequacies	18:20 19:24	293:4 295:1,17	164:21 173:6
100:6 114:4,7	263:6	20:2 65:1 72:7	299:3 301:5,18	175:8,11 177:1
271:10,19	inadequacy	76:12 77:10	industrywide	177:4 178:5,13
implantation	155:15 298:8	78:23 181:7	239:11,14	179:10,14,21
101:7 282:2	298:13	217:3	infected 145:8	199:10 204:8
implanted 44:9	inadequate 68:6	incontinent	infection 104:10	205:14 219:17
72:5 80:13	150:9,18	72:14	145:24 146:3,6	219:20 220:15
89:1 90:12	154:20 155:3	incorporated	146:7 154:24	223:12,14,15
99:19,21,24	155:10,12	81:5	158:8	233:9 237:14
100:5,15 101:1	157:2 168:5	increases 126:12	infections 158:6	239:6 245:11
101:3 105:12	178:13 226:24	independent	inflammatory	246:12 247:3
120:20 121:3	263:11 297:12	118:1	116:24	254:9,22
136:10 241:5	297:14	independently	inform 63:16	256:22 257:10
implanter 100:5	inadequately	223:8	81:14 284:12	257:11,16
implanting	169:21,22	index 4:7	293:4	258:13,21
100:7,17,22	incestuous 277:8	indicate 167:21	information	259:6,14
101:6 113:23	277:10	293:15	31:11 39:21	260:17,23
127:14 151:23	incidence	indicated 220:17	45:4 47:11	263:14 264:12
157:23	253:17	indicates 204:8	56:13 62:2	264:14 265:10
implants 283:12	include 30:16	indicating 61:5	63:17 64:6,7	265:11,15
implementing	52:1 69:19	indication 130:8	64:11 68:19	273:3 282:3,10
294:17	83:16 136:16	133:22 157:19	70:1,2,18	282:13,23
implies 84:5	150:22 191:8	160:21 192:16	71:21 76:24	284:8 288:24
158:11	256:24 284:15	192:18 206:22	77:15 84:7,9	292:24 293:19
implying 89:12	284:22	229:20 251:17	84:14 87:2,3,4	293:23,24

Suzanne Parisian, M.D.

Page 330

294:3,6,6,10	institution 41:19	46:4 47:7	110:9,10,13,21	259:2 277:11
294:10 295:11	instruct 180:24	59:11 93:3	111:5,19,20	292:2
296:24 297:16	instructed	112:7,7 143:5	112:5,20	issued 5:17,21
297:17,22	149:13	169:18 170:17	113:11,12	201:19 239:12
298:1,22 300:4	instructions	255:1 289:10	116:13 233:8	240:8
300:11,13,16	5:10 48:15	internally 46:8	233:10 242:4	issues 9:9 10:19
300:24	54:4 55:8 56:9	52:5 56:7	246:22 250:19	18:21 21:9
informations	60:4,5 64:5,13	58:21 66:4	250:23 251:3	33:6 35:11,12
143:13	82:16 83:6	68:23 69:23	285:1 287:21	40:24 41:7,11
informed 120:4	112:24 132:4	71:15 76:24	296:8	43:12,21 55:24
120:5 287:9	132:19 133:2,5	78:1 143:17	involvement	56:3,4,5,11
informing 83:17	133:7,14,24	158:13 244:7	63:19 97:7	58:17 68:5
initial 177:2	149:3,8,14	254:17 260:2	112:8	69:14 73:7
280:9	156:17,19	266:3 297:20	involving 41:6	79:13 89:7
initially 27:12	164:13 169:13	297:23	irbs 275:14	93:14 94:11
injuries 35:23	234:8 235:13	international	irrelevant 209:1	95:3,5,20 97:7
37:6	294:11 295:1	77:12 97:4	304:2	104:12 107:10
injury 71:9	297:11,21	284:20	irritation 153:11	108:5 110:5,18
140:22 158:10	307:1	internet 106:13	153:11	111:6 116:9
173:2 253:2	instructive	106:14	isnt 22:16 34:13	117:7 124:3
innovations	285:6,10	introduced 51:2	38:2 78:19	153:10 158:11
12:1	insurx 122:11	52:2 222:12	81:10 136:19	180:23 186:20
input 124:12	122:11,16,18	introducing	141:20 154:7	187:11 193:3
291:12	123:12	49:20 87:1	175:11 176:8	226:10 227:1
insert 228:13	integration	introduction	178:5 225:7	240:21 241:21
inserted 5:14	60:22	50:12 182:9,11	260:1 274:1	250:6 255:20
inserter 50:5	intend 37:13	invasive 89:11	289:20	259:8 267:2
54:8 227:7	124:17,18	96:22	iso 284:19 292:5	292:23 293:8
286:1,1	277:19	invented 105:8	issue 21:10	296:6 299:14
inserting 139:24	intended 132:3	investigational	34:13,14 42:7	301:12
257:22	157:18 162:7	42:21	42:9 50:4	ive 10:1 11:2,19
insertion 49:12	163:17,22	investigator	52:20 54:3	12:9,10,11,22
49:15,24 50:2	167:21 191:10	275:21,24	55:1,5,19,20	13:9,11 14:13
insertions 62:13	284:1	294:7	57:5,19,20	14:15,19,20,22
inside 194:13	intending 34:8	invoice 26:19,20	61:13 73:15	15:15 17:1,3
210:4 211:3	242:23 281:10	26:20	75:15 76:9	17:18 20:1
insignificant	281:14	invoices 26:19	77:20 78:8	22:5 28:5,12
205:20	intent 96:9,11	27:7	79:23 88:21	29:3,15 30:4
inspect 102:14	96:12,15	involved 9:23,24	89:13 91:4	31:12,19 32:7
102:15,16	intention 4:18	10:1,14 11:12	95:10,24 112:5	32:10 33:11
inspected 46:12	interceed 111:7	21:24 22:2,5	112:6 116:6	52:20 85:3,7
102:5,9,10	111:11	28:9 35:19	146:8 171:23	86:17 93:13,17
instance 282:1	intercourse	43:10,11 63:22	201:20 224:22	93:24 94:2,10
institute 290:23	257:14 258:1	63:24 94:11	227:3 241:4,12	94:16,19 98:14
institutes 41:5	interest 42:5	101:15 109:15	241:14,17,19	99:9,15 100:3
41:14 267:22	internal 45:22	109:22 110:1,7	247:20 258:2	100:4,4,5,14

Suzanne Parisian, M.D.

Page 331

100:24,24	112:14,14,15	245:10,12	131:23 190:11	192:10,11
101:2 102:7,7	112:15	250:20 283:18	190:14 197:12	198:19 203:11
102:11 103:20	join 97:11 98:1	k021263 15:2	know 8:24 10:21	203:12,16,17
104:3,12,16,23	jones 6:19	k052401 15:6	10:22 11:1,6,7	203:18 211:5,9
105:1,22	215:13	k962530 212:17	22:1,14,17	212:2,3,4,22
110:21,22	journal 18:7	k974098 6:20	23:4,8 27:21	213:1,4 214:10
116:9,16,18,18	112:8	k991413 15:4	27:21 29:4	215:16,16
116:20,23	judge 74:22	keep 79:24 80:4	31:24 36:7	225:5 230:5,17
117:2,2,7,17	279:4,5,7,8	196:14	37:10,19 40:20	231:22 232:2
117:18,18,18	judges 277:14	keeping 148:16	40:20 42:8	232:10,15,16
117:22,22	278:3,4	152:12 262:22	43:7 47:12,12	232:17 233:19
121:5,6,22	judicial 1:3	keeps 223:5	48:16 50:15,22	237:16,17
124:3 133:6	july 5:21 6:16	kept 60:5	51:6,14,15	238:11,11
138:12 148:19	202:8	kessler 108:2	52:10,21 54:8	239:22 240:2,8
150:6 168:2,18	julyish 20:22	key 47:18 54:13	60:14 64:20	240:16 241:11
171:8,17,21	june 77:5	55:2 75:16	77:18 78:20	243:11,12,23
174:6 175:6	jury 46:20 47:1	76:16 77:18	79:8,14 80:11	244:8,14
176:9 177:14	justifying 209:3	82:18,19	85:7,14 95:18	247:19 250:8
178:12 181:12	jut 293:16	183:20	96:16,18,24	255:11,13
208:9 212:14	K			
212:23,23	k 5:19,20 6:23	kidney 41:8	100:2,4,9,10	258:1,14
213:14 238:20	15:1,2,4,4,10	kilker 23:3	100:15 102:12	260:12 261:9
244:24 245:22	42:19,20,22	kind 15:15	102:14 103:3,4	261:10,16
246:22 266:15	43:5,14,24	44:10 54:20	105:1,4 107:22	266:16,22
266:21 270:12	44:2,6,8,9,21	61:10 66:24	107:24 108:6	267:13 268:5
270:17 275:5	45:1,3 46:20	74:11 83:18	109:20 114:11	269:20 276:1
275:12,20,22	46:21 77:23	105:21 106:9	114:22 115:5,5	276:20 278:6
277:2 280:4,4	87:17 110:7	106:10 112:6	115:15,24	279:18 289:22
282:13 302:3	162:6,14 163:5	122:14 157:8	116:3 119:1,8	293:12 294:7
J		157:21 165:8	120:3,3,10,14	298:22 300:7
j 1:4,5 110:24,24	163:7,17	171:11 187:6	123:23 126:17	301:17 303:20
111:14,14	167:12,15	194:21 214:4	130:17,18,19	304:4,5,6,13
james 280:11	174:19 185:19	233:7 258:20	133:9 134:1,18	knowing 54:10
january 6:11	185:23 186:19	292:10 301:7	136:12 138:15	54:14 79:22
7:10 48:7,7	187:7,14,21	kinds 284:19	139:3,15	179:13 200:21
75:11 214:23	188:9,15 189:8	kingdom 290:14	144:10,15	201:10,12
job 234:15 250:2	189:9 196:7	kit 115:14	145:1 146:19	244:16
jog 149:6	197:3 207:12	kits 78:16	147:3 148:9,16	knowledge 17:5
john 14:13	207:15,16	knew 51:23	154:9 156:12	205:11 287:15
johnson 1:5,5	209:15,19	56:16 58:4	156:21 158:15	291:10,12
4:17,17 8:15	212:12,17	73:18 76:4,6,8	159:15 161:17	knowledgeable
8:15 14:23,23	213:9,14	80:7,24 81:3	162:3,9,13,18	174:2
111:20,20,21	214:13,21	90:24 107:18	168:21 173:9	known 44:11
111:21,23,23	217:5,7,23	179:2 182:4	173:24 174:18	56:11 59:6
112:4,4,13,13	220:7 223:11	185:16 186:5	178:16 179:17	60:7,17 61:18
	226:16 232:6	266:9	180:2,20	61:20 87:22
		knitted 115:15	187:20 192:2	172:8 178:24

230:24	71:18 84:12	lasercut 50:12	legs 72:7	list 5:7,8 12:7
knows 136:9	109:1,4,8,8	50:16 51:20	length 49:12,13	13:11 14:13
244:15	156:15 163:21	52:8,14,21,23	296:11 299:18	29:19 30:9,17
kol 82:18	164:13 166:18	53:2,21	lengthened	31:1,3,6 32:6
kols 226:23	167:17 168:9	late 72:21	129:22	38:8 53:18
296:23	191:7,8 229:22	198:23	lengths 49:23	58:23 126:17
kugel 10:3,3	229:24 232:8	launch 165:4	leo 1:23 2:13	139:20,21
96:23 116:18	232:12,18	launched 60:8	306:3,18	146:12,13
L		68:2 289:11	lessinvasive	152:10,12
l 3:7 6:14	233:2,8 237:22	297:18	61:21	153:1,5 158:3
lab 94:1	238:8,9 255:21	lawsuit 5:8	lessons 88:11	182:2 212:20
label 108:17,18	259:13 263:14	lawsuits 303:15	letter 6:3,12,18	257:7 284:16
108:19 121:23	264:13 293:10	lawyer 91:21,22	7:3 86:22	289:3 290:20
123:21 124:5,7	293:14,14	252:12	108:11,23,23	296:15 301:7
127:4,5 128:23	295:15 296:8	lawyers 310:1	112:7 167:16	302:6
131:15 150:17	299:2	lay 259:11	179:23 203:3	listed 38:15
151:10 152:3	labels 122:3,3,8	lead 65:3 85:3	215:10,12,13	258:17 259:20
157:2,9,13,14	124:1,4 161:22	leaders 54:13	215:16 216:2	289:3 290:19
158:17 163:2,3	164:23 175:7,9	55:2 75:16	216:15 220:21	listen 146:13
164:11,21,24	175:10,12	97:14,17	221:2 222:13	153:19 260:7
165:1 166:16	177:9,15,16,17	leading 41:15	228:1 234:11	listened 225:13
166:17,20	294:5 297:7	281:18 283:1	234:17 237:2,2	225:15
167:7,9,23	298:4 299:7,8	285:12 286:20	letterhead 6:3	listening 301:2
168:4 174:6,17	laboratory 33:5	289:17 290:7	6:13,18 7:3,8	literature 14:21
174:19 175:13	102:18 170:13	291:24 295:5,9	letters 126:14	98:3 159:2
175:14 176:6,7	lacerations	295:23 297:13	234:10	160:5 168:23
176:8,8,10,16	100:3	leak 65:4	levals 76:21	169:19 170:21
176:22,22,23	laid 167:5	learned 33:8	level 186:16	172:22 196:21
176:24 177:2,2	language 138:1	88:12 90:4,6	lewis 231:7	286:14,14
177:11,12,13	139:12 140:4	104:4 106:6	lexisnexis 6:9	288:10 296:12
177:15,18,22	140:13,17,19	learning 59:22	liaison 41:5,21	296:19,22
178:2,3,4,6,8	141:2,8 145:12	77:19 78:14,15	libraries 212:1	297:7 299:18
178:12,14	155:9 158:18	82:14 89:15	library 276:24	300:1,2 301:15
229:21 230:4,5	159:19,20,21	143:17,18,21	licensed 93:10	litigation 11:2
233:1 235:21	160:1,16	144:5,8 157:6	lies 277:5	28:7,9,11 34:2
239:6,7 246:24	228:24 229:5	leave 27:20	life 294:4	37:2 93:22
246:24 258:13	229:11,15,17	56:14 150:2	light 117:3	94:2 110:22
258:19 263:15	230:3,8,8	leaving 39:1	143:9,9 163:11	242:1,14 250:2
265:8,23,24	laptop 106:16	287:17,23	likelihood 150:8	252:18 304:12
284:8 294:1	106:18	left 109:2 110:16	limit 56:24	litigations
295:10	laptops 106:17	111:5,21 112:4	230:21	241:24
labeled 6:23	139:10	285:2	limitations	little 65:3 68:7
191:14 192:14	larson 7:4	legal 36:8 37:11	231:3	87:8 129:19
labeling 44:3,22	laser 54:1 219:3	210:18 230:21	line 116:9 147:6	194:19 230:12
44:22 70:4	219:3 224:21	234:5,22 235:8	308:3 310:2	259:5
		235:16 237:3		live 46:11

Suzanne Parisian, M.D.

Page 333

280:14,15	185:10,21	140:16 149:17	37:12 38:10,23	248:3,13,17,20
living 92:13	190:17 192:8	153:3,9 159:1	39:20 44:24	248:24 250:4
98:19 294:1	193:20 194:15	159:2 161:18	51:9 64:18	251:5,18,22
llp 3:6,10,12	197:14 200:4,9	164:22 169:2	67:18 69:12	252:6 253:14
local 153:10,11	202:14,18	170:17 171:9	74:12,17,24	253:23 254:6
located 134:17	203:21 205:8	241:21 254:17	75:4 83:21	255:5 259:18
long 12:2 17:6	207:2 213:14	285:1 286:9,13	88:2 95:12	259:22 260:15
23:7 24:11	213:15 214:1	293:8 296:7	96:3 118:7	261:19 262:6
49:23 92:21	219:22 221:1	300:2	122:1 128:20	263:21 264:10
127:9 128:11	223:10,18	looks 290:15	131:4 137:11	264:19 266:20
130:16 131:1	224:6,24 226:6	loose 14:5 15:23	138:21 142:18	267:7 269:16
132:11 143:18	227:16,19,23	16:13	148:15 152:5	270:7 272:3
143:21 186:6	229:21 233:13	lost 129:1	153:24 154:5,8	273:15 274:6,9
241:5 242:9	244:11 246:13	lot 14:2 32:6	154:11 155:14	275:6 277:9,22
longer 54:19	247:2 271:8,15	43:18 50:14	155:19 156:2	279:14,17
78:15	271:16,21,21	72:17 73:7,21	158:20 159:7	280:21 281:1,2
longterm 42:23	271:22 272:7	88:6 94:7,15	159:11 162:12	281:9,20
43:1 44:4,11	273:16,22	96:19 99:9	164:8 165:4,7	282:15 283:2
44:23 160:9	274:16 286:10	109:14 111:4	165:12,16	285:17 287:7
161:2 239:6	286:16 287:1	116:4,5,6	166:3,6 167:2	289:14 290:1
look 13:4 15:14	289:19 297:4	120:2 121:5	171:2,7,15	290:12 292:4
17:17 21:9,10	301:14,16	138:16 161:8	172:5,16 175:5	294:14 295:7
21:13 24:5	looked 29:3 30:5	165:20 168:2	175:21 176:4	295:14 296:1
25:5 29:5	34:24 70:12	229:14 269:1	176:15,20	296:17 298:7
30:22 31:16	71:4,12 79:8	277:13 281:5	178:19 180:5	298:12 299:1
35:11 38:19	102:12 104:12	282:23	184:5 185:4	299:17 301:4
39:8,8 40:2,11	105:1 110:6,22	lots 116:11	187:9,24	301:20 303:16
42:11 45:24	116:16,19,20	louisiana 3:4	188:12,21	303:23 304:2
53:5 56:19	116:23 117:2,2	love 3:3 277:14	189:11 191:15	305:4
60:9 61:1,1	117:7 125:11	low 209:5	192:15 198:2	lundquists
62:17 66:22	162:10 168:18	lower 152:14	205:9 206:3,18	22:10,20 30:3
68:21,22 70:5	168:21 197:16	181:15,16	207:19 208:1,7	74:10
70:6,7,9,16	233:18 237:24	lucente 71:16	208:18,23	lying 277:13
72:10,15,20	241:22 242:9	77:16,17	209:21 211:23	
73:18 82:8	267:12 268:5	lunch 165:12	213:11 215:4	
94:5 104:8,23	293:21 297:7	166:4,14	217:9 218:4,9	
104:24 110:4	305:2	luncheon 4:4	218:17,24	
110:17,20	looking 15:21	166:9	220:14 221:4	
116:5,5,7,10	18:21 20:22	lundquist 3:5	222:10 230:15	
122:3 125:9,13	21:7 27:7 33:4	4:12 16:15,18	231:13,19	
125:17 126:6,6	33:5 37:20	21:16,17,18,22	232:4 234:4,24	
135:8 138:6	48:12 66:11,13	22:11,21 23:10	236:16 237:15	
144:19 152:23	66:18 85:14	24:2,7,9,11,17	238:10 240:18	
162:2 165:2	102:19 112:9	24:20,24 25:10	242:18 243:2	
169:1 183:17	112:23 117:1	34:4 35:24	243:21 244:3	
184:18 185:2	128:23 140:15	36:22 37:3,7	244:23 245:21	

main 164:12	234:15 235:12	221:9 236:2,14	mcallen 3:13	116:4,15
major 54:3	239:17 249:23	237:5 238:16	mclean 276:16	117:22 123:23
majority 31:14	284:8 286:22	267:17,23	md 210:21 249:4	140:9,10 143:8
making 27:14	291:7 292:9	268:8,16	249:20 250:11	144:19 153:9
45:3 48:22	301:19	300:20 302:20	250:14,19,23	159:21 161:17
90:18 131:5	manufacturing	marketed 41:1	mdl 10:22 11:1	165:16 168:2,7
139:20 146:12	28:6 48:2	57:5,12 62:1	21:21 22:12,14	169:22 170:2
146:13 153:1	115:6 116:1,3	77:24 87:4	22:17	170:12,18
176:24 240:16	116:4,8,10	164:24 176:13	mdls 10:21 11:2	176:5 195:12
304:12	182:16 184:11	189:13 207:9	11:4,6 21:23	196:18 199:4
male 257:22	222:1 223:7	209:24 222:24	22:1,9	206:16 208:19
managed 98:12	march 78:14,17	238:19,21,22	mdr 68:15 72:17	209:9 211:1,4
99:2	mark 16:8,11	marketing 42:15	73:17,19 74:19	212:13 215:15
management	17:17 25:6	61:15 64:11	75:9 241:11,13	232:15 251:10
203:20	124:24 181:17	80:15 81:11	243:12,14	252:22 253:1
mandatory	189:21 199:13	82:1 89:5,6,6	244:12,13	253:16 261:3
40:15 68:18	201:24 215:22	89:13,16 90:18	245:4 248:1,15	264:7 266:14
69:10 184:13	219:23 220:1	131:18 139:4	248:15 253:1,8	266:15,22
209:4 302:16	270:1	222:12 239:19	253:15,17,18	275:5 278:3,4
302:18	marked 12:22	242:4 284:10	254:12,23	278:13 296:5
mankiewicz	13:1 16:19	291:9 292:15	mdrs 68:21 70:9	meaning 167:10
1:23 2:13	17:20 19:16	293:16,16	70:10 71:6,11	meaningful
306:3,18	25:7 26:22	markets 223:2	71:13,17 73:20	149:23
manual 99:14	29:12,15 45:23	marking 125:5	79:11 81:9	means 18:19
manufactured	52:15 70:21	marlex 9:24	87:18 89:18	140:9 182:7,13
172:3	125:2,24	116:20 198:4	241:9,10	183:23 191:12
manufacturer	126:18 133:7	married 304:9	243:23 244:7	196:20 207:16
10:4 11:24	164:2 181:20	material 6:6	245:14,16	221:16 270:9
44:6 105:1,18	184:15 190:1	114:13 164:12	246:1,5 247:22	meant 102:12
122:8,10	199:20 202:5	195:8,16	253:6,10,12	142:23 211:18
167:16 174:19	215:19 220:4	197:19 198:6	254:2,8 296:6	measured
175:2 177:6	227:13,16	198:12 206:7	113:7	102:13
184:8 222:3,24	256:13 257:6,8	206:17 208:4	mean 10:9 16:16	mechanical
223:2,6 234:7	258:6 265:19	208:16 209:6,9	17:1,4 21:8	219:4 224:22
234:9,12,18	270:3,12	218:15,22	25:3 27:21	mechanicalcut
235:10,17,20	market 47:16	219:6,7,8,9	31:2 32:20	50:11 51:19
236:1,13,13	49:1 58:10	224:17 229:8	41:2,18,19	52:22 53:22
237:7,7 283:15	59:7 79:15,24	242:2 271:9	63:1 73:9	mechanically...
294:5,13,16,19	80:4,13,19	materials 15:8	74:13,20 82:10	50:16 52:14
294:24 301:13	88:18 89:19	17:10 31:5	88:20 91:21	53:2
301:13	90:15 105:18	105:20	94:20 95:18	mechanism 50:6
manufacturers	110:16 123:13	math 11:15	97:11,22 98:14	54:2,9
10:8,17 46:22	161:6,14	matter 4:20 87:6	100:2,3,12	medical 5:16
99:16 175:7	163:12,13	204:4 289:7	102:7,14	11:22,24 12:20
177:9,14	169:6 172:15	303:24 306:9	104:23 105:1	14:21 15:14
185:18 223:8	177:7 220:13	maude 252:16	108:10 109:14	28:5 33:17

34:19,19 35:6	76:10 111:8	110:14 111:15	267:23 268:8	121:1,15
35:22 36:9,24	112:12 169:22	111:18 112:16	268:10,15,22	125:14,16
41:19 42:8	273:5 287:1	112:17 114:14	269:1,5,23	159:4,6 172:22
68:17,21 69:21	meetings 24:16	114:14,19	271:23 272:14	173:8 174:1,3
70:7 73:5,13	24:20 41:10	115:7,10,15,20	274:17 285:20	257:17 258:11
92:16,22 95:7	98:5 123:10	115:23 116:16	285:24 286:4	263:12,17
95:10,16,19,20	199:8 286:24	116:16,17,20	288:9	264:11 298:23
95:24 96:1,1,4	melts 52:9	116:20 117:1	mesh08003295	million 28:10
97:21,23 99:24	member 92:16	117:19 121:2,3	5:13	minchew 6:5
100:8,12,15,18	92:21 271:5	121:4,5,23	mesh09634675	mind 100:21
100:21,23,24	members 22:7	122:24 123:1,7	203:21	mine 16:10
101:1,2,5	270:21	123:19,20,20	meshes 104:16	mini 76:22
104:8 105:8,10	mention 59:22	127:9 128:14	117:3,3,3,7,18	239:17
105:14 108:3	145:16 257:12	131:10 132:14	198:3 200:13	miniarc 87:1
108:19 109:7	mentioned 58:4	135:10 136:17	mess 220:12	minimally 96:22
109:17 111:4,8	58:5,5	146:9 154:23	messed 224:4	minimize 139:10
112:8,19,22,23	mesh 5:11 6:7	158:5,7,7	met 22:22 23:3,3	minimum 242:7
113:6 118:6,12	6:17,21 7:7,9	175:2,6 177:6	23:10,13,14,15	minisling 177:6
118:14 124:12	9:20,24 10:1,2	190:10 193:19	24:6,8,13,19	minitape 226:18
151:13,24	10:3,11,17	195:3,5,7,9,11	methodology	minute 20:4
159:2 160:5	11:12 15:8	195:12,15,19	169:10,11,14	32:16 35:13
169:19 170:21	17:2,3,4 18:11	197:5,6,6,8	170:3 284:11	82:8 89:24
172:21 182:1,5	19:5,18,21	198:1,4,5,9,11	284:13 286:18	103:11 118:5
183:3,12,22	21:8 31:7,17	198:16,16	286:22 287:10	144:1 146:12
186:3 191:6	32:9,24 33:1,5	199:6,24 200:5	microscope	163:10 187:20
192:21 193:14	33:6,11,12	200:23 201:3	117:21,24	189:3,7,17
193:24 194:1	37:9 40:19	201:15 202:13	microscopes	210:3 214:9
196:21 209:13	41:22,23 42:8	206:6,16,21	117:24	225:2 236:10
210:20 212:1	43:6,9,12,13	207:22 208:2	middle 20:23	241:10 243:5
225:16 241:23	43:14,23 49:12	208:13,15	40:3 42:13	245:13 247:11
242:10 249:21	49:13 50:16	212:12,14,14	66:24 280:9	247:16 248:6
250:24 251:15	51:20 52:4,7	212:18,24	297:1	254:19 255:14
275:4,19	52:21 53:2,19	213:2,3,5,10	middlebrooks	256:9 261:9,24
286:14,19	54:1,14,18,19	213:17,19,22	279:4	262:1,21
287:6 288:10	55:14,15 56:22	213:23 214:7	midurethra	264:17 269:11
300:1 301:6,18	60:18,19 64:24	214:12,18	143:2	269:11 274:23
medically	65:6 78:15	215:1 216:23	midurethral 7:9	287:8
151:11	97:13 98:7	217:1,17	271:24 272:11	minutes 165:17
medicine 41:9	99:8,9,10	218:12 224:6	272:12 273:12	248:22 252:6
93:3 112:8	101:10,11,16	224:14,23,24	migration	misbrand
237:22 238:9	101:20 102:3,5	227:6,24	104:11	221:24 234:12
271:4 290:17	102:8,12,16	231:12,22	miklos 14:13	234:19
meet 24:1,11	103:17,18,23	238:4 239:12	29:9 36:15	misbranded
169:21	104:5,9,12,19	250:14,21	80:10 82:11	168:2,4 233:12
meeting 22:22	109:12,13	251:1,4,9,13	83:2 86:2,3	233:17,22
24:2,14,15	110:9,11,11,12	252:18 267:17	87:23 91:8	234:10,16

235:12,20	mothers 277:13	276:21,21	301:16	177:1,3 181:3
236:12	motive 96:9,12	279:8 280:11	needed 11:5	195:18 201:19
misbranding	96:12	289:1,23	47:21 56:8	203:12 208:9
87:14 164:10	move 34:15 51:5	named 115:4	61:14 68:6	211:18 232:8
221:23 233:24	61:11 62:14	names 65:16,22	70:9 87:17	232:12,17,20
234:7,22	74:11 107:12	247:19	91:1 122:21	233:2,11,16,18
235:16,18	118:10 129:3	narrow 81:21	225:20 239:7	233:20 237:13
237:3,4,6	129:12 138:17	national 14:18	263:14 266:8	237:21 238:8
misleading	153:6 159:9	41:5,14 112:12	273:2 288:18	238:15,18,20
84:11 232:19	161:10 206:13	267:22 289:2	288:24 289:1	250:11,14,19
233:4	234:20 236:21	289:21 290:23	289:11 292:14	250:23 251:3,8
misrepresent	244:18 257:3	290:23	297:8	251:12,15
224:11	259:3 260:5	nature 156:24	needing 298:2	267:16,22
misrepresented	273:9 274:21	nda 6:6 110:4,6	needs 48:22	268:3,7,15
226:21,22	289:12 295:12	110:17,19,20	63:16 84:1	275:20 276:15
missing 124:22	298:5 299:15	190:18,21,23	87:16 129:5	276:22 277:2
176:10 257:10	moved 128:17	191:12,16,24	130:17,17	278:6 298:4
265:15	128:22,24	193:7 194:6	134:1 144:24	303:7
mississippi 3:11	129:5,7,15,17	195:17 197:2	149:7 150:5	new 49:24 50:2
mix 57:24	129:23 130:2	218:18 228:4	151:23 152:16	50:4,5,5,6
mod 212:15	130:13 132:10	229:16 230:6	156:6,12,18	64:24 105:18
mode 103:22	134:19 194:18	ndaapproved	157:6,15,20,22	165:5 185:24
modified 212:12	moving 90:11	194:16 195:7,8	157:23 158:3	186:18,21
212:14,18	139:22 140:24	197:11	160:3,24	209:16 250:6
213:2,5,9,22	multifilament	near 141:20	169:23 258:1	285:23,24
214:6,11	115:22	necessary	258:14 259:13	286:4 288:17
231:11	multiincision	186:16,22	298:22 301:8	newest 184:21
monarc 76:6	180:12 217:3	279:6 287:11	neither 50:3	184:24 185:2,8
money 210:12	274:1	290:5 307:4	279:9	nice 167:15
211:17 249:11	multiple 206:23	need 30:12,23	nerve 158:9	290:9,13,14
249:15 304:8	multisling	57:8 58:6	nerves 139:11	nicely 169:4
304:11	180:12	60:15 65:2	never 12:13 18:6	night 15:13 69:1
monies 249:9	mus 271:23	70:3 85:5	18:23 20:15	70:7 71:3
monitored	272:8,14 274:4	114:19 115:10	43:5,14 50:1	nih 41:10,12,20
275:23	<hr/>	126:12 130:19	86:21 99:2,23	41:21 42:5,10
monitoring	N	141:23 144:10	100:4,14	268:5,6
241:23 242:10	n 4:1 8:3	146:3 149:6	101:15,20	nilsson 58:16
monitorr 15:12	n16374 7:5	150:22 154:21	102:2,5,20,22	nine 27:1 71:13
15:12	name 8:14 29:6	156:2,18,20	105:8 108:8,16	72:2
monofilament	51:11 63:23	174:12 183:16	109:22 110:1	nonabsorbable
271:23 272:14	114:20 115:2	185:7,19	111:14 112:18	6:15 204:9
month 73:3,3	122:17,21	194:15 214:4	117:9,15	207:8
242:7	210:19 219:11	233:16 235:5	121:23 122:23	nonexperts
morning 8:11	247:6,12,15,16	247:1 248:21	123:21 124:14	76:17
mother 277:5,7	255:10,11	273:16,22	146:5 160:21	nonphysician
277:12	276:16,17,20	293:12 294:7	174:10,21	259:11

Suzanne Parisian, M.D.

Page 337

nonresponsive	190:20 262:13	290:7 291:24	oftentimes 99:5	125:7,12,19
34:16 51:5	262:15,22	294:12 295:5	157:8 253:8	126:1,11,23
62:14 107:13	266:6 274:16	296:16 297:13	292:2	127:7 128:4,13
118:11 129:3	281:12	298:10 299:11	oh 10:2 12:21	129:6 130:1,5
129:12 138:17	numbers 5:10	300:22 301:3	20:10 103:7	130:22 132:20
159:10 161:10	5:12 6:7,16,21	obligated 283:16	110:9 118:15	133:13,21
206:14 234:21	6:24 7:6	obtained 14:22	153:14 155:20	134:23 135:4
236:21 244:19	243:23 246:5	31:9,12 32:10	177:12 181:10	135:14,20
257:3 260:6	numbness 72:7	157:24 293:1	185:16 215:24	136:22 137:7
273:9 274:22	O	obturator 57:6	257:21 264:7	137:20 138:2,5
289:13 295:13	o 8:3	obvious 49:6,10	272:22 290:19	138:6,6 140:1
298:6 299:16	oo 7:11 8:4,8	49:11 68:5	303:3	140:6 141:2,4
301:3	305:18	obviously 16:15	oha 107:22	141:11 142:8
north 3:13	oath 306:5	34:22 36:4,16	112:21 113:3	143:23 144:4,7
nose 41:7	obese 81:20	74:21 281:11	296:4	145:5,16,21,22
notary 309:18	135:23 136:23	297:11 300:19	okay 9:17 10:16	146:17,22,24
notebooks 15:24	obgyn 109:19	occasionally	11:3,10 13:12	147:10,12
16:12 31:17,18	268:7 282:18	109:19	14:10 15:17,23	148:2,6,11,23
noted 309:6	283:12	occur 120:6	16:13,18 17:9	149:9,12
notes 31:10	object 35:24	141:13 149:22	21:11 22:6	150:14,22
70:19 310:1	36:22 37:7	158:4 175:15	23:18 24:1	152:8,21 153:8
notice 2:14 4:17	95:12 118:7	occurred 198:21	25:18 27:19	153:15,20,22
14:14 264:24	148:15 158:20	occurring 71:20	30:16 31:21	154:16,19
notification 6:23	167:2 171:2,7	october 7:6	32:4 33:13	155:4 156:3,10
81:7,8 82:1	171:15 175:21	228:1	34:8 35:21	157:14 159:18
87:19 89:14,15	176:15 178:19	ode 40:7 108:5,5	37:14,15,23	160:6 162:3,16
207:11 233:7	180:5 184:5	offer 34:8 35:8	38:5 39:9	166:7,13
237:4,10,24	187:9 188:12	37:14 124:17	43:13 44:19,20	168:12,17,19
notifications	188:21 191:15	124:18 242:23	49:14 50:23	170:24 173:19
5:20	192:15 198:2	277:19	51:7,16 53:5	174:24 177:20
notified 252:20	205:9 206:3	offered 9:5	53:15 58:7,9	177:23 178:7
notify 73:14	209:21 213:11	290:15	59:13,15 61:1	178:22 184:18
novel 276:15	240:18 245:21	offering 32:19	61:9 62:17	186:9,14
278:8	248:3 250:4	34:18 37:17	64:2 65:10	187:17 188:5,6
november 14:18	251:5 253:14	38:9 244:20	69:9 70:4,6,14	188:24 189:4
45:16 218:2	253:23 254:6	office 7:4 25:21	72:15 74:16,23	191:23 193:1
220:21 221:2	260:15 261:19	40:6 107:19,21	77:3 78:8,10	194:2,12
novo 77:13	264:19 272:3	107:24 108:6	79:11 81:15,23	195:22 196:11
149:17	277:22 282:12	113:4,6 163:3	83:22 84:20	197:16 198:15
number 6:16	298:17	203:5 287:21	85:5,13,17,20	198:21 199:1
21:17 25:6	objection 22:11	officer 35:6	86:9 87:12	200:9,20
26:20 84:3	34:4 67:18	95:19 104:8	90:21 91:13	201:24 202:2
126:19 130:10	162:12 243:21	109:18 111:8	92:10 93:2	203:23 204:3
145:12 149:1,2	281:18 285:12	112:9 225:16	100:11 105:8	204:15 207:5
149:11,15,16	286:20 289:17	286:19	107:17 110:3	207:22 209:19
150:1,16 165:2		officers 108:3	110:24 111:3	211:13 215:24

Suzanne Parisian, M.D.

Page 338

215:24 218:21	52:13 54:13	116:2 124:18	200:23 216:6	129:10,15,24
219:12 221:3	55:2 59:9	125:21 144:2	229:15 307:12	130:2,2,11,13
222:18 223:18	68:16 69:11	159:3,19	originally 54:7	130:13,24
225:2 227:20	73:22 74:15	165:21 168:3	57:1 81:1	132:9,10,11,12
236:8,11 238:2	75:16 82:9	176:10 177:21	107:20 211:4	132:14 134:10
242:1 243:9	83:18 86:19	178:2,3,6	293:24	134:11,12,18
245:14 247:13	88:16,17 109:1	185:11 200:2	otn 217:1	135:3,5 138:3
248:20 253:20	125:17 128:1	202:12 216:5	outcome 35:17	138:4 145:4,12
256:1 259:23	136:5 143:19	225:16 232:24	36:6 55:4,8	183:18 186:8
260:10 261:14	167:24 168:24	242:23 245:19	outside 35:3,4	186:11 188:4,5
261:24 262:11	170:4,20	256:3 263:17	75:20 118:23	200:4,9 203:2
262:18 263:5,9	171:18 173:2	263:18 264:6	outstanding	203:21,23
267:11 271:15	173:15 180:9	270:16 277:20	41:18	204:2,19 207:2
276:17 278:8	180:17,19,19	281:6,11,14,23	overlap 291:8	207:4 224:6
286:6 287:8	243:18 244:20	289:7 290:4,21	overreaching	226:6 228:12
288:6 290:13	258:20 272:10	292:13,17,21	274:13	257:11 263:12
297:10 299:6	279:2 283:15	295:15,21	oversee 221:18	265:9,9 271:8
302:3 303:7,15	283:21 284:12	296:2 299:2,9	overstate 293:18	297:1 308:3
old 250:7	284:13 287:10	opposed 50:12	owens 56:12	310:2
older 226:3	296:19	56:10 68:4	owner 210:8,15	pages 5:14 38:5
oliveira 3:12,14	opinions 17:11	166:17 224:21	oxidize 205:12	38:20,22 39:14
202:22 250:8	21:13 23:16	259:24 286:1	P	129:11 132:21
once 23:14 56:2	32:5,13,15,18	options 96:19,21	p 1:5 3:3 4:4,4,5	306:7 309:3
115:11 116:19	33:17,20,23	98:16 99:5	4:5,5,5 8:3	pain 18:24 19:13
164:23	34:1,9,17,18	268:23 269:6	166:9,9 252:9	72:6 154:24
oneinch 4:23	35:13,21 36:12	269:24	252:9 277:16	158:10 257:14
oneincision	36:21 37:4,5	oral 4:18	277:16 305:17	257:22,24
180:15,18	37:13,16 38:9	order 14:17 25:4	pacemakers	258:3 266:10
ones 10:2 11:19	38:15,20,21,24	51:20 79:24	100:13	279:5
14:15 16:9	39:3,17,18,21	126:1 159:14	package 162:6	panel 109:4,7
17:7 22:5,7	39:24 45:14	161:7,15 202:9	228:13	199:5,5 267:16
31:19 32:9	47:13 52:23	223:1 238:24	packet 223:14	267:18,19
83:7 103:20	58:12 59:15,17	239:9,14,23	pads 96:24	273:2,5
164:13 277:20	60:13 63:9	240:1,3,13	page 4:2,8,16	panels 199:3,4,8
278:11 279:13	67:7,14 68:9	283:5 293:10	5:2,14 6:2 7:2	200:13,15
292:9	69:4 75:7,9	293:11	13:4 37:22,23	201:5 291:16
onesinglesize	77:7 78:6,10	orders 240:9	38:6 39:1,8,16	291:17
136:20	79:2,9 80:21	organization	40:2 41:4	paper 14:6
onetime 211:20	82:6 83:14,15	41:12 97:24	42:11 45:12	16:13,23 26:21
onetoone 246:14	83:19 84:21,23	288:23	49:3 53:5,9	paradigm
online 277:1	85:2,3,6,16,21	organizations	62:17,17 65:11	207:17
open 199:8	85:24 86:10,14	97:18 285:1	66:22 70:17	paragraph 39:2
opened 90:24	87:20 88:1,6	290:18	126:21 127:12	39:5 67:20
opine 53:10	88:13,15 90:1	organs 54:9	127:13,18	202:15,18
opinion 16:23	91:5,11,16,18	original 48:8	128:8,11,16	204:1,3,20
35:1,8 46:18	98:3 115:24	105:7 198:11		207:2,4,5

221:8 222:16	part 6:9 22:14	patch 15:4 53:16	200:24 233:9	84:3,5 141:15
226:7 271:8,21	34:9 35:10	160:22 224:18	255:18,19	242:5
271:22 272:13	40:17 46:24	patent 105:11	257:16	percentage
272:19,20	48:13 67:20	patents 15:11	223:23 224:4	27:24 28:1
273:10,17	68:16 88:12	path 74:7	pattern 244:8	31:5 73:2,10
274:11,12	98:3 129:19,19	102:11	paxil 21:24,24	perfect 177:17
pardon 40:9	167:7 181:13	pathologist	22:4,15,23	perforation
99:12 263:22	182:9,11	60:21 92:10	23:5	57:19,20,21
281:13	201:16 209:19	94:1,6 95:2,14	pay 139:23	141:6,10,14
parisian 1:12	250:24 251:13	95:15,15 98:18	190:3	248:8
2:9 4:9,18,20	280:15 283:19	pathology 93:4	paying 25:16	perforations
5:3,7 8:5,11	283:22 284:4,5	96:5	pds 15:8	254:2
38:5 39:2 40:3	295:19	patient 5:12	pedicle 12:9	perform 145:7
42:14 45:14	participant	33:4 37:1	peerreviewed	performance
48:16 51:3	275:8,18	47:21 63:16	18:7 168:22	48:13,24 64:23
52:12 53:10	participate	71:9 81:17	pelvic 18:24	65:12 75:19
58:11 60:10,24	99:15 105:19	83:24 84:1	19:13 42:2,5,8	89:3,9 160:9
62:15,20 64:16	279:9	87:7 92:13	42:9 43:13,15	200:14 201:18
66:10 67:1	participated	99:2,3 114:7	57:17 98:10	201:20 209:4
73:23 74:8	24:19 99:7,9	120:14 122:23	101:20 102:3	performed
75:7 79:3 92:5	99:13 117:9	123:4,5 125:9	104:19 109:23	102:20,22
125:5 126:16	274:24 275:2,3	135:22 137:6	250:12,13	103:8,15,16,22
130:23 131:3	275:18 276:2	149:6,12 157:7	271:3 289:1	116:21 179:8
132:5 143:15	participation	302:22	pelvis 55:17	251:8,12
146:13 148:8	165:11:9	157:12 165:3,6	303:6	performing
148:11 149:16	17:11 22:13	165:21 255:2	79:17 80:8	81:10,12
150:20 151:2	35:12 37:2	255:10,11,15	penalty 8:20	performs 47:20
152:2,24	95:20 116:6	255:24 256:4	penis 257:23	104:5 284:1
153:19 156:4	196:20 265:13	256:12,18	people 22:1 46:9	period 43:19
158:23 160:11	286:12 299:20	257:6,8,18	47:23 71:16	74:18 113:14
161:5,23 162:3	particularly	258:5,15,23	77:18 80:1	174:16 205:11
166:14 181:3	44:7 45:8 46:9	259:1,17,21	81:14 82:15	231:6
184:20 202:1	64:10 77:10	260:13 261:11	97:11,24 98:19	perjury 8:21
209:12 214:7	80:12 168:5	261:18 262:2	100:3 105:19	permanence
214:15,20	240:14 245:24	262:10 263:8	114:9 119:9	293:22
215:22 220:1	254:10,24	263:15,18,19	133:10 136:9	permanency
227:18 231:8	257:24 285:19	264:23 265:2	137:5 139:5	258:10 259:7
241:9 244:20	287:20 288:12	265:19 276:5	158:10 206:22	permanent
252:11 256:10	296:4 297:16	288:16 299:20	211:8,9,15	120:7 146:8
256:12,12	partner 257:14	patients 81:19	212:1,2 214:18	154:15 156:24
269:14 271:13	257:20 258:1,2	82:15 89:17	231:2 277:6	158:5 266:9
272:6 274:23	parts 101:7	95:6 98:20,21	296:23	permanently
277:18 302:6	parttime 226:3	135:21 136:3	75:17,17,18	201:1
306:6,11 309:8	pass 301:21	136:13,18,19	76:5,6,7,13,13	permitted 25:3
park 3:10	passed 231:7	139:24 157:10	77:14 78:19,22	
parkway 3:6		157:10,20		

person 36:2 41:9 46:19 60:22 95:6,17 103:11 103:13 137:4 203:19 210:11 247:6 259:11	138:13 140:9 141:16 142:24 144:6 147:22 149:24 151:23 156:11,21 157:3,6,11,22	pigmented 229:23 230:1 pile 213:13 place 29:7 57:16 57:17 60:23 83:4 133:12,13 149:10	197:2 227:21 227:22 228:10 229:7 230:7 pmaapproved 196:5 pmas 42:20 122:4	78:13 poorly 136:6 pop 98:10 267:20 population 147:21,23 260:24 263:3
personal 291:10 291:11	161:1 168:10 174:2 180:20	placed 142:4,14 143:1 201:1 300:20	point 27:11 34:5 69:13 73:4 83:9 100:19	pores 104:11 115:21
personally 247:5	240:23 256:21	placement 57:14 58:6 128:5,6,9 130:12 137:24 138:3	106:13 108:24 145:3,11 169:9 191:21 210:18 213:12 243:8	porosity 104:10
personnel 47:14	258:14 259:8	places 109:21	244:14 245:1,3	position 7:9 140:22 141:1,4 141:5,5,17,19 141:23
persons 100:1 247:12	264:12 266:12 267:5 282:3	plaintiff 1:3 3:2 11:4,8,16,21 12:20	247:2 248:18 258:12 263:13 267:15 276:11	positioning 126:24 127:2,3
petition 202:16	287:14 298:20	plaintiffs 5:4 9:5 31:6,8,21 32:1 121:16 166:1 249:12 252:18 304:18 305:1	points 49:17 167:4 183:20	positions 133:3
ph 6:19 7:4	298:20,22	plan 37:17 38:9 56:16 66:14 68:1 278:15	olicies 241:22 242:10	possession 305:12
pharmaceutical 11:22 12:19	physicians 36:15,16 45:6	plane 26:12,14 planning 39:19 243:15	polymer 94:8 polymers 204:22	possible 281:24 301:5
philadelphia 23:5	48:12 54:12 55:7 56:9,14	plans 62:3 plant 57:9,17	polypropylene 6:15	post 72:13 77:20
phoenix 1:15 2:10,11	57:9 59:12 60:4 62:3	plane 26:12,14 planning 39:19 243:15	polypropylene 6:6 7:5 114:12 114:13,14,15	postmarket 40:23 56:14 59:5 67:12
phone 21:1 106:20 107:4,8 107:15	80:10 81:7 82:10,13,20 86:6,23 87:24	plans 62:3 plant 57:9,17	polypropylene 6:15	68:8,10,11,13
phones 106:21 106:22,23 107:2,3,9	89:8 127:23,24 233:9 241:1,3 247:4 257:15	play 299:19 plays 34:23 please 50:23	polypropylene 6:6 7:5 114:12 114:13,14,15	69:7,13,18,19 70:8 75:19
photocopy 4:17 5:3,4,6,9,12,15 5:17 6:3,8,12 6:18,22 7:3,8	258:12 259:6 263:13 273:3 282:9 294:3 297:3	play 299:19 plays 34:23 please 50:23	polypropylene 6:6 7:5 114:12 114:13,14,15	77:20 78:8
physical 34:23 168:16 170:12 170:13 171:14 171:16,20	physiological 50:17,18 51:1 51:11,17,22 52:17 56:24	platform 77:11 picks 292:10 picture 133:4 188:19 191:20 213:8 255:1	platform 77:11 picks 292:10 picture 133:4 188:19 191:20 213:8 255:1	79:1,2,16 81:6
physically 99:20 113:22 114:6 178:10,11 179:12	117:23 132:18 132:20 133:11 133:12,13,17 156:20,20,22	picks 292:10 picture 133:4 188:19 191:20 213:8 255:1	platform 77:11 picks 292:10 picture 133:4 188:19 191:20 213:8 255:1	83:17,21 86:5
physician 35:2 36:3,24 47:21 54:10 56:17 63:16 64:10 73:18,19 89:14 126:5 127:14 129:1 131:17 131:22 134:1	117:23 132:18 132:20 133:11 133:12,13,17 156:20,20,22	plus 38:11 piece 26:21 102:16 pieces 55:14	play 299:19 plays 34:23 please 50:23 78:11 126:17 210:17 243:7 255:23 260:12 307:3,8	86:18 87:3 88:10,12,21 89:7 90:10,23 91:4 112:20 113:1,2,4 141:9 149:21 151:6 157:24 177:3 179:21 227:4 238:12 239:5 247:7 291:20 294:3 295:22 296:3,5 299:10
			plenty 80:16 plus 38:11 pma 7:6 187:11 187:13 188:7 194:6,18 196:2	poor 31:2 53:24 55:2 57:21
				postmarketing 69:4 73:24

75:7 77:2,8	193:23 194:3,4	292:13,14	207:24 208:5	76:11 98:11
78:7 79:10,19	194:5,8,20,24	293:5 300:3,12	price 211:15	146:4 148:3
80:22,23 82:7	195:2,3,5,7,12	300:15	primarily 29:5	223:9 241:23
83:14 84:22	195:16 197:7	premarketing	32:10 43:21	242:10 247:20
85:22 86:11	precaution	80:24 82:6	297:24	247:21 261:2
88:4 90:2 91:6	151:18 152:15	83:14 84:21	primary 36:8,12	289:1 290:11
91:17 112:19	precautions	85:21 86:10	36:20,23 37:4	291:6 302:19
157:5 169:15	129:9 136:1	88:4 90:2 91:6	100:5	proceed 43:11
170:18 177:1	137:20,24	91:16	principal 275:24	58:17
247:17 296:6	138:7 140:16	premature	principles	proceedings 4:3
postoperative	143:1 149:4,7	246:7	224:16	306:8
149:2,5,8,14	150:5 151:11	prematurely	print 71:3	proceeds 204:23
potential 48:23	151:12,15,21	91:1	printed 16:9	process 33:8
53:10,13,15	152:10,17	prep 27:4,5	71:8	45:17,21 46:4
56:12 57:19	156:19 157:16	prepare 29:24	printout 6:9	46:21 47:1,13
58:1,12 59:8	259:3	30:1	prior 67:3	49:17 104:18
59:12,16 60:1	preceptor 83:3	prepared 30:2,3	189:14 226:8	104:20,23
60:18 61:16	159:15	preparing 282:8	230:4 241:10	105:5 115:6,8
62:6 67:15	preclinical	prescription	256:14 292:14	170:2 185:23
80:11 86:20	289:9	84:12 158:16	292:19	186:19 188:9
119:21 141:16	preclude 226:10	164:11	privileged 47:10	209:16,19
144:22 146:3	predated 199:12	present 4:20 5:6	privileges 93:6	215:8 226:15
154:23 156:21	predicate 49:6	presentation	probably 23:8	283:18 287:5
172:8 173:6	187:12,13,19	57:15 76:1	processes 40:16	
240:24 287:15	188:13 189:12	77:10,22 79:5	291:7	
293:17 297:6	213:2,17 218:6	79:22	product 14:24	
301:14	219:18 220:17	presentations	15:2,9 19:24	
potentially	226:19	19:2,3	33:1 40:22	
147:22 209:9	predicates	presented 5:13	43:6,11 47:16	
285:15 294:22	209:23 219:21	19:5 119:8,9	48:11,12,13,22	
powerpoint 79:5	predicting 55:3	186:21	48:24 55:13,23	
practice 94:16	pregnancy	preservatives	56:2 58:10	
144:14 145:6	147:3,5,19,20	76:9 77:1	59:6 60:7 62:5	
174:1 226:5	147:24 148:1	79:20 98:22	64:7 66:15	
practices 116:10	260:17,23	presided 40:3	146:10 181:15	
182:16 184:11	262:13 263:2	210:6,23	258:8 296:13	
222:2	pregnant 147:15	211:19 212:6,8	296:20	
practicetype	premarket 5:20	212:10	procedure 72:20	
94:17	56:15 58:15	pretty 23:23	76:18 101:6	
practicing 94:22	59:2,2,9,14	74:14 110:16	80:4,7,12,14	
95:1	62:2 67:11,13	prevent 44:6	81:4,10,11	
pre 193:10	67:17,19 83:12	preventive 56:4	82:9,13,18,21	
197:7	83:21 108:4	56:5 79:12	82:23 83:5	
pre1976 197:5,8	207:11 283:16	299:13	86:1 87:1,4	
197:23	286:11 287:11	prevents 44:16	88:20 89:9,11	
preamendment	288:7,21 290:4	272:16	99:11,14,16,18	
		70:21 197:20	procedures	101:12,22
				102:3 103:17

103:18,19,23	40:24 57:22	117:2 118:3	protection 6:4	pulling 125:14
104:2,22,22	70:15 71:5	135:11 189:17	protective 14:17	pullout 56:23
105:6,9,10,11	79:14 80:17	189:18 190:12	25:4	57:2
105:14,18	81:4,6 86:7	190:14,21,23	protocol 46:10	pulmonary 41:8
111:7,9,15,16	87:8 97:13	191:9 192:13	protocols 46:4	pump 279:5
111:18 112:9	109:12,13,14	193:15 194:17	protogen 17:6	punishing 239:9
112:16,17	109:16,23	194:23 195:3,7	proven 274:19	purported 38:21
115:3 121:2,4	110:13,14	195:9,16,19	provide 45:14	purpose 38:14
121:13 123:12	113:2,13 121:6	196:1,11 197:5	56:16 85:7	40:8,10 182:19
135:21,23	124:11 167:24	197:8,10,11,13	87:15 182:7,13	182:22 184:6
136:1,13 139:6	216:8,24	197:24 198:11	183:23 186:17	pursuant 2:14
157:4,4 158:2	230:13,22	198:16 200:5	188:6 221:16	306:6
158:2 159:22	231:1 232:13	201:15 212:12	237:13	put 14:19 23:16
161:20 167:7,9	233:3,21	212:14,18,24	provided 5:7	28:14,20 42:6
168:7,13,24	239:13 242:4	213:2,3,5,10	32:12 39:22	58:9 59:7,23
169:4,7 170:16	260:4 266:2	213:17,19,22	56:13 219:18	60:1,7 62:9
175:4 176:13	268:22 269:5	213:23 214:6	220:16	63:21,22,24
178:17 179:1	273:7 283:13	214:11,18	provides 187:7	65:16,22 69:1
179:12,14	285:5 286:10	215:1 217:17	providing 10:17	83:7 84:10
181:1 183:11	286:15,16,17	219:10 228:7	63:18	93:15 100:2
189:1 191:17	290:15 291:4	228:14 229:4	provisions 6:10	115:14 117:23
197:10 215:15	292:15 302:12	229:23 231:12	221:10,12	117:24 131:20
216:8,10,14,22	302:13	266:13,14,16	public 57:18	136:10 141:20
218:21 222:11	professional	266:17,19,21	141:20	144:8 147:3,5
223:3 230:20	97:18,24	266:22 267:1,3	public 6:4 31:10	147:14,20
234:19 235:19	professionals	267:9	40:14 175:9	156:15 157:15
236:2,12,14	231:9	proline 18:13	199:9 222:7	162:18 171:3
237:5 239:24	profile 285:7	19:7	231:2 233:6	185:19 199:14
240:20 241:3	program 5:19	proper 246:19	237:24 309:18	202:3 216:24
242:24 243:19	progress 118:13	properties 219:4	publication 5:15	237:17 258:14
244:21 245:13	prohibit 268:22	propose 174:10	211:20	260:16,17
245:20 246:9	269:5	174:21 177:19	publications	263:15 265:7
250:12,15	prohibited	177:22 179:7	18:4 66:19	265:16 277:1
253:1 255:3,3	48:24 89:20	179:20	168:23	298:2
255:15,16	223:3	proposed 44:22	publicly 31:13	puts 234:8
260:1 262:20	prolene 6:6 7:5	173:15,23	32:20 204:7	putting 44:6
267:17,23	33:6 50:10,10	174:5 175:19	publish 211:19	47:2 49:18
268:8,15 275:4	50:11,12,13,14	178:16 179:17	published 18:6	54:14 127:13
276:3,9 283:24	51:23 52:21	180:2 200:5,8	18:10,23 32:18	151:18 216:20
286:8,12	60:17 110:1,5	300:23	32:20 63:21	226:24 266:2
288:11,18	110:17,20,23	proposing 65:9	65:6 210:6,23	<hr/> Q
291:9 294:1,4	114:12,14,17	81:19	276:18 278:10	
300:19 301:16	114:20,21,23	propounded	296:22	
302:21 303:1,2	114:24 115:2,4	309:5	pull 63:4 125:19	
products 10:18	115:6,16	protect 40:14	79:6,21,21	
15:1 17:2,4,5	116:13,22	protected 231:2	244:7	

Suzanne Parisian, M.D.

Page 343

89:19 116:6	91:22 252:8	reached 199:1	realtors 20:24	244:21 245:1,3
quantify 260:11	277:15	reaching 98:2	reapproved	253:22 256:14
260:12 261:15	quickly 28:23	185:11 270:16	229:19	receiving 243:23
261:17	29:8 30:20	reaction 153:3	rearrange 178:5	recess 4:4,4,5,5
question 9:3	69:1	155:2 160:4	reason 12:17	92:3 166:9
17:9 44:18	quite 82:4	259:6	97:22 110:8	252:9 277:16
63:11 64:17,18	206:24 231:24	reactions 152:21	148:20 214:16	recite 52:6
74:11,14	239:24	152:22 154:20	232:24 307:5	reclassification
100:16,17	<hr/>	155:9	308:5,7,9,11	6:15 196:16
114:22 137:9	R	read 47:6 85:23	308:13,15,17	reclassified
137:12,23	r 3:11 6:19,19	119:3,4 127:10	308:19,21,23	196:7,16 238:6
138:21,23	8:3 9:23 35:10	128:12 129:2	reasonable	recognize 17:18
139:17 146:13	40:16 47:19	182:17 186:24	34:18 35:22	recognizes
147:7 153:19	48:21 59:4	200:18 204:11	186:17 187:7	252:24
154:3 159:7,8	68:17 69:20	205:2 207:13	187:10,22	recommend
161:13,13	71:22 79:15	307:3 309:3	188:2,6,7,10	44:1 200:13
162:9 164:7	80:15 84:12	readability	188:5	268:1,2 278:13
170:7,23	116:19 158:17	124:5,7,9,12	reasonably	recommendati...
172:11 180:1	164:10,11	reading 135:9	200:12	200:12
185:5 186:7	169:24 283:20	139:15 142:16	recommendati...	40:13 199:2
189:4 205:16	283:22 306:1,6	182:10 194:20	ready 14:16	recommended
208:14,14	308:1,1	real 47:11 55:16	40:21,23 41:1	199:6 232:8,12
219:20 232:21	radiofrequency	98:19 140:7	42:1,3,3,6,9	267:16,23
238:7 240:2	20:2 101:14	151:2 240:23	80:6 86:21	268:3,8,15
244:2,4 245:18	105:2 123:14	real 47:11 55:16	107:16,18	recommending
260:8,11	radiological	98:19 140:7	109:13,14,15	199:2
261:14,16	5:18	151:2 240:23	109:17 110:19	reconstruction
264:4 269:2	raised 81:3	276:17	122:2,4 123:11	271:4
275:9,17	raising 48:14	realize 55:12	192:6 214:8,13	record 13:12,21
questioned	randomized	92:6	214:19 239:3	29:16,17 35:21
266:4	169:3 297:5	really 15:13	251:10 268:12	36:20 53:6,7
questionnaire	range 50:17,18	18:1 22:16	292:15	66:10 74:21
48:9	51:1,12,17,18	29:4,7 38:3	recalled 241:5	91:23 92:5
questionnaires	51:23 52:17	57:8,8 60:2	recalls 40:15,15	166:13 189:23
48:5	rare 119:24	70:6,6 72:24	receipt 307:14	190:4 252:10
questions 8:23	120:3	73:2,4,20	receive 16:16	277:18 304:17
39:4,6,14	rarely 236:19	81:21 82:23,24	68:18	304:17,20,21
48:14 75:1	rate 75:17,18,18	106:7 113:10	received 13:9	304:23,23
165:8 266:7	76:4 77:17	126:1 133:5	32:1 54:7	305:8,9
269:19 280:3	84:5 141:10	150:16 162:17	75:11,11 84:6	records 14:14
280:18,20	150:8,8,22,24	165:10 167:14	119:6 183:8	14:15 20:14
292:12 301:21	151:3,6 157:24	195:24 209:1	207:23 208:15	24:5 25:19
301:23 303:11	253:17	226:18 227:3	218:21 239:23	28:14 34:24
304:15 309:4	rates 76:3	234:18 245:15	239:23 240:3	72:16,20,22
quibble 185:7	ratio 284:6	260:1 266:4	242:24 243:15	73:6,11 118:6
quick 15:14	reach 17:11	281:22 303:5	243:18 244:6	

118:12,14	registered	277:11 297:12	168:21,22	246:23 253:21
254:17	276:19	relates 75:8 91:6	173:1,5,10,12	253:21 263:12
recurrence	registry 90:23	188:15 189:9	remained	273:24 297:4,4
78:19 181:15	90:24 91:3	relating 67:8	196:11	reported 71:21
red 47:22 81:3	300:24	relationship	remember 22:22	72:8 77:13
143:9	regs 188:7	277:8	41:3 43:8 45:6	141:6,14 247:1
redesign 150:17	regulated	relative 180:11	106:10,23	247:5 255:4,17
reduce 211:16	192:21 193:15	release 50:6	110:19 112:3	255:19,22
reevaluate	194:4,6	54:9 58:15	120:12 122:21	298:21
122:14	regulating 182:8	66:15	223:18 258:16	reporter 1:23
refer 63:3 98:24	182:14 183:23	released 48:1,11	258:18 275:14	2:13 103:10,13
159:13 221:20	221:16	releasing 54:11	275:15	124:8 222:21
258:11	regulations 64:5	54:12	remembered 2:8	236:11 262:21
reference 51:21	71:22 164:2	relevant 85:24	21:3	269:12 298:11
63:14 91:8	185:20,24	121:17 133:3	removal 120:9	305:7,12 306:4
120:18 257:9	191:5,6 193:4	188:18,22	145:16 146:1,3	306:19
263:12	253:4 288:3	213:10 256:7	158:6 268:2,13	reporting 69:22
referenced 5:7	291:23 292:7,8	256:17 257:13	remove 28:24	82:2 90:20
47:5 228:12	294:17,21	reliably 82:20	102:11 120:8	246:8,15 248:1
referencing	295:18 299:4	reliance 5:8	144:23 146:5,8	252:12
91:10 268:11	regulatory 9:9	29:19 30:8,17	158:5,7	reports 15:14
referred 5:8	9:14 20:12	31:1,6 32:6	removed 29:2,8	68:17,22,22
99:4 193:5	35:6 46:18	182:2 212:20	101:2 146:1	70:7,14 71:19
295:16 299:3	95:5,13,20	242:2 284:16	removing	71:23 72:3,11
refers 63:6,8	96:1 100:19,20	289:3 290:20	154:22 161:3	72:17,23 73:2
reflect 16:22	105:16 124:15	302:6	267:17,23	73:5,8,9,13,17
265:15 304:17	164:20 167:11	relied 13:11	268:8,15	73:19,21 74:19
304:23	169:9 171:8,17	14:13 17:11	renaissance 3:10	75:9,12 87:15
reflected 30:9	186:16,22	31:19 49:4	rendering 289:6	91:10 118:13
296:19	188:20 189:1	52:13 59:10	reorganize	168:20 169:15
reflecting 6:5	191:20 213:9	98:2 118:20	122:14	169:20 170:18
296:12	220:24 249:21	119:1 185:10	rep 119:11	241:17,19,20
reflects 205:14	266:15,17	185:13 200:1	131:18 179:23	248:8 252:17
205:18 281:10	267:2,3,14	202:11 216:5	repair 109:23	257:23 265:12
refused 231:11	291:5,15,17	256:2 270:15	repeatedly	265:14 300:8
231:17	reinforcing	289:6 290:5	178:23 179:3	represent 8:14
regard 252:15	200:23	295:16 299:3	replacement	14:9 17:10
293:11	rejected 122:12	302:7	28:22 98:10	224:13
regarding 53:2	relate 82:6	relook 192:17	report 14:12,18	representation
78:6 101:10,16	83:20 84:21	229:21	53:1 63:20	163:18
111:14 117:10	85:21 86:10	rely 32:5 171:18	71:24 72:3,11	representations
126:19 130:10	91:16 222:1,5	173:4 180:6	73:13 91:9	227:11
132:8 159:19	222:11,22,23	185:16 255:6	113:4 121:1	representative
215:14 245:20	302:9	264:11 285:11	169:1,2 241:12	106:24 119:19
regardless 26:6	related 36:9	293:3	241:14 243:1	representing
register 6:9	80:22 90:2	relying 59:11	243:19 244:22	252:18

represents 14:11 216:24	41:12	241:17 245:15	70:23 73:23	258:10 260:10
reproducibility 55:1	respected 97:8 97:11,19,23	245:16 282:6 282:21 283:6	74:2 82:5 91:20 93:10	261:14 262:5,9 262:13 263:2
reproducible 33:14	respectful 74:5 74:9	288:23 297:5 299:24	96:18 99:2,23 105:24 117:20	265:20 266:7 270:16 271:1,3
reps 64:12 119:9 126:13	responding 98:9 116:24 202:16	reviewed 14:2 23:22 42:15,19	118:13 125:9 126:8,9,21	272:2 280:17 281:21 286:13
request 13:14 231:4	226:8	42:22 43:5,14 44:1,3 101:13	127:16,21 130:9,21	286:13 288:4 290:22 293:23
requested 238:15 306:12	responses 53:3 117:5	103:20,24 104:3 162:7	131:14 132:5 132:22 133:11	295:10 302:1 303:4 304:14
require 145:24 196:8 283:17 288:7	responsibility 108:16 294:9	163:16 167:14 174:7 196:21	133:17 134:7 137:14,18	304:22 305:3,5 risk 35:10 36:5
required 46:22 50:4 62:21 63:10 64:8 70:10 71:21 73:13,18 87:2 182:15 193:7,9 193:11 284:2 303:3	responsible 112:18 301:11 305:14	212:13,15,23 212:23 214:20 215:10 217:4	139:16 141:18 145:5,6,15 146:11,15,19	37:9 40:4,11 40:17,18,21 44:11 45:5
requirement 248:2 283:22	responsive 13:13 14:6	224:9 227:17 228:10 229:11	147:1,7 149:15 152:18,24	56:12 59:3,12 61:16,18 81:14
requirements 48:17,19 111:11 164:20 169:23 193:8 223:1 231:1 235:13 236:3,5 291:6,9	rest 14:10 196:15 225:17	233:13,16 239:1 256:2,4	153:9,24 158:13 160:17	82:24 84:8 107:10 119:24
requires 46:21 69:18 186:19	results 76:12,13 78:18,20	264:23 275:22 282:7,8,14	160:17 163:14 165:24 166:5,7	120:11 126:3 126:19 130:10
requisite 114:3	retained 9:19	283:8 290:20	167:10 173:11	132:8 141:16
research 5:19 19:15 34:3 101:16 117:12	retire 250:5 250:5	291:2	173:16 180:14	141:22 146:3,7
resin 114:17,18 114:18 115:3,8 195:8 197:18 197:22	retired 203:13 226:4	reviewer 104:8 159:1 285:3	182:15 184:1,4 184:11 185:23	151:16,22 154:23 157:1,3
respect 74:13 281:15 284:13 295:3,15 299:2	retrained 241:2 retraining 86:24	reviewers 106:14	187:4 188:17 190:5,12,16,18	157:16 158:15 171:24,24
respectable	retropubic 49:15 57:6 286:3	reviewing 56:19 61:4,6 66:8 200:22 201:12	191:9 192:1 193:12,14 194:14,23	173:9 179:9 181:15 208:12 209:5 241:1
	retrospect 57:12	225:17	195:14 196:2,4	245:11 247:5
	return 68:19 307:12	reviews 163:21	196:14 197:4	262:19 265:7
	reveal 164:11	revise 48:9	200:7 201:22	265:10,16,16
	review 5:7 24:2 25:19 32:11	revision 154:21	202:9,19	265:17 282:4
	44:21 53:1	right 9:6 10:11	204:11 205:23	282:11 284:1,6
	59:17 104:4,20	11:17 13:23	207:5,13 215:2	293:18 294:23
	118:6,8 125:20	15:18 16:18	221:5,6,17,24	riskier 44:16
	162:4,8 163:15	17:16 20:14	222:3,6 223:12	risks 48:23
	187:7 196:8	22:19 25:5	225:2,20 228:2	56:16 80:11
	198:22 212:11	27:3 28:9 40:2	228:3 229:3	84:7 86:20
	212:12,18	44:18 51:7	230:10 238:5	89:17 119:21
	213:4 225:3	55:7 60:9	239:1,19,19,21	119:23 121:9
	232:20,24	63:13 64:23	242:17 246:18	121:13 152:14
		66:13 69:17	249:8 250:19	172:9 173:6
			252:5 256:16	181:16,16

Suzanne Parisian, M.D.

Page 346

209:9 256:24	232:6 234:14	188:5,11 189:9	216:13 223:6	69:11 91:24
257:1 260:3	245:12 306:6	189:14 193:3	234:17 238:21	102:23 126:22
265:13 266:1	s34 7:5	196:23 207:7	241:7 265:15	130:11 132:9
266:10 287:15	sacrococpoplexy	207:17 209:17	266:4 269:22	139:9 202:22
293:17 297:6	43:22 214:17	209:20,22	269:22 275:7	202:23 204:3
301:14	safe 49:1 80:9	221:17 222:6,7	286:2 297:21	261:3,3,4,13
risky 45:4,9	90:18 105:3	222:22 227:1	297:23 303:19	263:2 303:3
82:23 89:11	172:23 175:4	230:23 233:6	says 39:1 44:3	secretarial 30:7
rnr 1:23 306:18	176:14 191:10	237:14 238:13	44:22 45:13	section 131:9
road 2:11	191:13 192:13	239:5 240:20	46:1 62:19	132:13 133:17
robert 6:14	194:10,16	241:4,21	63:21 65:2,11	153:4 194:15
203:3,9	195:10,19	246:20 251:4	79:21 84:3,4	207:11 209:16
robust 177:18	197:20,24	273:3 274:18	86:3 88:14	265:6,16,17
rodolfo 1:4,5	198:13 200:16	285:6,16	119:7 135:20	sections 4:22
roerig 3:12	201:6 204:10	292:23 302:9	143:20 183:2	131:8
role 9:14 35:5,12	204:17 207:24	sales 64:12 80:9	183:20 186:10	secur 4:21,22
46:19 95:14	208:5,17	112:12 119:9	186:14 190:18	5:10 6:24
96:6 114:9	209:12 213:20	119:11 126:13	200:11 202:15	13:22,23 15:5
245:9 267:8	215:2 217:18	131:18 179:23	202:20 204:4,6	15:18,19,20,21
268:18 299:19	218:13,16,22	salespeople	204:21 207:6	18:15 19:9
roles 9:17	223:2 230:13	78:21	221:8 223:5,5	36:5 46:1,11
romance 276:15	240:22,22	sandra 1:2	226:7 228:15	46:16 47:2
278:8	271:9 273:12	sandwich 131:8	228:18,22,23	52:1 53:14
room 27:13	274:4 283:23	131:9 132:13	253:15 271:9	57:4 61:20
root 118:2	286:9	sandwichbon...	272:13 273:10	65:7,10,13,18
rougher 52:9	safely 157:7	130:19	273:24	67:9,17 68:10
routine 148:2	293:12	sat 107:11	scarring 61:17	69:2 71:7,14
row 50:2	safer 61:21	123:21,24	155:1	71:24 72:3,5
royal 212:9	89:12 172:3,12	124:3	science 237:22	72:11,16 73:1
280:16	172:12,14,19	satisfied 227:12	238:9	73:8,10,16
rule 200:5,8	172:24 173:16	273:4	scientific 168:23	76:4,6 78:18
rumor 78:22	173:23 175:20	saw 48:8 57:12	177:16	78:21 79:5
run 93:24	180:10,11	120:14 141:19	scientifics 10:1	82:7 83:20
rush 47:16	181:4	242:5 256:17	scientist 33:16	84:9,22 85:22
88:18	safety 35:11	saying 25:12	94:8,9	86:11 88:5
<hr/>				
S				
s 4:15,17 5:1,15	42:16 54:3	55:3,22 65:7	scott 3:6	90:3 91:7,17
6:1,14 7:1 8:3	56:1,3 58:16	76:16 78:18	scratch 34:17	99:18,21 113:9
15:10 42:19,20	73:14 87:3,7,7	96:14 103:2	103:16	113:10,11,11
42:22 44:8,9	87:16 98:7	112:13 127:22	screws 12:9	113:18,20,24
44:21 64:13	103:5,17	132:20 138:14	scrutiny 46:12	114:4 119:6,16
87:14 89:20	107:10 124:3	139:23 142:3	se 184:8	119:22 120:20
110:7 164:4,9	177:4 182:8,14	145:23 162:18	search 15:7	120:23 121:13
174:19 202:16	183:24 184:4,7	167:16 172:23	68:15	124:15,21
202:17 213:14	184:10 186:17	172:23 181:10	searches 14:22	133:8 138:11
	186:20 187:8	185:7 186:5	seattle 12:16	140:20 161:19
	187:11,22	187:5 209:5,7	second 53:6	161:24 162:4

Suzanne Parisian, M.D.

Page 347

162:11 163:1,4	198:5 202:20	sent 106:11	sheep 55:10,11 55:12,13,14 58:8 63:20,24 64:21 68:3 81:1	216:15 223:19 306:13
163:11 167:20	202:24 203:4	179:22 223:12	significant 77:23 87:5,6 88:19 204:7,24 224:14 254:16	
169:7 170:5,9	203:22 204:4	228:13 229:5	254:20,22 285:13	
171:1 172:15	215:17 226:12	239:17	shepherd 3:6 sheridan 6:14 203:3	significantly 18:1
172:24 173:2	240:10 243:13	sentence 183:1	shes 64:18 69:12 69:13 74:15,17	signoff 108:10 108:15
176:22 177:20	245:17 265:18	186:10,11	138:21 142:18	silent 73:21 similar 50:9
178:9 180:11	268:17 270:13	202:22,23	159:7,8 275:8	65:17 111:17
180:15 181:9	271:10 272:9	204:20 207:3	277:6,7	256:20 258:8
181:16 183:7	275:20	separate 14:5	short 261:21	285:5 286:10
188:20 218:1,2	seeing 71:15	147:21 167:9	shorten 61:10	286:15 287:3
218:12 219:15	76:20 245:24	286:9 288:3	61:11 85:16	290:9 293:8
219:21 220:7	246:6 254:1	294:21 295:17	shortened 130:15	
223:11,16	seen 36:18 99:18	299:4	129:18,21	simplify 258:7
229:1 230:9	99:20 102:7,10	separated	134:19	simply 74:6
231:18 232:9	113:20 117:15	151:22 261:7	shorter 49:22	simulate 55:16
232:18 233:11	117:17,18,20	262:16,18,23	shortly 203:13	simulated 68:3
233:17 238:2,3	117:22,22	263:1	shortterm	simulating 55:13
238:15,18	120:18 121:5	separately	300:10	
240:17 254:24	151:6 174:8	157:17	shouldnt 132:13	single 11:7
260:2,3 262:16	175:6 177:14	september 6:21	136:1 144:16	147:6 301:6
262:18,22	181:23 190:7	215:11	show 73:6 86:13	singled 240:12
266:1,5 268:4	199:23 202:8	series 6:23 46:2	105:2	singleincision 49:21 180:16
268:6 274:2	216:2 220:9	46:14 185:1	showed 177:12	240:15 272:12
282:10 285:19	232:10 244:12	service 6:4,4	226:16 234:11	sir 8:17,19,22
287:12 293:11	244:13 246:22	services 6:13,19	showing 126:4	9:1,4,7,11,16
296:14,21	248:15 266:15	7:4 28:16	139:13	
297:12 302:14	270:17	304:12	shrinkage	9:18 10:6,9
securs 190:10	select 105:20	sessions 124:3	104:10	11:5,6,11,18
237:21 238:8	selected 32:1	set 199:18 223:8	sic 119:1	12:15,24 13:6
see 9:14 13:5	135:21 178:17	281:6 297:6	side 104:16	13:15,19,24
15:11 34:22	sell 167:19	setting 102:18	sign 108:14,22	14:3,8 16:2,5,7
35:5 45:18	210:24 211:1,3	settled 10:23	108:23 283:5	18:5,18 19:4,6
46:18 49:8	211:8,9,11,15	seven 51:21	307:8	19:8,10,12,14
53:11 54:6,16	211:17 277:13	sevenpage 6:3	signal 73:14,15	19:17 20:9
55:10,18 56:6	283:23	severe 72:6	signals 79:16	21:5 23:12,24
59:3 61:5	seller 241:3	severity 71:19	signature	24:8,18,23
62:23 66:19,20	selling 111:9	255:21 293:13	306:12	25:2,21 26:1,4
67:5 69:23	211:6	293:15,21	signed 203:5	26:8,24 28:12
70:9 75:22	send 71:10 79:4	295:4 300:17		
77:3 86:18	98:23 233:6	sex 258:3		
88:9 90:12	237:2	sexual 277:8		
116:5 119:15	sending 220:20	sfu 271:1		
124:2 129:9	sends 214:2	shareholder		
133:10 143:12	sense 95:7	249:6		
147:13 148:1	131:23 167:11	sharp 54:8		
186:11 188:2	167:12	140:11 286:1		

Suzanne Parisian, M.D.

Page 348

28:17 29:11,21	246:12 249:5	smith 59:11 63:23 297:24	225:15 229:9 235:4 236:4	262:2 266:1 268:10 301:18
29:23 30:11,15	252:14,22	snow 3:10	246:3,11	specifically 17:7
31:2,20,23	253:11 256:11	socialized	247:11 250:17	37:21 41:1,23
32:17,22 33:18	268:20 270:14	290:17	255:8 260:20	52:23 63:3
33:21,24 34:10	270:20 271:7	societies 97:8,10	262:15 263:1	85:15 89:22
37:21 38:3,7	271:11,14,18	society 97:3	278:14 298:9	91:19 102:18
40:1 41:14,18	272:1 275:2	268:14 271:2,3	sort 123:17	120:17,24
42:12,18 45:19	276:13 279:13	soft 117:3	sound 27:2	121:6 123:8
49:9,14 53:12	280:13,19	200:23	146:15,19	161:18 178:2
59:19 61:8	281:19 283:7	sold 57:22 67:4	source 63:17	194:14 241:19
62:18,24 67:6	283:10 302:8	81:6 183:12	87:6	244:11 245:3
70:20 92:9,12	302:10,13	193:23 211:10	sources 293:2	251:16 258:9
93:4,8 106:1,3	304:10	276:15,23	space 307:6	specifics 304:6
106:6 107:23	sis 49:21	277:2,14 278:6	spaces 214:4	specify 184:7
109:10 111:24	sismus 272:8	286:4	sparc 15:3	spelled 265:20
120:21 125:22	sit 111:8 128:12	sole 96:6 249:6	116:16,16	spend 26:7
148:22 150:13	243:17 291:16	solely 285:11	special 147:23	30:18,19
164:14 173:13	site 145:8,23	292:20	196:24 197:1	249:11
182:3 183:4	206:23	somebody 22:22	263:3	spending 27:20
184:21 185:12	sitting 36:4	70:23 72:12	specialists 98:9	spent 26:16 27:1
186:13 187:1	192:11 231:23	98:23 99:4	specialized	27:11 30:8,9
189:20 190:9	247:23	183:15 191:19	100:14	30:13,17,24
190:19 191:1,3	situation 55:17	213:8 234:16	specielly 214:14	spoke 22:7
191:11,22	94:17	somebodys	specialties 9:12	spoken 21:15,18
193:17 194:1	six 12:6 74:20	99:22	9:13 94:10	29:9 33:16,19
198:14 199:16	77:16 299:23	somewhat 286:7	95:10,24 96:1	118:17 120:19
199:24 200:3	sixyear 74:18	sorry 27:17	96:2,4 271:17	120:24 121:3,6
200:10,19	size 229:22	30:12 37:23	specialty 9:10	179:6,12 180:1
202:10,13,23	skill 306:9	67:22 78:24	94:21 101:5	ssfu 271:1
203:8,10	skipped 46:24	84:18 89:4	specific 36:3	stability 149:18
204:12,14,18	slides 117:22	90:5 91:21	45:6 52:16	stabilize 285:24
205:3 207:20	267:13	94:4 103:15	75:1 86:12	stack 4:23 14:5
209:18 210:5	sling 15:3 43:22	118:15 119:17	101:6 116:8,11	14:9 15:23
212:19 213:7	49:15,16,18,21	120:10 122:16	119:10 133:15	202:3
213:18,21	49:23 180:13	127:1 131:4,8	139:24 173:13	staff 5:21 93:6
214:22 217:6	180:15,17,18	131:12 132:7	176:24 192:16	184:23 185:22
217:24 218:3,7	219:19 240:15	132:20 134:4	192:18 194:9	185:24 186:3
218:10 219:11	272:11,12	139:12 150:10	233:24 243:10	standard 35:16
219:14,18	273:12 282:2	150:24 153:17	243:24 247:24	37:1 51:24
220:3,8,11	slings 7:9 214:17	155:11 183:14	248:10,11	96:16 97:1
221:14,22	231:23 239:18	184:19 196:4	258:17,22	194:19 199:5
222:4 223:13	271:24	201:9,11,16	259:16 260:2	301:18
224:10 228:14	slowly 204:23	208:21 210:14	260:13,24	standards
228:23 229:10	small 73:1,10	211:7 216:9	261:10,15,17	105:21 183:10
239:16 240:11	87:15 207:23			
242:15,19,22	smart 225:19			

Suzanne Parisian, M.D.

Page 349

184:10,12	41:4 42:13	224:20	stuff 20:24 43:8	160:14
200:15 201:19	49:3 53:9 60:8	strike 28:1	110:22 116:14	successful 47:18
201:21 209:4	66:24 75:20	34:15 44:1	127:13 128:15	succinct 74:18
284:12,15,18	80:7 183:12	51:5 62:14	129:2,8 130:19	sued 278:21
284:18,19,19	205:3,5 221:19	107:13 113:15	144:21 275:14	sufu 7:8
284:20,21	222:12,24	118:10 129:3	stupid 140:7	suggest 65:12
285:1,2,3	284:20	129:12 138:17	subject 8:20	127:17 254:5
287:3,4,9,19	stay 20:4 25:21	159:9 161:10	221:10 228:20	suggested 161:6
287:21,24	130:23 188:8	164:1 172:13	275:5,20 276:2	161:7,9
288:3,7 289:8	304:16	189:16 206:13	276:4 302:23	suggesting 275:8
292:6,11	step 46:20 233:8	234:20,22	subjective 96:12	suggests 247:3
298:15,19	sterilize 115:14	236:21 244:18	292:21	sui 18:17,19,21
302:9,11,16	stick 63:11	246:4 257:3	submission	19:11 20:8,11
standing 26:2	64:16 66:21	260:5 261:4	162:14 163:7	40:22 41:24
standpoint 86:8	69:3 74:11	273:9 274:21	163:17 226:11	43:17 65:6
100:21 105:16	132:5 144:1,11	278:14 288:6	250:20	68:20 95:4,5,6
stands 103:3	153:19 162:24	288:22 289:12	submissions	96:17,20,20
271:23	182:22 198:11	291:11 294:8	252:24	97:7,13 98:10
stapled 15:10	206:11,11,14	295:12 298:5	submitted	98:13,14 99:1
start 22:19	208:14 222:18	299:15 300:14	174:22 255:20	99:3,3,11
133:24 230:13	238:7,7 244:2	structure 198:5	submitting	101:12,18,22
243:6	245:12 255:14	studied 272:15	305:15	102:3 103:19
started 12:8	260:7 264:17	273:4	suboptimal 89:3	104:1,22 105:6
74:1 133:21,23	269:2	studies 48:5	subpoena 4:18	109:16 110:12
191:22	stiff 249:19	104:24 105:2	subscribed	110:14 111:18
starting 191:21	stiffer 52:4	116:23 124:6,7	309:14	121:9 122:6,7
265:16	stimulate 252:12	169:3 174:4	subsequent	123:2,7,16
starts 38:2	stop 80:9	226:19 267:13	145:24 226:16	181:15 214:3
state 96:11	stopped 28:4	286:11 287:2,3	273:6	214:14 216:8
306:4 307:5	80:16 240:16	288:24	substance 309:5	216:24 238:4
stated 273:11	241:3	study 34:3 43:3	substantial 5:20	261:1 267:20
274:3	street 3:4,13	48:8 55:11,11	6:20 49:5	270:19 273:13
statement 7:9	strength 224:16	55:12,13 58:9	55:22,24 78:2	274:5
44:16 138:7	strengthening	63:20,24 64:21	79:14	suite 3:4,10
140:7 144:13	214:4	67:2,16 68:3	substantially	suites 2:11
182:17 204:13	stress 7:9 18:19	99:7,8,14	78:1 187:15,18	suits 303:13,14
205:6,8,17	19:24 20:2,15	116:21 117:19	213:23 217:20	sum 24:16 157:2
223:19 253:3	65:1 181:7	121:8,12,20,22	219:16 220:16	187:6
253:16 256:23	217:2	124:13 173:1,4	227:8	summarize
269:7 271:12	stretch 51:19,23	173:5,12,12,13	substantiate	86:17 156:1,2
272:17,24	52:1 56:21	173:21,24	290:21	156:8
273:14,21	224:13	174:5,9 237:18	substantiates	summarized
274:11,13	stretchability	238:13 241:6,7	169:15	15:16 167:3
statements	50:13,15 51:18	290:6,8,9	succeed 88:20	summary 90:1
44:10 175:16	52:4 53:20	297:5 299:19	success 84:5	157:15 200:12
states 6:15 40:2	stretchiness	studying 67:8	89:9 143:11	209:16

summer 20:22 21:4	181:19 182:6 190:4 193:20	239:12 250:14 250:21 251:1,4	191:10 193:16 194:23 196:1	27:15 40:14 61:14 74:22
superficial 245:16	193:22 215:18 223:17 227:19	251:9,13,23,24 251:24 252:3	196:11 202:9 204:9,17	91:22 99:21 159:4 165:9,15
supplement 7:6 192:12 228:9 228:10 229:7	234:9 241:13 248:23 262:1 266:9 278:2	269:1,10,23 271:10,19 274:17	205:12 206:23 207:10,23 208:2 209:4	166:1,1 236:1 236:14 237:5 250:6 252:7,8
supplements 191:24 192:2,6 228:9 232:6	283:24 289:19 289:20 299:9 304:19 305:13	surprise 240:5,7 surprised 232:3	229:23 230:1 suzanne 1:12 2:9 4:9,18,20	277:15 285:14 299:22 301:6 301:14
supplied 31:6	surface 206:21	75:8 78:7	5:3,6 8:5 306:5	taken 2:10
support 28:7,9 32:5 39:22 52:13 96:13 113:6 173:2 216:5 254:23	surgeon 94:12 surgeons 59:23	112:19 113:1,2 128:12 149:13 246:20 247:8 247:17 291:21 65:3 270:18 295:22 296:3,5 296:13,20	306:11 309:8 swear 250:9 switch 189:6 sworn 4:10 8:7 309:14	134:20 166:9 170:13 260:23 263:3 277:19 288:12
supported 86:5 109:18 196:16 298:23	surgey 6:10	surveilling 247:4	symptoms 36:17 36:18	takes 236:20
supporting 52:23 289:9	96:22 109:20	survey 121:8,12 121:20	synthetic 217:2 268:22 269:5	talk 11:9 14:12 19:2 20:18
supportive 187:14	121:10 125:11	suspect 270:9	system 5:10 6:20	32:15 35:13 36:16 37:13
supposed 81:11 81:13 108:4 115:13 119:13 119:18 144:9 147:13 288:17 293:14 294:2 295:11	138:15,16 145:1 154:21 168:6 256:15	suspension 138:9	6:24 15:3 64:24 65:6 138:11	43:13 53:17 66:19 67:12 68:8 76:8 88:13 89:23
supposedly 57:10 72:8 76:7 90:17	surgi 9:24 surgical 6:15,16	7:5 15:7 110:5 110:18,22	T	91:11 98:15,24 105:23 118:5
sure 17:24 22:1 22:4 23:2,2 25:18 28:13 35:15 39:20 45:1 47:20 48:18,20,21 51:7 55:6 58:4 61:11 62:4 67:10 71:1 98:14 105:15 106:17 109:19 127:17 131:5 153:7 169:9	145:22 146:4,9 148:3 156:17 195:5,11,15 197:6 198:3,9 198:16 199:6 200:13,23 201:3,13 202:17,17 204:9 206:23 207:9 212:14 214:5,18 216:23 217:1 224:14,23	197:14,22 198:6,14	tab 46:6 48:6 56:19 57:3 63:4,4,14 65:2 66:11,13 75:11 76:1 78:12,13 78:16,17,20 79:4 83:1,1,2,2 88:10,23 89:2	123:24 124:21 125:16,17 133:10 141:22 156:23 157:5 159:5,14,21 166:19 177:19 189:7,16 192:20 197:4 210:2 222:21 230:11 236:10 236:11 238:2 238:24 241:9 244:16 249:3 257:5 258:4 267:20 268:13 269:12 271:16 281:21 287:2 293:21 talked 56:20,21 56:22 57:24
sutures 100:3	115:16 189:17	tabbed 4:22 tabs 14:1,4	take 4:18 8:16	12:21 21:14
take 4:18 8:16	190:21,23	45:23 46:7 83:1 85:12 305:10		

58:8,11 59:8	189:8 195:13	135:14,18	54:1,3,6,10	231:3 232:5
84:24 85:2	197:8 199:24	140:21 142:14	55:14,15 56:3	234:7 235:10
89:6,22 102:1	200:22 205:22	142:20 149:5	56:9,11,12,21	235:10,12
115:24 116:14	206:8,10 209:1	155:20 160:18	59:3 63:2,3,5	237:23 238:4
120:22 124:14	212:17 219:6,7	160:24 176:9	64:5,8 68:11	238:22 239:11
125:15 159:18	222:15 228:5	178:4 224:19	71:22 76:3	240:20,24
159:21 160:1	232:2 233:15	227:5 236:13	78:20 79:19,19	242:4,6,7
162:10 165:22	234:14 241:20	247:6,15,16	80:14,24 81:16	243:22 244:5
173:22 178:15	242:1 245:12	249:10 255:10	82:16 84:8,11	244:11 245:8
190:11 197:9	245:13 248:9	262:9 265:3	85:12,24 86:4	245:24 246:1
221:13 238:4	248:10 256:21	telling 128:3	86:6,18 87:3,7	247:21 248:7
245:10 258:16	267:19 271:17	129:14 130:1	87:14 88:19,20	256:21 257:21
266:12 271:18	272:9,22	130:17 142:9	89:7,12,18	258:9,14,15
281:5 285:23	279:12 285:18	142:24 143:10	90:6,13,23	259:5,10
288:2 292:6	286:6 290:9	144:24 186:3	94:2,15 95:2	262:16 263:7
294:22 298:14	297:10 299:18	220:12	104:7,7,10	263:15 264:12
298:16	303:5	temporary	105:11 108:10	265:8 266:22
talking 10:20	talks 149:17	158:12	111:6 112:10	267:2,9 274:18
20:23 36:3,14	263:13 292:24	tend 206:22	112:23 113:12	279:4 284:1,10
46:2 52:16	tape 142:3,14	242:6 246:23	113:21 114:15	284:11 285:20
53:21 54:19	146:1	tendency 140:11	114:19 115:10	288:16 290:17
57:9 58:21	taught 106:7	tension 50:3	115:20,21,22	293:7,10 295:2
59:5 65:16	112:11	57:23 76:9	116:6,15,24	297:18 298:3
66:3 69:12	teach 298:2	78:18,19 143:1	117:4,8 119:14	300:9 301:16
75:24 76:3,21	technically	tensionfree	119:16 120:4	303:4
77:4,12 88:11	195:1,15	57:23	121:19 127:23	test 57:8 67:3
90:8 94:20	236:19,24	tensioning 50:4	129:1 143:5,6	170:24
95:19 100:9	technique 138:9	50:6 54:2,2,5	144:21 147:13	tested 32:24
107:4 110:12	141:13 144:7	54:12 57:24	149:23 150:4,4	169:8,14 170:1
111:18 118:12	145:1	78:13,15 82:16	151:11 155:1,5	170:6 171:4
123:4 132:6	technology	89:16 143:6,11	157:1,3,15	174:6 276:8
133:15 135:16	229:14 291:1	150:9,9 157:22	158:1,24 160:5	testified 8:7 10:4
135:17 138:2	technologyass...	180:23	160:13,21	11:16,21 12:10
138:19 143:24	290:18	tenure 42:14	161:21 162:2	12:10,11,13
144:4,6 145:8	tecum 4:18	term 36:8 51:22	164:10,13	120:2 137:16
145:22 150:17	telephone 3:8	52:2,5 103:9	167:11,15	189:18 286:7
151:2 152:9	212:7	158:14 187:10	168:9,11	290:3
155:15,17	tell 21:11,12	terms 18:8 34:23	169:10,23	testify 39:2 67:1
158:13 159:6	27:1,9 37:16	35:9 36:8,10	171:9,24 172:4	77:9 82:12
160:16 162:21	38:19 74:2	37:8,11 39:19	173:9 176:3,10	testifying 130:24
162:21 166:19	78:10 81:20	40:13 41:17	178:12 179:14	131:7 245:6,18
166:20 167:12	82:22 88:16	42:8 45:5	193:10 205:11	249:12
168:3 170:4	91:15 98:15	46:16 48:2,20	205:24 212:13	testimony 10:17
171:6 174:16	105:22 126:16	48:20,23 49:18	214:13 216:22	23:20 25:14,24
175:18,18	126:23 127:6	49:24 50:2	223:9 224:14	85:23 96:14
176:21 185:6	128:24 129:5	52:20 53:16,20	224:20,22	118:9,22,23

Suzanne Parisian, M.D.

Page 352

128:18 169:20	49:1 50:9	190:4,20	170:1,2,6	66:14 68:18,23
173:14 179:10	52:17 53:17,17	192:13 194:12	therapy 28:22	70:10 71:17
180:7 214:6,11	53:18 55:5,9	194:14,14	151:17	73:7,12,17,17
241:10 246:9	55:23 56:6,14	197:5,14 200:7	theres 17:13	76:20,20 78:18
246:11 253:8	57:11 58:3,7	201:7 205:3,5	18:1 36:17	79:20,23 81:19
257:18 258:18	59:24 62:6	206:8 208:19	39:21 44:15	83:17 86:3
280:6 281:11	64:8 65:11,24	209:1,6 211:12	45:22 46:4	88:11 90:7,10
281:15,24	66:18 67:11	214:6 216:13	64:23 65:3,20	97:18 101:6
282:7 283:8,11	68:7,15 70:15	217:23 219:11	70:15,18 71:23	104:3 121:10
288:19	71:3 73:10,10	222:3,13,23	72:10 73:11,15	126:4 133:3,9
testing 19:18,20	74:1 76:22	223:3,14	73:21 76:10	136:7,11
19:23 20:5,6	77:17,23 82:4	224:14,22	77:19 78:22	138:14 139:22
20:12,15 32:24	83:7,10,17	228:12,22,23	79:23 80:2	142:3 143:24
33:2,3,9,10,11	84:11,12,16	228:24 233:5	82:14,15,17,23	144:6 145:22
33:15 47:17	85:11,18,18	233:24 234:15	83:9,24 87:8	145:23 149:21
55:18,21 61:22	87:4 91:3	236:18 237:23	88:6,10 95:15	152:13,22
67:9,16 68:4,6	93:13 94:21	238:17,20	96:19 97:17	160:22 167:13
81:1 102:2	96:12 100:16	239:21 240:10	131:21 135:22	172:23,24
118:2 171:4,21	105:7 107:2	242:2,3 243:14	143:21 147:19	177:17,18
284:18 292:18	108:11,24	246:16,18,21	149:20 151:5	196:3,5,6
tests 33:13 67:24	110:2,15	246:23 247:5	152:20 154:21	199:9 200:22
168:13,16	111:16,17,19	248:21 250:18	154:22,23	201:12 205:22
170:8,12,13,15	112:17 113:5	250:22 251:2,6	156:14 157:10	206:8,10,19,20
171:6,13,14,17	114:9,16,18	251:11,14,24	160:13,19,20	209:3 216:20
texas 1:7 3:4,7	115:3,11	252:4 253:5	161:2,12,21	227:8 234:9
3:13	119:14,15,19	254:14,16,22	175:12 179:24	236:9 237:5,6
thank 17:9,16	120:4 122:17	254:22 255:23	184:24 185:20	243:23 247:3
17:19 39:13	123:14 124:23	256:5 257:10	188:10 191:12	247:20 250:16
59:15 64:1	128:23 136:23	257:22 258:2	198:5 205:11	265:23 266:2
112:13 156:3	140:2,7 141:6	260:9,10 267:8	208:12 212:15	271:17 272:9
188:15 219:9	143:3,4 144:14	267:18 269:7	235:15 237:3	273:6 277:10
219:24 280:17	144:20,23	270:6,18 271:2	254:1,11	277:11 278:4
281:9 303:11	145:1 150:6,11	272:2 273:1,6	257:23 260:3	290:9,16,17
305:15	150:17 151:6	273:17 274:8	274:17 284:19	292:11,21,22
thanks 91:20	151:17 152:11	274:19 275:6	285:13 290:8	293:1 302:18
304:15 305:5	153:13 154:8	275:24 276:20	291:8 301:11	theyve 97:6
thats 9:13 15:5	156:3 158:21	277:5,12	303:14	100:4 101:2
17:14 19:18	161:3 162:19	282:20 287:5	thermal 130:20	150:23 151:20
22:9 23:15,21	163:15,22	289:6 290:11	theyll 287:2	226:19 233:5
25:15 26:21	165:2 167:14	290:19 293:23	theyre 10:21	233:13,18
27:8 33:2,7	172:7 173:7	295:19 298:14	16:10,10 34:12	235:12 287:3
34:5,21 35:12	174:15,23	298:15 299:14	54:14,18,19	293:7
35:18 36:14	175:1 176:5,24	300:1 301:20	55:22,22 58:21	thick 4:23
37:1,8 38:2	177:23 178:7	302:1 303:3,9	63:17,18 64:10	thickening
39:5,19 41:19	178:10 187:15	305:4,5	64:11 65:7,7,8	123:17
43:18 46:23,24	188:6,17,18	theory 169:7,8	65:15,16,22	thing 50:9 57:13

Suzanne Parisian, M.D.

Page 353

57:14 59:21	115:19 116:4	222:16 261:5,5	177:10 192:9	top 38:6 53:5,9
60:20 96:10	119:17 120:5	261:22 263:5,6	192:12 193:9	62:17
129:18 130:7	122:11,19	thirty 166:3	199:12 205:11	topics 86:12,15
132:3 141:12	125:11 131:21	307:13	205:13 211:14	185:15 264:6
141:21 163:16	131:24 137:12	thirtysix 27:15	212:19 226:23	total 24:16
166:21 167:13	140:21 141:14	27:18	243:8 244:14	26:15 27:10
221:5 242:5	144:20 145:8	thomas 2:11	245:1 247:3	28:13 30:9,14
265:17 294:2	150:16,21,21	276:16	249:20,23	71:11 73:3
303:12	151:9,10 156:4	thoroughly	250:1 258:3	294:4
things 30:4,5	158:21,24	23:23	276:22 294:20	totality 173:10
48:2 54:8 58:9	160:3,12 161:3	thought 13:9	303:11	totally 52:3,7
59:5 76:8	161:15,17	125:15 209:5	times 11:16	154:9,20 155:2
104:9 110:11	165:10 166:23	240:6 261:12	23:13 30:20	155:12 203:18
121:19 144:22	168:15 170:23	265:5,8 272:22	31:13 43:18	219:1 265:9
147:14 152:14	175:12 179:15	thousand 211:5	175:8 200:3	274:18 299:22
205:12 209:8	183:15 195:6	thread 115:19	timing 36:10	touch 83:23
212:23 219:2	195:23 199:12	115:20	tissue 123:18	toxicologist
239:7 242:8	210:11 211:4	three 23:15	200:24 228:21	93:23
244:8 252:14	213:13 223:14	24:14 28:4	267:13	toxicology 93:24
257:12 259:23	223:20,22	71:5,11,11	today 13:7 14:12	94:1,2,7
266:11 282:8	224:1 225:20	75:16 132:6	14:16 25:16	116:24 117:8
288:11	233:13 244:24	165:17 199:3	27:20 32:19	267:15
think 10:24	245:11,22	219:21	38:12 74:6,20	tplc 294:4
11:24 12:6	246:3,3,5	threeinch 4:20	96:18 108:1	track 148:17
13:10 17:24	247:6,9,10,14	throat 41:7	192:11 220:10	trade 114:20
19:18 20:21	253:3 254:7	thursday 2:12	227:18 231:23	115:2 219:11
23:7 25:2	255:9 257:16	8:1	243:17 246:12	trademark
28:22 34:22	265:6,21 266:6	tie 156:18	247:23 250:3	15:12
35:7 36:17	266:7,11	tight 72:5 78:19	269:19 281:6	traditional 6:23
44:8,13 45:7	269:22 273:16	tim 113:5	281:12,15	train 80:1
46:17,24 53:18	273:22 274:13	time 15:7 17:6	282:9,16,23	138:14
54:16,24 55:11	275:6,24 277:1	21:19 22:22	286:7 290:21	trained 93:24
57:11,12,24	277:6,12 279:6	23:3 24:6 26:7	291:3 293:21	138:10 139:2,5
58:18 59:21	279:7 289:1	26:9,12 30:8,9	296:3 298:14	185:17 225:6,9
60:6 61:2,13	290:2 297:24	30:16,18,21	todays 23:10	295:19 296:10
62:16 67:9,23	301:20 303:16	31:3 34:5 41:2	39:22	training 62:4
67:23 69:14	304:4	42:4 43:19	told 21:12,13	86:23 89:15
73:4,22 74:17	thinking 54:24	54:14 55:3	74:3 81:10	93:13 95:16
82:1,4,8,11	86:24 147:23	60:12,18 63:24	86:20 87:10	114:3,10 119:5
83:1 84:11,19	151:9 160:12	74:5,10,10,21	89:8 90:16	119:14 144:7
85:24 86:3,18	160:12 240:10	82:19 92:13	99:4 119:19	157:21 159:14
88:10 90:6	260:16	103:12,13	176:7 178:12	159:16 161:3
91:8 98:6	thinks 155:15	106:13 107:3	179:23 213:24	168:10 225:11
102:1 106:22	258:13 264:12	109:9 113:7,8	220:23 258:5	242:3 287:15
108:10 111:6	third 132:11	137:21 168:5	261:12,13	293:7 295:19
113:5 114:1	219:18 220:17	174:15 176:24	287:8	298:20 300:1

transcript 306:8 307:14,16	254:24 trepeta 29:10	109:6 111:10 120:12 123:12	120:20,23 121:13 124:15	62:11 76:4,22 77:23 84:9
transcription 309:4	trial 23:3,5 25:24 26:3,8	124:11 142:20 155:20 171:19	133:8 138:10 140:20 161:19	89:13 113:12 119:15 161:19
transferred 108:5	27:20 28:21,22 29:8 34:9	222:7 249:24 250:5,6 257:4	161:20,24 162:4,11,24	163:19,20 172:6,23
transient 158:12 201:2	37:14,17 38:9 58:15,20 68:2	258:20 265:3 273:6 286:8	163:4,11,19,19 167:19 169:7	173:15,18 179:2 180:9,12
transitional 110:5 193:5 194:5,15 195:1	251:3 275:1,4 275:18,21	291:6 turn 183:17	170:5,9 171:1 172:13,15,22	180:22 181:14 217:4,5,7,16
transitioned 192:1 194:17 228:8	276:3,9 281:14 293:5 300:10	186:8 189:6 203:2 204:19	172:24 173:2 173:15 176:22	217:17,20 218:6 219:16
transitory 120:1 120:7 153:10	300:12,15	turned 12:19	177:20 178:9	220:17 227:9
153:11 154:15 175:14,14 258:10	301:8 302:23 302:24 303:8	tvms 4:21 tvt 4:21,22 5:10	180:11,15 181:9,16 183:7	231:17 240:23 240:24
transvaginal 10:2 231:22 288:9	trials 42:21 58:18,23 68:1	5:12 6:20,23 13:22 15:5,15	188:20 190:10 213:15 214:21	tvts 45:15 50:13 53:11 55:22
trasylol 22:2,3,4 279:3,18	68:2 101:9,14 117:10 193:11	15:17,18,20,21 18:15 19:9	214:23 215:1,7 215:14 216:7	56:18 57:1 58:2,13 59:9
trauma 200:24	194:22 275:13	36:5 46:1,11	217:21 218:1,2	59:16 67:3
travel 26:9,10	283:16 284:2,9	46:16 47:2	218:6,12	175:13 177:15
treat 19:24 95:6 146:6 270:19	285:5 287:11 288:8,21 290:4	49:5,13,15 50:1,3,11	219:15,16,21 220:7,17	180:24 290:5
treated 92:13 98:12 99:3	292:13,17 293:9 300:3	51:21 52:1,7 52:10 53:14	223:11,16 227:8 229:1	twelve 299:23
158:6	301:15	55:21 57:4,16	230:9 231:17	twentyone 150:2
treating 20:3 33:4 35:2	tried 13:9 30:6 258:7 280:4,4	58:20 61:15,19 62:10 65:6,7,8	231:18 232:9 232:13,18	164:12
36:16,24 98:19 123:15	true 110:2 238:17,20	65:10,13,17 67:9,16 68:10	233:3,11,17,21 237:21 238:8	twin 276:11
treatment 35:17 65:1 96:17	271:2 292:5 295:3,21 299:9	71:5,13 72:24 73:16 76:3,5	240:16,24 260:1,2,3	two 4:20 11:1,1
123:16 173:20 268:23 269:6	306:7	76:19 77:22	265:20 266:1,3	13:21 15:24
269:24 273:13 273:23 274:4	truthful 84:17 44:7 47:15	78:18,21 79:5 90:3 91:7,17	266:5,5 268:3 268:6 274:1	16:12 24:16
290:16	58:3,3 60:14	99:18,21 113:9	282:9 285:19 287:11 293:11	219:21 235:1
tree 285:22	60:16 61:10	113:10,10,11	296:13,20	235:15 242:12
trend 70:6	74:4 85:3,7,11	113:12,14,18	297:12 301:1	260:17,20,21
trending 149:21	85:16 86:17	113:20,24	302:14	261:12,20
trends 254:23	88:9 105:2	114:4,4 119:6	tvlike 64:24 tvto 49:5,13,16	262:12 271:22
		119:15,22	50:1,4,11 51:22 55:21	277:6 305:9
			58:20 61:15	twoincision 180:17
				twosize 136:19
				type 19:20,23
				20:8,15 33:1

Suzanne Parisian, M.D.

Page 355

33:13 43:5	66:23 108:19	239:6 294:19	urology 94:20	78:10 80:2
65:17 68:16	183:19 184:21	297:20,21	97:3 303:8	83:9,9,11,23
99:14 101:12	202:24 204:5	298:1	use 5:10 15:20	263:7
101:22 102:2	226:13 248:12	updated 174:16	31:2,4 48:15	users 82:19
103:19,23	underplays	177:3,10	52:7 53:15	138:8 169:23
104:1,22	141:16	215:10 229:22	55:13,16 62:5	uses 84:13 96:23
109:12,15,22	understand 8:16	294:2 297:15	65:6 68:3	115:6 116:15
110:12,13	8:20,23 17:16	297:18 298:4	73:20 80:4	201:14 207:16
115:3 116:21	18:19 25:18	updates 294:5	82:17,19,21,24	ushaped 49:15
117:5 118:1	34:14 36:19	updating 81:13	85:24 96:11	usher 195:6
121:8 206:7	39:23 67:13	81:16 247:4	105:21 106:6	usually 26:10
245:16 257:10	75:4 113:23	297:22	106:12,14	44:11,16 46:23
264:13 276:3,8	133:6 152:11	uposition 133:4	112:24 115:9	115:7 206:22
276:9 292:24	152:11 170:7	uprocedure	120:4 123:17	224:15 239:11
294:6 301:7	182:20 189:4	133:16	130:8 132:2,4	287:2
302:24 303:8	193:21 198:8	urethra 123:15	132:19 133:2,7	utilize 282:9
types 17:5 36:18	206:1,1,13	145:9	133:14,22,24	291:19,19
56:4 61:18	208:11 258:15	urethral 138:9	134:7,9,15,24	utilized 288:4
102:16 117:1	259:11,12,14	138:15,16	135:21 138:14	291:3
122:6 170:15	260:11 261:16	urgency 77:13	138:15 139:6	V
256:22 258:8	262:1 263:8,16	urinary 7:9	144:10 148:10	vagina 123:15
258:13 285:18	263:22 264:2,3	18:19 19:24	149:3,8,14	145:9 155:1
287:19 288:24	264:21 298:21	20:2,16 65:1	151:16 153:10	266:11
290:18 291:8	understanding	181:7 217:2	156:17,19	vaginal 17:4
292:13,17,18	86:4 145:24	urodynamics	157:4,7,18,19	21:8 37:9
292:18 293:8	170:23	271:3	158:1,14 162:7	61:17
301:12,12	understood 9:2	urogenital 271:4	163:17,22	validation 45:17
typical 287:5	85:19 280:3	urogynecologic	164:14 167:14	45:21 46:3,10
typically 45:9	281:24	268:14	167:22 169:5	46:15 47:13,17
126:14 291:14	unique 247:21	urogynecologi...	169:10 179:2	47:20,24 48:4
<hr/>		97:3,5 217:2	179:12,14	48:14,19 60:2
U		251:17 252:3	180:20 181:2	297:19
u 5:15 57:6	75:20 80:6	274:24	188:7 191:2,10	variations 76:11
64:13 87:14	183:12 221:19	urogynecologist	191:14 200:17	117:4
89:20 164:4,9	222:12,24	94:23 95:1	201:3,6,22	variety 301:19
202:16,17	284:20 290:14	282:18 283:12	205:1,21	various 4:22,23
234:14	unknown 44:14	urogynecologi...	206:22 234:8	31:13 175:6,8
uga 77:13	unpredictability	270:24	240:24 258:21	200:3 241:24
ultimately	82:11	urological 43:20	268:21,24	282:8 285:3
198:15	unpredictable	109:18 201:14	269:4 273:23	288:2 293:1
ultralight 117:4	76:14	226:4,9	276:17,22	vary 114:15
um 28:4 47:7	unsafe 230:20	urologist 94:18	293:11 294:11	vascular 110:10
49:11 60:11	230:22	94:19,22	295:1 297:11	verbiage 124:18
100:2,12 225:8	unusual 45:7,8	225:10 226:4	297:21	124:19,22
272:4 274:15	update 76:2	urologists	user 48:22 59:24	138:1
umhum 16:14	86:23 174:19	270:23	71:7 72:1 78:8	

versatility 58:8	220:10	229:22 231:2	178:1 201:1	68:15 69:1
versus 12:11	wall 55:16	265:7 278:7	202:17 225:13	71:4 119:7
44:12 45:5	walss 1:4,5	303:18	225:15 229:19	123:9 152:9
50:16 52:14,22	80:11 82:12	wanting 297:24	238:21 239:15	169:2 258:19
53:21 73:20	85:23 87:21	war 197:7	240:12 243:12	258:19 282:16
84:9,9 151:15	118:8,20 119:6	warn 257:19	253:24 297:6	285:21 286:4
157:1,3,4	119:17 121:1	warning 64:6	297:15,17,18	west 280:14
158:2,16	121:17 138:16	139:7 141:3	298:3 303:19	western 280:15
175:14 260:4	138:19 172:7	143:7 144:14	watch 119:11	weve 26:22 43:3
284:1 299:23	173:8,17	144:20,24	watched 119:20	52:15 66:17
vessels 139:10	178:15 179:6	145:2 148:2	way 14:20 15:19	70:21 85:2
141:21	180:2,21	149:7,23	36:9 38:19	86:15 88:8
vicryl 15:9	181:12 282:22	151:18 234:17	46:13 47:22	115:24 134:18
vietnam 197:7	walter 6:14	237:2,2 256:22	81:10,12 82:17	134:19,19
201:1	want 12:8 21:14	259:7 298:8	100:8 115:13	162:10 165:16
view 100:19	37:24 47:12,12	warnings 64:14	115:21 119:13	211:8,16
108:24 169:9	50:24 55:6	84:8 112:24	119:18 127:22	221:12 228:4
210:18 258:12	63:4 67:9	123:21,24	131:11 146:18	248:20 257:6
263:13 267:15	70:17 74:21,24	124:4 129:9	151:20 167:4	262:11,11
violate 164:1	79:8 82:24	136:1 137:20	179:8,18,20	271:18 277:20
248:1	86:23 88:13	137:24 138:6,7	180:3 210:2	281:5 282:23
violated 164:17	91:10 124:23	140:4,14,16,19	216:19 227:24	298:16
164:19	125:16,17	140:24 142:24	230:2 243:3,12	whateveritis
violation 248:11	126:17 128:12	143:8,8 144:4	279:10	151:21
virginia 212:9	131:15 152:22	144:9,9 147:13	ways 109:7	whats 46:17
280:14,16	154:5 158:1	149:4 150:5,11	weakening	51:16 83:8
vitae 5:3 13:8	163:24 165:13	151:11,12,14	228:20	114:19 118:23
voiding 77:14	165:14 166:1	151:15,21,22	weave 115:10	124:22 125:1
volume 46:1,8	179:4 181:17	152:9,13,14,16	website 28:20	126:22 128:24
244:5	185:2 189:2,4	157:16 159:2	29:1,5,6	130:18 134:2
voluntarily	201:24 221:6	234:8 235:14	wed 185:2	141:7 142:10
40:15 237:10	222:21 230:19	263:6 295:2	week 72:13	142:13,23
voluntary 72:11	231:1 236:11	296:7 297:9,10	weeks 68:14	147:2 149:1,11
237:1 285:3	237:8 241:1	298:13	75:13 77:16	149:15,15,19
vs 1:3	248:24 261:10	wash 12:16	158:1 160:5	150:1,3 153:1
<hr/>				
W				
w 3:5 280:11	261:15 262:1	washington	299:23,23	153:15,20,20
wait 102:23,23	265:4 269:11	122:20	300:9	154:3,13,16,19
103:10,11	269:20 275:24	wasnt 10:24	weight 117:5	170:19 176:10
110:9 214:9	281:21 289:19	60:3 68:1 71:2	135:23	178:12 263:5,9
222:20 236:6	292:20 302:20	71:9 78:4 80:9	weisberg 58:18	270:12 274:10
236:10 261:9	wanted 48:9	82:9 86:20	122:19	274:19 298:21
262:21 269:11	85:1 99:17	87:13 108:22	weisbrod 122:19	303:24
303:3 305:8	152:8 174:7	111:19 120:7,8	122:19	whatsoever
walked 27:12	178:11 188:19	120:11,13	154:6	33:13 243:18
	191:19 196:14	121:18,19	welfare 6:4	white 276:12
	202:17 213:8	151:24 169:16	went 12:6 15:13	277:6

Suzanne Parisian, M.D.

Page 357

whos 35:19 77:18 127:14 139:2 147:22 225:17 234:16	213:12 218:10 218:18 219:1 220:15 221:5 222:11 230:16	womans 123:15 145:9 257:13 257:19 women 42:7 80:12 81:20,21 98:14 147:19 261:1 268:22 269:5,24	296:4 working 10:7 22:10,12,13,19 25:22 26:12 27:2,24 28:2 30:14,15 31:3 33:5 34:12 71:2 99:16 101:7,23 105:17 108:8 111:13	149:10,19 158:14 187:2 226:14 247:7,9 247:14 wrote 28:23 194:12 210:3 276:11,22
william 3:5 willing 211:15 wills 252:7 winner 211:18 withdrawn 169:5 238:16 withheld 245:6 245:19 witness 4:9,10 8:6 9:20 11:24 14:11 22:12 27:14 28:2 34:5 36:1,23 37:8 38:11,24 45:1 56:19 61:5 66:8 67:19 69:15 74:5 83:23 92:1 95:13 96:4 118:8 122:2 137:14 137:18 142:20 148:16 154:7 155:20 156:5 158:21 159:13 162:13 164:9 167:3 171:3,8 171:16 172:6 172:17 175:6 175:22 176:5 176:16,21 178:20 180:6 184:6 185:5 187:10 188:1 188:13,22 189:12 190:5 191:16 192:16 198:3 202:23 205:10 206:4 206:19 207:20 208:2,8,19,24 209:22 211:24	231:14,20 232:5 234:5 235:1 237:16 238:11 240:19 242:19 243:3 243:22 244:5 244:24 245:22 248:4,14,23 249:1 250:5,9 251:6,19,23 253:15,24 254:7 255:6 259:1,23 260:16 261:20 262:7 263:22 264:11,20 266:21 267:8 269:17 270:5 272:4 273:16 274:10 277:10 277:23 279:18 280:19 281:19 282:13 285:13 286:21 289:18 290:8 292:1 294:13 295:6 295:10,24 297:14 298:18 299:12 300:23 301:10,21 303:12,18 304:3 305:15 306:5,11 307:1 witnesses 5:5 wolf 276:12 277:6 woman 72:6,13 84:6 96:20 135:23 136:23 136:23 147:15 258:1 259:4,11 266:9	153:10 154:14 175:13,22 246:24 worded 136:6 wording 127:18 wordperfect 106:7 words 97:6 153:12 158:13 235:9 286:6 work 25:15 28:11 30:7 34:13 41:22 82:10 94:7 109:11 110:24 111:10 112:14 116:12 122:7 203:9 216:16 225:24 231:9 249:3,10,21 250:2,11 278:15,18 284:22,24 288:16 291:18 worked 21:20 21:22 39:20 60:16 106:4,15 106:19 107:19 109:11 111:14 111:21 123:3 182:4 185:13 203:7,12 230:12,19 231:5,8 251:15	10:7,23 105:17 108:8 111:13 works 200:7 workup 112:10 world 47:11 117:17 176:13 176:23 301:1 worldwide 302:13 worse 231:6 wouldnt 12:17 12:17 35:4 36:1 46:11 47:11 96:10 100:12 102:4 148:17 174:12 178:24 179:1 185:3 189:1 213:14 232:3 240:7 263:19 264:18 268:1,2 woven 115:12 115:21 write 112:7,10 131:4 176:7 writes 294:18 writing 63:20 worked 21:20 21:22 39:20 60:16 106:4,15 106:19 107:19 109:11 111:14 111:21 123:3 182:4 185:13 203:7,12 230:12,19 231:5,8 251:15	149:10,19 158:14 187:2 226:14 247:7,9 247:14 wrote 28:23 194:12 210:3 276:11,22 X x 4:1,15 5:1 6:1 7:1 Y yeah 20:11 21:2 22:16 27:8 32:6 41:14 53:23 62:1 69:7 75:22 79:7 83:22 89:5 90:4 91:12 93:1 97:20,22 98:5 98:14,24 101:8 102:7 103:2,13 105:22 106:11 107:9 113:3 114:15 115:19 116:15,17 123:5 124:20 124:21 126:2 132:16 133:1 133:19,19 134:5,22 135:6 135:8 140:5 143:22 144:19 146:16,21 147:6,9,11 148:5 151:5 153:7 156:1 160:3 165:7,23 168:10,15 177:14 187:15 198:4 199:19 201:2 202:3 226:22 232:6 248:7 258:19

Suzanne Parisian, M.D.

Page 358

265:1 276:6	96:8 100:9	117:9,15	23:6 26:5	223:10
279:19 285:13	104:5,14,18	121:21,23	37:22 39:1	1400 3:10
287:5 302:1	105:5 106:24	122:23 123:21	42:11 45:12	15 7:3 46:7
year 70:13,16	107:4 123:17	124:14 128:11	46:6 49:3 92:3	146:14,20
71:12,23 72:2	126:4,5 127:13	129:1 140:17	92:24 93:1	227:13,17
72:24 73:10	130:1,23 131:7	156:3 161:7	126:21 127:13	242:5
112:12 249:22	131:19 132:20	168:21 178:7,8	127:18 128:8	150 26:14
274:1 300:10	133:15 139:15	181:3,23	128:11,16	15th 76:1
years 12:3,6	141:22 142:16	189:18 190:7	129:11,15	16 4:19 6:6 7:8
17:15 22:24	142:24 145:8	199:23 202:8	130:2,11,13,24	46:7 147:10
23:1,6,9,9 28:3	147:13 148:16	216:2 220:9	132:9,10,12,14	190:24 270:1,3
28:4,11 51:21	151:2 156:5	238:24 246:16	132:18 165:18	270:13 304:17
52:7 60:17	162:23 165:4	256:2 258:2	166:9 189:22	304:23
70:12 71:4	167:12 177:24	266:15 278:9	190:1 199:14	1600 3:4
72:7 88:24	180:24 181:10	280:6 288:4,19	199:16 304:4,4	162 40:3
92:23,24 93:1	187:5,18 188:1	290:21 296:3	100 240:8	16374 6:6
106:2 107:20	189:17 194:20	298:13	10040062 6:21	190:18 191:24
112:3 191:23	205:6 209:12	Z	10225 3:13	228:4
230:12 242:11	210:8 216:18	zometa 279:7	103rd 1:3	164 56:24
274:18 296:9	230:2,24 231:3	0	109 84:12	166 4:4
304:4,5	235:7 237:2	000 26:2,6	158:17 164:10	16page 5:3,12
yellow 16:10	246:6,14 249:6	304:13,13	10page 5:6	17 5:3 46:7
143:9	252:1 264:5,21	0065 6:21	10th 3:13	148:6,13,19
yesterday 24:8,9	265:13 266:13	02340568 5:11	11 4:4 6:8 46:6	200:4,9 274:18
24:12,15	267:19 269:22	04 1:16 2:12 4:4	48:6 53:5,9	1700 270:21
youd 21:11	271:5 272:22	8:2 92:3	62:17 66:22	18 46:7 57:3
113:8 226:6	279:12 281:10	0591 5:11	92:3 199:14,20	72:10 149:1,2
280:7	281:14 285:21	07876630 224:6	304:4	181 5:15
youll 13:4 162:3	285:24 286:2,8	09625731 6:7	12 1:15 2:12 4:4	184 5:17
188:9 189:6	286:15 288:13	09634299 7:7	6:12 8:1 46:6	19 6:11 141:15
194:8 209:15	299:13	09634315	68:14 75:13	149:11 257:11
219:12 227:23	youve 8:18 9:5	227:24	158:1 160:4	263:12
252:11,24	11:15,21 12:13	09634664 6:17	166:9 202:1,5	190 6:3
253:7 270:10	13:12 14:2,6	1	203:21,23	1969 6:7 190:24
youre 8:20	18:6,23 19:3	14:4,17 6:9	204:2 207:2,4	229:13
19:18 25:12,16	20:14 22:9	12:22 13:1,14	265:9 300:9	1976 192:20,23
25:23 32:19	23:22 24:6	46:1,8 72:12	122sheet 6:22	193:24 195:6
35:16 37:20	26:15,19 27:1	130:2,13	125 5:9,12	196:1
53:21 57:9,18	27:9,10 28:10	132:11,18	13 4:17 6:18	1980s 92:15
61:6,21 66:11	30:24 32:24	134:11,12	38:22 46:6	198:23
73:12 74:13,14	43:5,14 99:21	138:3 164:9,11	215:19,23	1982 6:11
79:17 84:4	101:15,20	166:9 274:16	297:1,1	199:19
92:10,16 93:12	102:2,5,10,10	305:10,11	13page 6:12	1988 7:6 201:17
93:20 94:4,8	102:20,22	10 2:11 4:4 6:3	146:22 38:6	228:1 229:6
94:12,20,23	105:8 110:13		39:15,16 46:7	199 6:8
95:4,9,18,23	115:11,12		219:23 220:2,4	1990 6:16

Suzanne Parisian, M.D.

Page 359

196:13 202:9	2008 54:19 71:4	283:22	30minute	304:13
205:13,14,18	71:12,14 72:9	215 6:18	165:12 166:4	50778 1:24 2:14
209:13	84:2 90:7	21st 150:3,12	3302 5:13	306:19
1990s 198:21,23	125:11 161:9	22 72:16	331 89:20	510 5:19,20 6:23
1993 108:3	175:10 254:10	220 6:22	34 228:9,10	15:1,2,4,4,10
1995 109:2	256:4,17 265:5	227 7:3	352 64:13 87:14	42:19,20,22
1996 213:5	265:24 297:16	22nd 151:4	164:4,9 234:15	43:5,14,24
1997 11:15	2009 71:12,14	23 305:11	36 30:13	44:2,6,8,9,21
109:6,9 231:6	71:23 175:12	24 4:4 166:9	39 4:5 252:9	45:1,3 46:20
1998 201:16	2010 71:23 72:2	24page 5:9	391586010 3:11	46:21 77:23
214:23	72:8 77:5	24th 152:3	3rd 48:7	87:17 110:7
19th 77:11	164:22,24	25 5:4 240:5,7		162:6,14 163:5
	174:15	252 4:5	4	163:7,17
2	2011 72:2,10	25th 152:4,18	45 4:25:6,7,11	167:12,15
24 :5,5,19 16:12	125:10 177:11	26 48:7 153:22	37:20 53:9	174:19 185:19
16:13,19,22	256:8,17 265:6	2612 26:20	66:13 77:14	185:23 186:19
17:10 52:15	2012 6:21 72:10	262 40:7 41:3	273:10 281:10	187:7,14,21
70:22 77:14	72:15 125:11	2639 26:20	281:12,16	188:9,15 189:8
132:21 134:11	215:11 216:7	26th 153:1,5	305:17	189:9 196:7
138:3 145:12	2013 72:15,18	270 7:8	400 23:21 25:12	197:3 207:12
164:9 183:18	72:19,24 73:6	277 4:5	25:14,19 26:13	207:15,16
252:9,9 271:8	73:9,16 254:8	2777 3:6	304:13	209:15,19
272:13,19,20	2013dcl3511d	27th 153:20	41 78:12	212:12,17
20 12:4,5 106:2	1:1	154:4,13,14	41324 306:6	213:9,14
129:10 135:3,5	2014 5:21 7:10	28 5:21 6:9,21	41page 5:17	214:13,21
138:4 149:15	21:4 72:19	45:16 166:24	42 78:13,16	217:5,7,23
149:16 151:21	73:11,16	214:23 215:11	43 4:5 78:17	220:7 223:11
230:12 242:5	113:17 185:1	218:2 220:21	277:16	226:16 232:6
283:20,22	2015 1:15 2:12	221:2 258:17	4318 7:7	245:10,12
305:17 309:15	8:1 73:11	259:20	44 79:4 88:10,23	250:20 283:18
2000 304:9,11	306:14	281 4:12	440 3:4	522 90:14,14,22
2003 217:8,12	202 6:12	2810 6:11	45 75:18 76:1	215:16 237:18
2005 45:16	20page 5:4 7:3	28th 155:8	4676 6:17	238:12,24
218:2 220:21	20th 306:13	29 4:5 5:6	47 6:11 83:1	239:9,12,14,23
221:2	21 6:9 35:10	277:16	47sheet 6:8	240:1,3,9,13
2006 14:18 48:7	40:16 47:19		48 83:1	241:6 273:7
70:12 82:18	48:20 59:4	3	49 75:11,12 83:2	53 83:2
98:7 151:12	64:13 68:17	34:5,5 5:3 7:10	255:18	54 6:10 83:2
2007 70:13 71:4	69:20 71:22	17:17,20 19:16		55 4:4 92:3
72:12 75:10,11	79:15 80:14	52:15 186:8,11	5	58 83:2
75:15,24 76:1	84:11 87:14	188:4,5 277:16	55:6 6:16 29:12	59 4:5 252:9
76:16,22 78:14	89:20 145:4,12	277:16	29:16	
78:17 88:24	150:1 158:17	30 75:17 78:19	50 89:2	6
245:24 246:6	164:4,9,10,11	78:22 165:17	500 57:2 72:20	6 5:9 26:2,6 63:4
254:10 255:19	164:11 169:24	166:24 307:13	72:21 73:1,2	63:6,14 66:11
255:22 297:16	234:14 283:19	302 4:13	73:10,11	72:12 125:2,6

Suzanne Parisian, M.D.

Page 360

125:8,13,18,20	158:17 164:10
125:24 126:18	803 68:18 71:22
133:7 135:19	806 80:15
164:3 173:22	80s 98:17,20
213:5	81 11:16
600 23:19 25:11	820 47:19 48:21
25:16,23	59:4 69:20
60s 218:18	79:15 169:24
61 72:24 73:9	878 6:10
65 75:17	88p0173 6:16
6630 224:8	89 76:5
69 305:11	<hr/>
7	9 1:16 2:12 5:17
75 12 7:6 35:10	8:2 13:14
40:17 63:4,7	37:23,24,24
63:14 65:2	38:1,5,22 39:8
66:12 125:2,6	39:14 40:2
125:8 165:2	41:4 46:6
204:19 228:1	184:15,19
255:23 256:1	185:10
256:13 257:6,8	90 76:13
258:6 265:19	91 76:7 105:24
70 76:13 229:23	92 4:4
70s 100:10	95 41:3 105:24
193:11	113:14
715 224:24	97 84:3,5
716 226:6	
737 6:7	
74 76:6	
77002 3:4	
770192133 3:7	
78504 3:13	
78n2646 6:11	
7th 3:6	
8	
8 4:3,10,11 5:15	
46:6 49:22	
54:16,17,20	
56:19 58:5	
132:23 136:17	
181:18,20	
184:19 217:8	
285:21	
801 84:12	